OCDETF drug cases, a 6.2% decrease. In FY 05 SDTX filed 1,057 non OCDETF drug cases, a 14.8% decrease.

# Western District of Texas

The boundaries and divisions of the Western District of Texas are set by statute. [28 U.S.C. § 124(d)].

	Population	Square Miles
El Paso Division	713,126	1,013
Del Rio Division	142,469	13,146
Pecos/Alpine Division	70,060	30,445
Midland Division	269,116	6,245
Austin Division	1,610,463	14,923
Waco Division	738,135	11,347
San Antonio Division	1,964,065	13,428
Totals	4,497,534	90,547

There are thirteen (13) active district judges in the Western District of Texas and three (03) senior district judges; as well as thirteen (13) magistrate judges. The breakdown of judges by divisional office is as follows: San Antonio, four (04) district judges, and three (03) magistrate judges; El Paso, four (04) district judges, and three (03) magistrate judges; Del Rio, one (01) district judge, and two (02) magistrate judges; Pecos/Alpine, no district judges, and one (01) magistrate judge; Midland, one (01) district judge, and one (01) magistrate judges; Austin, two (02) district judges, three (03) senior district judges, and two (02) magistrate judges; Waco, one (01) district judge, and one (01) magistrate judge

As of March 4, 2006, WDTX have an overall direct vacancy rate of 6.62%, with the national USAO community average being 9.40%. The WDTX average AUSA FTE vacancy rate is 6.38% compare to the national average of 7.97%. The WDTX support staff vacancy rate was 6.85%, compared to a national staff vacancy rate of 10.71%. From FY 2000 to FY 2006 the WDTX direct funding allocation grew by 35.1%, and during this time frame the USA appropriation grew by 33.9%. In FY 2000 WDTX used 161.03 Direct FTE and in FY 2006 the FTE usage is projected to be 195.21 FTE, a 21.2% change over the last six years. From FY 200 to FY 2005 the WDTX immigration cases filed grew by 64.1%.

Attached as Tab 5, please find selected pages from the EOUSA data management information maintained on our intranet site. Tab 5 contains information specific to the Western District of Texas as a whole. Tab 5-A is pages 13-15 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to immigration coded offenses. Tab 5-B is pages 31-33 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to non OCDETF drug cases. Tab 5-C is pages 46-48 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to violent crime offenses.

In FY 03 WDTX filed 1,768 immigration cases. In FY 04 WDTX filed 2.034 immigration cases, a 15.0% increase. In FY 05 WDTX filed 2,712 immigration cases, a 33.3% increase.

In FY 03 WDTX filed 2.071 non OCDETF drug cases. In FY 04 WDTX filed 2,053 non OCDETF drug cases, a 0.9% decrease. In FY 05 WDTX filed 1,626 non OCDETF drug cases, a 20.8 % decrease.

#### District of New Mexico

The boundaries of the District of New Mexico is set by statute. [28 U.S.C. § 111]. No divisions are created by the enabling statute. By statute court may be held in Albuquerque, Las Cruces, Las Vegas, Roswell, Santa Fe, and Silver City. Federal Courthouses exists in Albuquerque, Santa Fe, Las Cruces, and Roswell. Court is principally held in Albuquerque, Las Cruces, and Santa Fe, and occasionally in Roswell.

**Population** 

Square Miles

1,903,289

121,356

There are seven (07) district judges in New Mexico; two (02) in Santa Fe, one (01) in Las Cruces, and four (4) in Albuquerque. Additionally there are three (03) senior district judges in Albuquerque. There are ten (10) magistrate judges in New Mexico, six (06) in Albuquerque, and four (04) in Las Cruces. A fifth magistrate judge has been selected for Las Cruces and is undergoing a background clearance.

As of March 4, 2006, DNM had an overall direct vacancy rate of 10.21% compared to the USAO community as a whole having a rate of 9.4%. The district's AUSA vacancy rate was 6.67%, compared to a national average of 7.97%. The districts average support staff vacancy rate is 14.05%, compared to a national average of 10.71%. From FY 2000 to FY 2006, the NM direct funding allocation grew by 64.1%, and during this time period the USA appropriation grew by 33.9%. In FY 2000 DNM used 78.37 Direct FTE, and in FY 2006 it is projected to use 106.85 FTE, a 36.3% increase in six years. From FY 2000 to FY 2005 the DNM immigration cases filed grew by 99%. In FY 2006, EOUSA provided DNM with \$500,000 in one time money to avoid furloughs and to provide for litigation.

Attached as Tab 6, please find selected pages from the EOUSA data management information maintained on our intranet site. Tab 6 contains information specific to the District of New Mexico as a whole. Tab 6-A is pages 13-15 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to immigration coded offenses. Tab 6-B is pages 31-33 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to non OCDETF drug cases. Tab 6-C is pages 46-48 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to violent crime offenses.

In FY 03 DNM filed 1,529 immigration cases. In FY 04 DNM filed 1,501 immigration cases, a 1.8 % decrease. In FY 05 DNM filed 1,849 immigration cases, a 23.2 % increase.

In FY 03 DNM filed 385 non OCDETF drug cases. In FY 04 DNM filed 429 non OCDETF drug cases, a 11.4 % increase. In FY 05 DNM filed 470 non OCDETF drug cases, a 9.6 % increase.

#### District of Arizona

The boundaries of the District of Arizona is set by statute. [28 U.S.C. § 82]. No divisions are created by the enabling statute. By statute court shall be held in Globe, Phoenix, Prescott, and Tucson. The DAZ/USAO web site advises that trials involving witnesses and/or defendants in Northern Arizona are sometimes held at the federal courthouse in Prescott.

Square Miles

5,743,834

113,635

There are eight (08) district judges, four (04) senior district judges, and five (05) magistrate judges in Phoenix. There are five (05) district judges in Tucson, two (2) senior district judges, and six (06) magistrate judges. Additionally, there is one (01) magistrate judge in Yuma, and one (1) magistrate judge in Flagstaff.

As for March 4, 2006, DAZ had an overall vacancy rate of 7.91% compared to national USAO community vacancy rate of 9.4%. The DAZ average AUSA vacancy rate was 11.73% compared to the national average of 7.97%. The DAZ average support staff vacancy rate was 3.86%, compared to the national average of 10.71%.

From FY 2000 to FY 2006, the DAZ direct funding allocation grew by 31.4% and the USA appropriation as whole grew by 33.9%. In FY 2000 DAZ used 163.54 Direct FTE and in FY 2006 it is projected to use 209.91 FTE, a 28.4% increase in six years. From FY 2000 to FY 2006, the DAZ immigration cases filed grew by 12.2%.

Attached as Tab 7, please find selected pages from the EOUSA data management information maintained on our intranet site. Tab 7 contains information specific to the District of Arizona as a whole. Tab 7-A is pages 13-15 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to immigration coded offenses. Tab 7-B is pages 31-33 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to non OCDETF drug cases. Tab 7-C is pages 46-48 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to violent crime offenses.

In FY 03 DAZ filed 2,252 immigration cases. In FY 04 DAZ filed 2,383 immigration cases, a 5.8 % increase. In FY 05 DAZ filed 1,898 immigration cases, a 20.4 % decrease.

In FY 03 DAZ filed 671 non OCDETF drug cases. In FY 04 DAZ filed 790 non OCDETF drug cases, a 17.7% increase. In FY 05 DAZ filed 785 non OCDETF drug cases, a 0.6 % decrease.

## Southern District of California

The boundaries of the Southern District of California is set by statute. [28 U.S.C. § 84(d)]. No divisions are created by the enabling statute. The district is comprised of Imperial

and San Diego counties. By statute, court for the district is held in San Diego.

	Population	Square Miles
San Diego County	1,903,289	4,200
Imperial County	153,448	4,175
Total	3,085,162	8,375

There are seventeen (17) active district judges and nine (09) magistrate judges in San Diego. There is one (01) magistrate judge in El Centro.

As of March 4, 2006, SDCA had an overall Direct vacancy rate of 12.78%, compared to a national USAO community vacancy rate of 9.4%. The SDCA district's average attorney vacancy rate was 11.71%, compared to a national AUSA vacancy rate of 7.97%. The SDCA support staff vacancy rate is 13.90%, compared to a national average of 10.71%.

From FY 2000 to FY 2006, the SDCA Direct funding allocation grew by 48.71% and during this time period the USA appropriation grew by 33.9%. In FY 2000, SDCA used 150.78 FTE and in FY 2006 SDCA is projected to use 183.67 FTE, a 21.8% increase over the last six years. These FTE numbers do not capture the 52 support contractors that came on board in FY 2000 to help process immigration cases. Currently SDCA has 36 such contractors on board for this purpose. From FY 2000 to FY 2005, the SDCA immigration cases filed decreased by 39%.

Attached as Tab 8, please find selected pages from the EOUSA data management information maintained on our intranet site. Tab 8 contains information specific to the District of Arizona as a whole. Tab 8-A is pages 13-15 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to immigration coded offenses. Tab 8-B is pages 31-33 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to

non OCDETF drug cases. Tab 8-C is pages 46-48 of the Form "A" data, which is specific to violent crime offenses.

In FY 03 SDCA filed 2,463 immigration cases. In FY 04 SDCA filed 2,527 immigration cases, a 2.6 % increase. In FY 05 SDCA filed 1,441 immigration cases, a 43.3 % decrease.

In FY 03 SDCA filed 795 non OCDETF drug cases. In FY 04 SDCA filed 656 non OCDETF drug cases, a 17.5 % decrease. In FY 05 SDCA filed 846 non OCDETF drug cases, a 29.0% increase.

**END** 



### U.S. Department of Justice

#### Executive Office for United States Attorneys

Office of the Director

Washington, D.C. 20530

MEMORANDUM FOR:

William W. Mercer

Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General

FROM:

Natalie A. Voris

Associate Counsel to the Director

Executive Office for United States Attorneys

SUBJECT:

Information Request - For Official Use Only

Below please find responses to your recent request regarding the immigration policies of the five (5) Southwest Border districts.

#### District of Arizona

(a) Does DOJ have a policy on the number of times an alien is apprehended before being prosecuted?

The Phoenix/Tucson/Yuma offices do not have an official policy on the number of times an illegal entrant alien must enter before being prosecuted for the misdemeanor offense of 8 U.S.C. §1325. It is evaluated on a case-by-case basis but almost certainly an alien would not be prosecuted on a first or second offense unless there were aggravating circumstances. However, there is a standing policy of zero tolerance on illegal aliens who come from countries of interest, other than Mexico and Central and South America.

(b) What exceptions are there to this policy (e.g., aggravated felonies, alien smugglers, etc.)?

The Phoenix/Tucson/Yuma offices have guidelines in place to prosecute all provable 8 U.S.C. §§1326(b)(2) and 1326(b)(1) cases where the alien is calculated to be at a level 24 under the U.S.S.G. These offices also prosecute defendants who are currently on Federal Probation or Supervised Release. Under certain circumstances, the Phoenix/Tucson/Yuma offices also prosecute some lower level 8 U.S.C. §1326(b)(2)

cases. Again, there is a standing policy of zero tolerance on illegal aliens who come from countries of interest, other than Mexico and Central and South America.

(c) What is the minimum prosecutable offense before DOJ prosecutes illegal aliens?

The minimum prosecutable offenses for Phoenix/Tucson/Yuma are misdemeanor illegal entry in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and misdemeanor aiding and abetting in violation of 8 U.S.C. §1325 and 18 U.S.C. §2.

#### Southern District of California

(a) Does DOJ have a policy on the number of times an alien is apprehended before being prosecuted?

To SDCA's knowledge, other than the Principles of Federal Prosecution contained in USAM 9-27.000, and the general guidance on immigration violations set forth USAM 9-73.000 and the corresponding sections in the Criminal Resource Manual, the Department does not have a specific policy regarding the prosecution of illegal aliens. Instead, the United States Attorneys are charged with establishing such policies based on the particular circumstances and enforcement priorities in their respective districts. In the SDCA, as a general rule SDCA does not prosecute purely economic migrants. Nor are SDCA's prosecution guidelines based on the "number of times an alien is apprehended." SDCA's prosecution policies are based on the premise that illegal aliens with the most serious criminal histories should be our priority for prosecution. As such, SDCA has directed its resources to bringing felony (as opposed to misdemeanor) charges against illegal aliens with substantial criminal histories so that SDCA can seek longer prison sentences against those who present the greatest threat to public safety. SDCA employs prosecution guidelines for offenses under 8 U.S.C. §1326 which categorize criminal aliens into essentially four categories: violent/major felons (which includes convictions for national security or terrorism offenses), recidivist felons, repeat immigration violators on supervised release, and alien smugglers (guides) who otherwise do not meet our guidelines for smuggling prosecution under 8 U.S.C. §1324.

(b) What exceptions are there to this policy (e.g., aggravated felonies, alien smugglers, etc.)?

Any case not meeting SDCA's prosecution guidelines may be considered for prosecution on a case-by-case basis. SDCA regularly approves for prosecution deserving cases that do not otherwise fall within SDCA's guidelines.

(c) What is the minimum prosecutable offense before DOJ prosecutes illegal aliens?

SDCA believes that it is unclear what is being asked by this question. If this question is asking what is the least severe charge SDCA would employ to prosecute an illegal alien, it would be a Class B misdemeanor under 8 U.S.C. §1325.

#### District of New Mexico

(a) Does DOJ have a policy on the number of times an alien is apprehended before being prosecuted?

With regard to *Illegal Entry or Failure to Register* offenses, the District of New Mexico will accept prosecutable cases involving persons who have failed to register as referred by law or who have been previously deported from the United States or if there is sufficient, objective proof that the person was/is involved in terrorism or support thereof.

The decision to accept or decline a re-entry case will be largely determined by the defendant's criminal history in the United States. The District of New Mexico will accept for prosecution readily provable cases when the defendant is subject to an enhancement because of a felony conviction; see 8 U.S.C. §§1326(b)(1) and (b)(2). The District of New Mexico will generally accept for prosecution defendants who do not have a felony conviction but who have prior contact with the criminal justice system; see 8 U.S.C. §§1326(b)(1) and (2). Defendants who have been deported and who re-enter the United States but who do not have prior contact with the criminal justice system will generally be prosecuted only for the misdemeanor offense of entry without inspection, 8 U.S.C. §1325.

For 8 U.S.C. §1325 offenses (improper entry by alien), the District of New Mexico will seek prosecution after the tenth entry without inspection.

With regard to Transporting or Harboring Undocumented Aliens offenses, the District of New Mexico will accept prosecutable cases if there is some evidence of a profit motive, if the health or safety of the persons transported was jeopardized, or if the prosecution would further another active investigation, or if there is sufficient, objective proof that the person was/is involved in terrorism or support thereof.

(b) What exceptions are there to this policy (e.g., aggravated felonies, alien smugglers, etc.)?

See above.

(c) What is the minimum prosecutable offense before DOJ prosecutes illegal aliens?

See above.

#### District

(a) Does DOJ have a policy on the number of times an alien is apprehended before being prosecuted?

Depending on the Division, will prosecute for illegal entry, after between 6-8 "ident hits" or previous encounters with Border Patrol.

(b) What exceptions are there to this policy (e.g., aggravated felonies, alien smugglers, etc.)?

The will prosecute all aggravated felons with at least one prior deportation as an illegal re-entry case or 8 U.S.C. §1326 case.

(c) What is the minimum prosecutable offense before DOJ prosecutes illegal aliens?

The minimum prosecution is a misdemeanor illegal entry prosecution in Magistrate's Court. If an alien has no criminal history, the alien will be prosecuted after three convictions for 8 U.S.C. §1325 prior to prosecution for 8 U.S.C. §1326.

#### District

(a) Does DOJ have a policy on the number of times an alien is apprehended before being prosecuted?

prosecutes illegal entrants (misdemeanor 8 U.S.C. §1325) if they have been voluntarily returned (VR'd to Mexico) on seven or more prior occasions, or if they have previously been convicted of some crime (but not previously deported), or if there are other aggravating circumstances (such as resistance, uncooperativeness, etc.) If they have prior illegal entry (technically entry w/o inspection) conviction, but no deportation, prosecutes as felony 8 U.S.C. §1325 prosecutes felony 8 U.S.C. §1326, illegal entry after deportation, for all previously deported aliens who have some criminal history (virtually any prior conviction). If they have no criminal history, prosecute as 8 U.S.C. §1325 entry without inspection, depending on circumstances. prosecutes all alien smuggling cases involving 6 or more aliens, or involving commercial gain (even if less than 6 aliens). In practice, does not really follow that threshold, and prosecutes almost every case with multiple aliens being transported. Exception may be family members, unless the transporter uses false documents. Then . prosecutes as false document case. As a practical matter, turns away few transporting cases.

(b) What exceptions are there to this policy (e.g., aggravated felonies, alien smugglers, etc.)?

Answered above.

(c) What is the minimum prosecutable offense before DOJ prosecutes illegal aliens?

is uncertain about what is being asked.



#### U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for United States Attorneys

Office of the Director

Room 2261, RFK Main Justice Building 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

(202) 514-2121

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Courtney Elwood

Deputy Chief of Staff and Counselor

Bill Mercer

Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General

FROM:

Michael A. Battle

Director

DATE:

November 22, 2005

SUBJECT:

Immigration Prosecution Policy

Prosecution of illegal aliens entering or found in the United States, and particularly along the Southwest Border (SWB), varies between jurisdictions, but all of the United States Attorneys' Offices (USAOs) prosecute the most serious offenses and those offenders with established criminal records as a priority. Along the SWB, offenders entering the country illegally but with no criminal record and no prior deportation are almost certainly going to be voluntarily removed ("VR'd") numerous times before they are formally deported barring some unusual or aggravating circumstance in the case. Several factors contribute to this policy, the most pronounced being the lack of resources and bed space to detain and prosecute every illegal entry violator. Additionally, there is also very little punishment for first-time offenders, and investigative agencies and USAOs are inclined to spend their resources on the more serious offenses. Therefore, offenses in which aliens are smuggled for profit or where an alien with a serious criminal record re-enters the country after being deported will receive priority attention.

In instances where the illegal alien has committed a state or local offense and is then referred to a federal agency for prosecution or deportation, the Department of Justice obviously does not have the authority or jurisdiction to prosecute the alien on his (or her) local or state charge in addition to the immigration violation. This scenario happens frequently in interior jurisdictions as well as border districts. Immigration officers frequently do "jail checks" in which they see if any jailed inmates held on state or local charges are illegal aliens. Additionally, most state and local law enforcement agencies will contact the immigration officers if they suspect that an arrestee is an illegal alien. When an immigration officer encounters an illegal alien either by "jail check" or referral and the alien is charged with a separate state crime, the officer will

normally put a detainer on the subject so that he is turned over to the federal officer to answer the immigration violation as well. The practical effect when this happens is that frequently the state or local authorities will turn the subject over to the federal officer for proceedings - prosecution and/or deportation - on the immigration violation and then dismiss the state charge if it is a minor violation.

Consider this scenario: A county deputy stops and arrests a motorist for *Driving While Intoxicated* (DWI) somewhere in Texas. It is suspected that the motorist is an illegal alien, and an Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agent is called. The ICE agent interviews the suspect at the jail and determines that (1) he is, in fact, in the country illegally, (2) he has been deported before, and (3) he has a lengthy criminal record. Anticipating a prosecution for 8 U.S.C. 1326 (*Illegal Re-Entry*), the agent places a detainer on the subject with the jail so that he will be held and remanded to federal custody should he post bond on his DWI charge. At this point, what frequently occurs is that the state will allow the USAO to proceed with the federal immigration case. In that scenario, once the alien is successfully prosecuted federally and remanded to the Bureau of Prisons, the state or locals will dismiss the DWI. The Department of Justice has no jurisdiction to prosecute the original DWI charge, and therefore the alien is prosecuted for the immigration violation but escapes prosecution for the original crime through no fault of the USAO. No matter how serious the state or local charge is, the USAO is unable to control the disposition of the state or local prosecution.

Federal prosecution efforts are further affected by the fact that some state and local law enforcement agencies do not contact federal immigration authorities when they encounter suspected illegal aliens or otherwise deportable aliens. Many cities have established "sanctuary" policies in which their police departments are instructed not to contact immigration authorities when they encounter suspected illegal aliens. Therefore, ICE and other federal law enforcement agencies are never made aware of ongoing immigration violators who are released upon completion of their state or local charge or case.

District Vacancy Rate Analysis:

As of mid-year, April 1 (Pay Period 6), the overall vacancy rate for the USA Community was 9.9%. The AUSA vacancy rate during that same pay period was 10.39% and the support staff vacancy rate was 9.48%. The following chart shows the mid-year vacancy rates by size of districts:

Size	Total Vacancy Rate	AUSA Vacancy Rate	Support Vacancy Rate
Overall	9.90%	10.39%	9.48%
Extra Large	12.32%	14.81%	9.85%
Large	10.43%	9.62%	11,18%
Medium	8.29%	7.07%	
Small	8.35%	5.88%	9.35%

### Southwest Border Districts:

Size	. Total Vacancy Rate	AUSA Vacancy Rate	Support Vacancy Rate
Overall Extra Large	12.32%	14.81%	9.85%
Arizona	8.34%	11.52%	4.98%
California Southern	13,10%	12.37%	13.86%
Texas Southern	11,32%	10.57%	12.12%
Texas Western	7.52%	7.32%	
Overall Large	10.43%	9,62%	7.72%
New Mexico	10.24%	6.69%	11.18%

ASG000000148

),

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System, FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

SXT

i	ř	2	
1	Ì	ļ	
1	ì	ź	
:	S	•	
-	•	٠	

		Ī	AV	Ī.,	T	Т	7	_	Г	T	-	_	Т	T	_	Г	T	7	_	Т	T	_	T.	_	_
		L	Yerage.	8	15	1	4	2	2	1	3	8	8	1	97	8	1		2	8	1	3	Year <sup>3</sup>	-ISCS	!
		1,0,1	181	4,418	3,783	2,921		2.182	1,868	1,553		1.363	1,093	500	797	520	289		213	163	253		Filed	Cases	
		20,3%		16.8%	29.5%	33.8%	10.0%	16 95/	20.3%	13.9%	1	70.7 70	93.5%	8./%		73.9%	40.4%	00.1.70	30.7%	-35.6%			Change	Percent	
		1,684	707	4 700	4,082	3,147	2,385	1,000	2050	1,710	1,551		1 204	694	000	800	389	787		214	375		2	Defendants	
		25.6%	17.1%		29 7%	31.8%	16.3%	9,8'8'	10.0%	10.3%	28.8%	10.076	79 67	-0.7%	/8./%		33.2%	36.4%	I			change	2 -	Damas	
		=	1.08	1.00	100	1.08	1.09	1.10		1 15	1.14	1.10	1	23	1.34	Ţ		1.37	1.31	1	148	Case Filed	Defendants Pe		Average # of
	1	N.S.	2,164	2,041	1		1.198	1,032	10/		732	527	3/0		339	867		749	246	300		Pending	Cases	-	
	10,076	10 50	6.0%	51.9%	12.2%	I	10.10	36.3%	3.4%			40.5%	10.6%		13.8%	19.7%	1.278		-36.3%		1	Channe	Percent	_	
	974	1	3 440	2,298	1,501	800,1		1.183	874	856		622	476	100	ARG	. 397	335			506	Peliding		Defendants		
	15.1%	6,0,0		53.1%	10.4%	14.8%	30,476	35 487	2.1%	37.6%	00.7 /0	30.7%	2.8%	16.6%		18.5%	3.7%	27.00	74C 3E		Change	!	Percent		
	1.17	1.13		3	1.12	1.13	1.15		1 15	1.17			127	1.37	1.00	133	1.35	-51		131	Case Pending		Defendant D	Average # of	
	1.382	4,299	Seo's		2.756	2,002	1,570	, out	A Eng	1.143	936	020	536	476	24/		206	299	067	300	Terminated	CHECK	•		
201010	26 FeV	39,2%	12.0%	07.1.70	37 76	27.5%	4.2%	31.8%		22 1%	77.9%	10.5%		92.7%	19.9%		31 10	1.0%			Change	Percent			
,330		4.635	3,309	2,968	201.102	2 182	1.715	1,667	1.00.1		1.051	674	020	676	322	2/4		397	412	Dayminia	Tambata	Defendants			
23.9%	10.176	40 de	11.5%	36.0%	21.270	27.00	7a0 C	28.1%	23.8%	1000	760 35	7.7%	94,4%		17.5%	-30.1%	1,0,6	400		change	?	Percent	_		
•	80.1		107	1.08	1.09	1.03		1.11	1.14	1.12	3	1.28	1.32		1.30	1.33	1.57		1.39	Case Terminated	Delining Lat.	Defendant De	Average # of		
	_		_		_	_		_	_	_			_	<u>t</u>	_		Į.		ٺ		=	-	_	ŀ	

Matters & Defendants -- Received, Pending, & Terminated Percent Change 460 788 833 1,342 1,731 1,809 2,210 2,681 3,894 18,050 18,050 Defendants Received Percent Change 1.129 1.112 1.116 1.12 1.12 1.12 1.10 1.09 1.03 1.04 Percent Change Defendants Pending Percent Change 15.6% 21.3% 16.7% -36.5% 51.3% 53.7% 166.1% 2728.7% Percent Change Average # of QQ Average # of QQ Average # of QQ Defendants Por QQ Defendants Por QQ Additor Terminated 174 174 2.08 2.08 2.28 2.33 2.58 2.28 2.88 2.28 2.89 2.19 2.89 2.19 2.89 2.

United States Attorneys — Criminal Cassiond Statistics :
Southern District of Texas
Standard Matter and Cass Counts

છે
ଊ
£/3
•
-
#
×
O
20
=
-
=
z
➣
Ċ
×
~"
$\mathbf{Q}$
20
-
>

		ſ	ė į	_	Γ	Т	Т	7	_	Γ	Т	Т		Т	7	_	_	Ŧ	_	_	_	_	_		_	
		- Section		S	\$	8	1	3	2	8	8		98	۴	1	95	8		2	93	1	3	Yes.	1		_
		1,556	1,000	4.635	3,309	2,968	7,107	3	1745	1,667	1,301	1001	1051	674	020	95.9	322	2/2	37	392	412		Terminated	Delendants		Total
		1,441	8/4/4	4.470	3,175	2,806	2,062	goc.		1.579	1,200	CAR	2	632	588		302	235		200	348	0	2	Defendants		Total
		25.9%	47.1%		13.2%	36.1%	31.4%	-0.6%	01.078	31.6%	20.6%	57.4%		7.5%	94.7%	20,000	28 50	17.5%	2.070	70 E07		Change	2	Percent		
		22	53		2	37	<b>a</b>	27	٥		28	12		2	75	ō		_	2		4	Guilty		Found	Defendants	
		1.8%	1.2%	1.0%		1 30/	2,1%	1,7%	2.0%	2.076	2 20	1.2%	1.3%	,,,,	3.1%	6.0%		0.4%	1.0%		. 140	Guilty	01101	1	as Percent	Found Guilty
	1,710	1.448	4.426	3,144	89/7		2.019		1,548				624			284			198	344		Guilly	Who Pled		Defendants	•
	10,77.08	AL 80	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%	07.0.70	.07 08/		. 98.0%	97.7%	97.076		98.7%	40.876	00 000	94.0%	%9.8R		99.0%	88.8%	Total Colley	Total Cultu	Percent of	The County and	9	Who Pled
	83.7%	90,076	On Car	96.0%	94.5%	84,5%	9,000	OA her	94.7%	92.2%	84.7%		93.8%	. 93.9%	00.070	7ab 150	85.8%	01.070	24 0%	84.5%	Kalo	7	Conviction			
	3	5	<u> </u>	۰	. 2	2			,		4			3	-					2	Acquitted		Defendants			٠
	53.5%	150.0%	0.0%		0.0%	-71.4%	250.0%	-00.0%		0.0%	300.0%	-68.7%		200.0%	0.0%		-66.7%	50.0%			Change	, or cert				
2,0	3	145	122		170	118	138	8	٩	8	- 51	8		34	18	إ	70	187	9	80	Dismissed	Derengants		•		
20,3%	70.00	18.9%	-23.3%	34,770	277	-14.5%	80.5%	-11.3%	%7.08		27.5%	17.6%	00.070	28 OF	-52.6%	-18/78	70. 70.	211.7%			Change	Percent				
2		6	ő	-		,	-	0	0				1		_			2	2	0.011000100	Defendants	Terminated	Oller	}	_	
143.3%	10.0%	40.0%	900.0%							0.0%	9.07	780.0	0.0%				0.076	76U U		change	2	Percent				

-									
1 77	2.0%	76.2%	26	1.7%	90.7.78				
1.13	1.3%	76,5%	g	1,278	200	21	1,538	1,382	Average
1:17	1.0%	10.10		4C P	82.8%	53	4,635	4,289	5
1		30 4 R/	3.4	0.9%	-23.7%	29	3,308	0,000	
2	1.50	0.0%	46	1.4%	%p.c.i			3 089	2
1.02	2.1%	35.3%	8	07.7.7	45 00/	36	2,968	2,756	83
1.26	2.0%	3.0%	١	330	%7 AB	45	2,182	2,002	8
1,00	10.5		١	1.7%	-12.9%	27	1,715	0,00	ŀ
3	20%	0.0%	33	2.1%	6.9%	٥			2
1.14	2.5%	120.0%	33	2.5%	00.076		1 667	1,506	8
1.38	1.4%	66.7%	15	2,2,1	163.697	29	1,301	1,143	99
3.00	1.3%	27.178		190	266 7%	=	1,051	936	8
1.31	3.4%			%9.0	-81.3%	3	674	526	ļ
	,	10 7%	2	3.4%	165./%				1
3.17	5,9%	850.0%	ā	2,4%	2,0,002		ACA	476	88
1.00	0.7%	-00,0%		3	76U UUC	a	322	247	85
/0,1	1,078			1.0%	-33.3%	2	274	206	ş
		-28.6%	Ut	1.0%	-50.0%	3	766	400	
1 17	1.7%		7	2.0%		T,		200	93
Case Tried	Terminated	Change	173	- Commission		-1	412	286	92
Defendants Per	_	2 1011		Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Terminated	Year
to legition	_	Darran	D/ bv	of Those	Percent	of by	Cefendants	Cases	-
Ministra	Trind as Dament		Disposed	as Percent		Deputer		•	
Average	Defendants		Defendants	Casas I Jied					
				2		Cases			_

)

ТXS

		Average	95	04	Į,	3	8	2	٤	18	8	98			96	8	1	2	93	8		- Y	Fiscal		_	_
	1871		3,991	2,966	2,008		1 007	1,454	1,450	1,076		925	521		488	243	Ī		147	233	10 PRSON	!	Sentenced	Defendants	, cumy	2
	802	1,010	1.818	1,180	1,112	j.		592	617	528	200	ngn.	380	,00,	303	143	117	100		200	1-12 Months	1,000	Prison	Sentenced to	Deterioants	,
	46,4%	**O.076	AE 80/	39.8%	42.6%	38.3%	1011 10	40 7%	42.6%	49.1%	01:1%		72.8%	65.8%		58.8%	68.8%	/8.2%		85.8%	1-12 Months	rnson		Sentenced to	Defendants	
	285	954		25	689	499	797		263	150	115		58	60	ŧ		31	25	2	3	13-24 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	
	22.0%	23.9%	27.4%		26 494	28.2%	18.0%	10.176	10 40	13.9%	12.4%	11.1%		12.8%	18.9%		18.2%	17.0%	12.4%	i i i i i i i i	13-24 Months	Prison	Catholican to	Santaniado	Defendants	Percent of
	107	430	280	١	316	196	113	63		2	37	20		ô		-		2	_	BUTUOM OC-CT	_	Prison	Sentenced to		Defendants	
1.07	7007	10.8%	8.8%	8.3%		10.3%	7.8%	4.3%	3.470		4.0%	3.8%	4,170		3.7%	1.2%		1 402	0.4%	25-36 Months		Pries	Sentenced to	Continuing	Datandant	Percent of
812		635	524	431	200	3	320	322	211	104		ŝ	58		22				1	37-60 Months	rison	2	Sentenced to	Defendants		
16.9%	15.8%		17.7%	16.5%	17.4%	2,0,6	3	22.2%	19.6%	16.6%		B 1%	12.4%	1	0 40	5.3%	2.0%	0,77,0	O AR	37-60 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	Leineur of	
89	157	190	ĝ	161	131	198		185	129	54	1	2	23	22		11	2	_		61+ Months	Prison	Optioning 10	Contention	Defendants		
6.8%	3.9%	6,4%		No.	6.9%	10.9%	86.077	\$ 00/	12.0%	5.8%	4.0%		4.7%	9.5%	9,5,6	2 E 07	1.4%	0.9%		61+ Months	Prison	Sentenced to	_	Defendants	Percent of	
0	0	0				0	9		٠	0	0	ļ	,		-	ا	0	0	Lingui		F .	Sentenced to	-	Defendants		
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	07.07.0	200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.078	. 0.0%	0.0%	Prison		·	Sentenced to	Corporation	Defendant.	Percent of	
0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	-	ا ا	0				0	[		0	Death	of Decuments		Defendants				
0.0%	200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0,0,0	NO OW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.076	0.0%	0,0%	0.0%		0.0%	0,0%	0.078		Death	Sentenced to	Colonidants	Defendant	Percent of			

						Sentencina		
85.0%	20.000						-	
00.170	38 Eec	1.297	22.5%	144	1,441	prc'i	1,000,1	
80 1%	34.6%	3,991	133,5%	48	4,4,4		1 884	Verage
93,4%	13.7%	2,866	6.1%	100		4635	4.782	8
83.0%	36.8%	2,609		DUC	3.175	3,309	4,082	2
92.5%	31.2%	7,007	27.40	197	2,806	2,968	3,147	8
82.7%	0.3%	1,404	70 P.C.	155	2,062	2,182	2,385	8
%8.re	04.078	1,751	-10.9%	115	1,569	1,715	2,050	وا
00.770	34 80	1.450	4.0%	129	1,579	1,667	1,710	:   :
80 7w	16.3%	1,076	77.1%	124	1,200	1,301	1,00,1	3
93.0%	77.5%	925	-36.9%	70	CAR	1,001	1551	88
82.4%	11.8%	521	-9.0%		200	1 054	1.204	98
79.3%	91,8%	466	100.0%		ers.	674	694	97
80.5%	47.8%	242		122	588	626	699	g
12,370		245	-9.2%	59	302	322	BBS	į
70 C.C	15.6%	170	22.6%	65	200			2
73.5%	-36.9%	147	-53.9%	9	200	274	292	2
67.0%		233		3 6	000	392	214	93
To Prison	Change	TO FISOI	-		348	412	375	92
Sentenced	e Galle	To Balance	Channa	To Prison	Guilty	Terminated	Flled	Year
Colonianis	Derron	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Defendants	in Cases	in Cases	riscal
Guilly		Defendants		Defendants	Total	Defendants	Defendants	
2		Gullo		Guilly				
Parsant of		Number of		Number of				
						-		

ASG000000151

# United States Attomeys — Criminal Caseload Statistics! Southern District of Texas Standard Matter and Case Counts Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup>

Create	A	3	2	33	02	2	8	99	98	97	ä	8	1	2   2	3 8	3 3	Y E				Average	8	3 5	2 8	3 8	3   5	2 2		8 8	ء ا	3 8	8 8	3 9	2 2	3	3 10	riscal	!				-		
900	1,007	1 057	1,241	1,323	1,358	1,385	1,315	1,331	1,210	684	617	535	100	442	581	Tilga Tilga	E Cases	?	Cas		1,125	1,213	1,402	Zuc'i	1,409	1,531	1,501	1,400	1,4/0	1	004	655	Acc	689	000	Kecewed	Matters	:	Matters & Defer			Sta		United States
6.8%	-14.6%	44 00/	-6.2%	-2.6%	-2.7%	6.1%	-1.2%	10.0%	76.9%	10.8%	15.3%	14.8%	5.4%	-23,9%		Change	Percent		Cases & Defendants – Filed, Pending, & Terminated		5.5%	-13.5%		0.9%			0.8%			T	1.4%		-16.4%	Ī	I	Change	Percent	r	& Defendants Received, Pending, & Terminated		Non-OCDETF Drugs <sup>2</sup>	Standard Matter and Case Counts	Southern District of Texas	United States Attorneys — Criminal Caseload Statistics
1,423	1,425	1,000	1 650	1 752	1.784	1,732	1,708	1,763.	1,791	1,231	1,131	1,086	1,028	839	997	Hied	Defendants	,	-Filed, Pending, &		1,671	1,679	1,896	2,042			2,003		T	Ī	I			1		Received	Defendants		Pending, & Term		)rugs <sup>2</sup>	Case Counts	of Texas	rai Caseload Statis
3.8%	-14.1%	-0,3%	21.079	1 Box	3.0%	1.3%	-3.1%	-1.6%	45,5%	8.8%	4.1%	5.6%	22.5%	-15.8%		Change	Percent	1	Terminated		2.7%	-11.4%					-0,9%							-15.6%		Change	Percent		inated					dics (
1.47	1,35	1.34	1.02	1.01		124	1.30	1.32	1,48	1.80	1.83	2.03	2.21	1,80	1.72	Case Filed	Defendants Per	Average # of			1,49	1.38	1.35	1.36	1.34	1.27	1.33	1.36	1.48	1.86	1.84	1.95	2.16	1.74	1.61	Matter Received	Defendants Per	Average # of		u				
900	1,130	1,096	1,072	1,054	1,103	1 102	990	1.019	986	743	763	770	670	537	603	Pending	Cases				. 197	208	204	212	188	206	212	193	214	120	125	188	256	261	175	Pending	Matters							
5,3%	3.1%	2.2%	1.7%	4.4%	13.8%	43.0%	E 00/	a a a	30.0%	-2.6%	-0.9%	14.8%	5.2%	5.6%		Change	Percent					2.0%					9.8%					ľ	-1.9%			Change	Percent							
1,323	1,591	1,524	1,493	1,450	1,430	1,2,1	104	1,400	1 408	1.164	1.292	1,374	1,201	1,001	898	Pending	Defendants				314	310	304	324	277	324	321	289	349	251	241	. 308	410	392	286	Pending	Defendants							
5.0%	4.4%	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%	12.0%	-9.0%	-1.5%	0,077	22 50	-9.8%	-5.0%	14.4%	20.0%	11.5%		_	Percent				2.3%	2.0%	-6.2%	17.0%	-14.5%	0.9%	7.4%	-14.3%	39.0%	4.1%	-21.8%	-24.9%	4.6%	37.1%		Change	Percent						•	
1.47	1.41	1.39	1.39	1.38	1.30	1.32	1.38	1.48		157	1 80	1.78	1.79	1,57	1.49	Case Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of			1 50	1 49	1.49	1.53	1.47	1.57	1.51	1,55	1.63	2.09	1.93	1.64	1,60	1.50	1.63	Matter Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of						
907	1,021	1,221	1,280	1,374	1,197	1,309	1,223	698	900	660	704	436	424	393	598	Terminated	Cases				1	148	167	146	136	117	147	145	160	65	95	170	89	138	262	Terminated	Matters							
6.3%	-16.4%	-4.6%	-6.8%	14.8%	-8.6%	7.0%	26.7%	46.2%	71,1%	30.2%	26.00	7.8%	7.9%	-34.3%	90	Change	Percent			4.0.1	7 20.0%		11 00	7.4%	16.2%	-20.4%	1.4%	-9.4%	171.2%	-37.9%	44,1%	91.0%	-35.5%	47.3%		-Change	Percent							
1,322	1 335	1,624	1,655	1,710	1,487	1,715	1,685	1,464	1,212	1,132	90g	one .	817	707	1064	Terminated	Defendants			220	231	867	220	230	232	176	227	254	264	121	136	258	151	207	958	Terminated	Defendants							
3.2%	17 80/	-1.9%	-3.2%	15.0%	-13.3%	1.8%	15.1%	20.8%	7.1%	24.7%	11.1%	10,47	16 40	700 VE	Change	Chenne	Percent			4.3%	-3.3%				31 8%		0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		118.2%			70.9%		42.3%	٦		Percent							
1.46	1 21	133	1.29	1.24	1.24	1.31	1.38	1.52	1.84	1.91	2.08	2.93	1.75	1.70	Case remmated	Caria Tamahadad	Defendants Per	Average # of		1.56	1,56	1.48	1.50		171	100	150	73	1 65	205	143	150	170	1.50	1.37	Matter Terminated	Defendants Per Co	Average # of	01	מכ	00	0 .	15	. 2

Consciond data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Care Management System.

For PY 1992-2003, the chart summarizes the following categories. Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified specifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Consument Regulatory/Money Lundering and Volent Crima program categories. Beginning in PY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Consument Regulatory/Money Lundering program category.

PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

22-Nov-05

Southern District of Texas
Standard Disposition Counts
Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup>

	1,5/	1.178								
		740	4.6%	84	7.1%	-0.6%	53	1,222	507	
	1.30	4.6%	-14.1%	61	4.6%	-13.0%		1 223	907	Average
	1.31	4.4%	12.7%	12	4.476			1 335	1.021	6
	1.29	3.8%	-6.0%	8	2007	40.2m	54	1,624	1,221	2
	1,34	0.878		3	70 E	-2.0%	46	1,655	1,280	٤
		790 E	0.0%	67	3.6%	-10.7%	٤	1,10		3
	1.20	4.5%	-20.2%	67	4./%	0,000		1 710	1.374	2
	1.12	4.9%	9.1%	9		-2E 2ec	56	1,487	1,197	2
	١				£ 70.	47.1%	75	1,715	1,309	٤
		486	-8.4%	77	4.2%	-3.8%	51	1,000		3
	1.60	5.8%	-11.5%	85	5.5%	0.076		4 605	1 222	98
	1,82	7.9%	-10.3%	8		808	53	1.464	965	æ
	2.23	0.070		3	7.64	4.2%	50	1,212	660	ď
		0.00	27.4%	107	8.1%	2.1%	2	1,10		•
	1.79	9.3%	-3.4%	20	70,8%	1.1.0		1	707	88
	1.78	10.6%	-8.476		40 00/	446	47	808	436	æ
Ī	1,00		707.00	87	11.6%	-14.0%	49	817	424	3
	188	13.7%	-25.0%	98	14.5%	-5.0%	2	102		2
	2.13	12,0%		128	*0.03			202	393	8
	Case Tried	Dellamina	of min	1			6	1,064	598	92
		_	2	Trial	Terminated	Change	Trial	ieminated	Danguitus	100
	Defendants Per		Percent	of by	of those	reitant		1	Tambata	Y
_	Number of	Tried as Percent		Desodein	theorem ea	,		Defendante	Cases	Fisca
_	Average	Defendants		Colonidation			Disposed			_
•					Case Tried		Cases:			_
								Cases & Defendants Tried	Cases &	
						-				-

		Ave	8	2	. ],	3	0	2	T,	Ţ			T,	7		T,	T		Ī.,	T		<b>~</b>	-	9	_	_
		Verse	5	ľ	ľ	1	8	Ľ	١	1	8	88	۱	1	8	8	1	2	83	ľ	۲	Years	2	<u> </u>	_	_
	1,220,1		1.335	1,624	1,000		1.710	1,487	1,/10	,,000,	100	464	1,212	1,102	1 122	908	9		702	1,064		Terminated	Slumbuana		ota	
	1,164		1 720	1,499	1,513	1,001	1 507	1.359	1,548	1,489	0021	1 200	1,022	178		735	677		563	791		2	Defendants	·	t at	
	4.9%	97.871		%8.G	-5.3%	17.5%	47.50	-12 Oc.	4.0%	14.9%	26.8%		5.3%	32.1%		8.6%	20.2%	20,000	7886		crisinge	2	Percent			
	68	â		62	47	53		5	74	50	63		79	90	1	7,4	78	1		108	Gully		Found	Defendants		
	6.9%	3.5%	4.176		3.1%	3.3%	4.1%		4.8%	3.4%	4.9%	1.178	770	9.3%	10,1%		11.2%	13.9%		13.7%	Guilty	9	of Total	as Percent	Found Guilty	Defendants
	1,096	1,187	1,43/		1 488	1,544	1,303	4,100			1,233	943	-	881	661			485	900		Gullty	Del- outa		Defendants		
	93.1%	96.5%	85.9%	97.0.0	00.00	96.7%	95.9%	85.2%		26.86	95.1%	92,3%	07.7.00	782 UB	89.9%	00.6%		86.1%	00.3%		Total Guilty	Percent of		Gullly as	Who Pled	Defendants
,,,,,,,	RR DW.	97.1%	92,3%	91.4%		22.42.	91.4%	90.3%	00,478	BO AN	88.5%	84.3%	85.8%		80.9%	82,9%	20,2,0	80 7st	74.3%	1000	Pote	Conviction				
	1		<u> </u>	15	Ī		13	13	29	1	2	25	16			===	20	3	ä	Acquitted		Defendants				
6.0%	54.5%		78. 36.	0.0%	15,4%	0,070	760.0	-55.2%	20,8%	4.0%	00,000	28.38	60.0%	-B.176		-45.0%	11.1%			Change	, circuit	Destruction				
135	82	,	1	124	86	1		140	162	. 146	101		132	160		123	111		.25	Dismissed	Paterioria					
4.8%	-15.5%	-21,8%		29.2%	-14.3%	-20.0%	9,0,0	43.00/	15.7%	-13.0%	22.0%		-17.5%	30.1%	.0.0.0	10 8%	-55.8%			Change	Percent					
7	0	17		١	2	3	15		55	4	4	1	3	3				4		Defendants	Terminated		Other			
64.2%	-64.7%	466.7%	30.0%	5000	-33.3%	-78.6%	180.0%	20.070	25 0%	0.0%	-69.2%	333.3%		-50.0%	-25.0%	.00.070	100.0%			Change	Percent					

22-Nov-05

TXS

<sup>1</sup> Caseland data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
2 For FY 1882-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Passession cases classified specifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include show drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Valent Crime program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include showed drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.
3 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

Southern District of Texas · Standard Sentencing Counts

17.5% 93.5% 4.4% 94.4% -0.6% 94.7% -18.6% 94.0%	-18							- 4
$\Pi\Pi$		7,156	97.0.0			1 377	1.423	Average
Ш	į	1,720	6 99/	7.7	1.230	1,335	1,425	g
П		1 420	-6.0%	79	1,499	1,624	ACQ'1.	1
	4	1,429	-18.4%	84	1,513	1,655	767'1	
	. 17	1,494	15.7%	103	1,587	1,710		3
	-12,6%	1,270	-6.3%	89	958,1	1,407	1 784	8
9.2% 93.9%	9	1,453	-38.8%	8	0,040	4 407	1730	2
13.7% 89.4%	13	1,331	28,4%	150	1,700	1 715	1.708	8
25.4% 90.4%	20		12.070		1 490	1.685	1,763	8
Ī		1 474	47 ne.	125	1,296	1,464	1,791	8
1	,	926	37.5%	88	1,022	1,212	1,231	ļ
35.6% 93.4%	36	907	-3.0%	2	971	1,132	1.10	
4.7% 91.0%		689	13.178			4 4 4 5 5	1124	8
84.4%			77.	en en	735	908	1,086	95
	,	959	-11.6%	38	677	817	1,028	5
-27.5% 92.4%	į.	520	41.9%	43	583	707	900	
90.6%		717		74	1 1	.1,004	870	3
To Prison	Change	to Pason	Citation			1064	997	92
_	!	1	2	To Prizon	Guilly	Terminated	Filed	Year
_	Percent	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Cefendants	in Cases	III Cases	-
Defendants		Defendants		Defendants	18101	Deletionity	- Community	
Gully		Guilly		Gully	!		Defendants	
Percent of		Number of		Number of				

	WANTER	1	7	2	8		8	2	٤		8	8	9		8	85	9		8	2		í	Fisca		_	
	1,079	Ť		1,420	1,428	1,494		1,270	1,453	,00,	1	1,17	934		907	6				1	1001	Tobles	Sentenced	Defendants	, cumy	2
		Ī	Ī					=		Ī		=	34	Ī	97	669	639		520	17	I-IZ MONINS	_	Prison	Sentenced to	Constanting	_
	160	162			282	283	Ī	250	219	757	1	211	80	ļ	7	57	58	1	3,	78	ns 1-12 Months	_	Driego	to Sentenced to	Defendants	_
	14.8%	14.0%	17.00		19.7%	18.9%		19 7%	15.1%	18.9%		18.0%	8.6%	1.870	1	8.5%	9.1%	0.7%	270	10.8%	H	-		ced to	dants	
	183	177	223	3	237	262	077	3	247	286	1	317	164	124		1	101	76		116	13-24 Months	rison		Sentenced to	Defendants	
	17.0%	15.3%	15.7%	97.070	16.6%	17.5%	17.3%		17.0%	21.5%	18.5%		17 69.71	13.7%	11.073	17.68	15.8%	14.6%	10.2.70	16.36	13-24 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	Lettebut of
	146	148	140	180		163	137			197	185			157	Ş		105	77	100		25-36 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendanta	
10.079	13.5%	12.8%	9.9%	11.8%	10.070	10.0%	10.8%	1,1270		14.8%	15.8%	19.1%		17.3%	15.5%	T		14.8%	15.3%		25-36 Months	Prison	Contanton	Cariana	Defendants	Percent of
Suc	30.00	300	413	356	3/0	270	352	445		346	287	306		201	220	Ī		170	185	-	37-50 Months	Prison	Sentenced to		Defendante	
28.3%	27.778	27.70	29.1%	24.9%	24.8%		27.7%	30.6%	Ī		24.5%	32.8%	32.1%		32.9%	32.6%	I		25.8%	SULTON NO-10	17 en Maria	Prison	Sentenced to	Deservants		Percent of
282	948	101	,	381	415		315	367	247		270	202	259		166	166	Ī		222	61+ Months	, naci	D	Sentenced to	Detendants		
26,1%	30.2%	30.6%		26.7%	27.8%	2,0,47	77.50	25.3%	18.6%	23,176	72.46/	21.6%	28.6%		24: R%	26,0%	31.0%		31.0%	61+ Months	rason		Sentenced to	Defendants	Laicent of	
N	٥	3	,	3	_			3		ŀ			4		_	_	_			Prison	5		Sentenced to	Defendants		
0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2.70	785.0	0.1%	0.0%	0,1,2	0.492	0.1%	0.1%	37.7	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%	0.0%		Prison	Life in .	Commission W	Sentenced	Defendants	Percent of	
0	0	0			0	0	-	,		0			0			0	0		Ť	Death	Sentenced to	Silventialed	7			
0.00	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.070	200	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		%0 0	0.0%	0,0,0	000	0.0%	0.0%	Dogui	Death	Sentenced to	Detendants.		Percent of		100

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

For P's 1992-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Only Nex-CODETF Drug Dealing and Drug Passession cases classified specifically under those ariminal program categories, it does not include those ofting cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Crime program categories. Beginning in PY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Covernment Regulatory/Money Laundering program categories.

PY 2005 numbers are actual data Brough the end of September 2005.

United States Attorneys ~ Criminal Caseload Statistics Southern District of Texas
Standard Matter and Case Counts

Violent Crime<sup>2</sup>

Т	7	ſ	2		_	T.	T	T	Ţ,	Ţ	T	Т	7	_	T	T	Т	T	Ţ.	_	20	· ¬	Γ	1	ı		_	_	
				8	2	8	1	1 5	1 8	3   8	3   8		1	8	e E	2	83	82	1						-				
Cases		222	3	266	272	288	295	395	352	246	185	118		94	124	114	148	205	Keceived	,	Mattor		Vatters & Defend				Stan		Omnou Granda C
& Defendants		5,4%		70°C-	-5.6%	-2.4%	-25.3%	12.2%	43.1%	26.2%	65.3%	25,5%	200000	70C P.C	8.8%	-23.0%	-27.8%		Change	reiceit	-		ants - Received			· Violent Crime <sup>2</sup>	Standard Matter and Case Counts	Southern District of Texas	morriage Crimi
Cases & Defendents Filad, Pending, & Terminated		272	310		312	335	325	439	399	301	244		14		162	152		321	Received	Derendants			Matters & Defendants Received, Pending, & Terminated			٩,	Case Counts	of Texas	onition outlies Autolities a Chimmal Caseload Statistics
k Terminated		3.0%	1.9%			3.1%	-26.0%	10.0%	32.6%	23.4%	62.7%	6.4%	-73.0%				-33.0%	_	Change	Percent			ninated						listics 1
		1.23	1.20			. 1.16	1.10	1.11	1.13	1.22	1.25	6 1.27	1.50	T	1	٦		1.57	Matter Received	Defendants Per	Average # of		_ <b> </b>		<u>L</u>				
		_	_						_	_	_					1			_	er Matters	_								
		93 8.0%	103 37.3%	/5 46.0%	ŀ							55 7.8%	-21.5%	-8.7%					Charles	Percent	_								
	ľ	۲	*	180	1		8	<u>و</u> :	8	*	*	%	*	1%	8		+	1	, ;		_								
		3	128	88	901	į	i a		1 2	2 2	ng ,	7	69	72	g	2		Gunda		Defendant									
	460.7	3	45.5%	44.7%	2.6%	6.2%	-17.0%	17.3%	41.578	41 27	47.7%	4 200	130	-16.3%	2.4%	13.5%		Change	i circuit										
•	1.21			1.17	1.14	1:12	1.18	1.78	1.2/	1.28	1.01	1.02		=	1.19	1.27	1.25	Matter Pending	Deteriornia Per		Average # of				÷				
	52			44	88	76	89	93	60	38	32	36		34	34	31	33	Terminated	Matters	:									
	4.9%	-73.6%	00.078	20 Ost	15.8%	-14.6%	4.3%	55.0%	57.9%	18.8%	-11.1%	5.9%	0.07	n ne	9.7%	-6.1%		Change	Percent .										
	65	4	24		104	93	107	114	86	47	41	39	ŧ	,	44	4	54	Terminated	Defendants										
	2.4%	-18.5%	-48.1%	1,01	11 R%	-13.1%	-6.1%	32.6%	83.0%	14.6%	5.1%	-13,3%	2.3%		0.0%	-18.5%		Change	Percent										
,	1.26	1.16	1.23	1,10	1 40	1.22	1.20	1.23	1.43	1.24	1.28	1.08	1.32	1120	1 20	1.42	1,64	Matter Terminated	Defendants Per	Average # of									
Ŀ	_!	-	<u> </u>	ħ	1		_	لــ		Ш	_		L_	ı	1	!	- }	A	8	a	b	0	0	0	0	0	1	5 (	5

- 1	158	1.22	4.7%	195	8.0%	001	Crotage
	272	1.17	-15.2%	234	-13.776		Vanna
	252	121	23.0%		40.70	200	9
	182	1	100	276	25.8%	249	0.4
	100		3.7%	223	-1.0%	198	8
	189	1.08	-38.0%	216	-39.0%	200	,
	287	1.08	38.8%	354	45.0.76		3
	203	1.13	48.1%	200	AE ON	. 32B	2
	70,			250	49.0%	225	8
	i	1	6.2%	171	18.0%	151	4
	119	1.28	58.4%	161	60.0%	021	3
	83	1.26	₩8.1-	101			8
	83	1	10,0,0		12.7%	80	97
			10 Ke/	103	-26.0%	71	ä
	95	1,33	23.1%	128	79.1%	20	
	89	1.41	-38.1%	104	20.070	8	8
	98	اوا	20.070		33.28	74	94
	19	È	-28 AC-	168	-17.8%	111	g
	101	1.75		236		135	ž
	Pending	Case Filed	Change	Filed	Citalibe	- 1	1
	Cases	Defendants Per	Percent	- In the second	7	Filed	Year
		Average # of			Parrant	Cases	Fig

Defendants Pending

Percent Change

Average # of Defendents Per Case Pending

Percent Change

Defendants Termineted

Change Percent

Average # or Deendants Per Case Terminated 1.87 1.84 1.35 1.48 1.49 1.49 1.33

8.7% 1,39 4.4% 1160 6.3% 1,59 -17.9% 1,49 -14.5% 1,29 -14.5% 1,29 -14.5% 1,19 -33.7% 1,19 -33.7% 1,14

1.12

1.10 1.11 1.08 1.13 1.07

<sup>1</sup> Caselead data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
2 For FY 1982-2000, this chart summarizes the following categories: Fineams, Notent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Volent Crime. Other Volent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Volent Crime, Other Volent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Volent Crime, Other Volent Crime in Indian Country (1921); and All Other Volent Crime (1921).

3 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

4 September 2005.

5 OUSADOTA ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALFORMA
22-Non-05

SXT

	1 to coops	Cases of Perentidadus   Ned							
			Cases		Cases Tried	Defendants		Defendant	
			Disposed		De Darriero	2		Coloniality	Average
Fiscal	Cases	Defendant-			TO OT OT OTHER	Disposed		Tried as Percent	Number of
		Dolough	orby	Percent	of Those	of by	Percent	_	Defendants Das
Year	Terminated	Terminated	Triel	Change	Terminated	1.			Determinants Per
82	145	271	28		40.00	1	Change	lerminated	Case Tried
8	121	100			10.578	1		16.2%	1.57
		100	24	-14.3%	19.8%	32	-27.3%	16.1%	1 33
ž	85	115	14	41.7%	16.5%	í	An eer		
85	89	118	. 18	28 80	70.76		40.078	10.5%	1.36
8	20			20,070	47.02	ă	-5.3%	15.3%	1.00
			23	27.8%	28.8%	33	83.3%	28.2%	1 43
	á	18	8	-80.9%	11.4%	14	-57.6%	11 00/	ŝ
8	8	120	18	100.0%	20.0%	23	27.42		
99	121	136	:				57.1%	18.3%	1.22
8	160			8/R'00*	8.1%	13	-40.8%	9.6%	1.18
2		18	2/	145.5%	16.0%	29	123.1%	15.3%	1.07
3	000	167	å	48.1%	17.2%	42	44.8%	16.3%	n n
ļ	787	325	42	5.0%	14.4%	47	11.9%	A Fee	
٤	203	219	26	-38.1%	12 287			1,0,0	1.5
2	158	178	2		12.0%	26	-44.7%	11.9%	1.00
3	185		1	-19.2%	13.3%	22	-15.4%	12.4%	1.05
	100	787	24	14.3%	13.3%	25	13.6%	13.0%	2
Official	140	182	23	12.0%	16.6%	28	700		
							1.079	15.4%	1.19

7.8%	-	22	20.8%										-
				_	84.7%	85.4%	133	14.6%	22	1,076	101		
â		200.0%	1	3	87.5%	92.9%	156	7.1%	l z	1000	15.4	183	Average
12		-80.0%	i	-	92.1%	89.0%	148	11.0%		707.5	16R	192	05
86.7% 27 47.1%		66.7%	ı	5	84.9%	%6'08	198	27.70	1	-11.8%	164	178	£
-50.0% 51 121.7%		-50.0%	i	3	83.1%	00.3%	100	0 40/	17	-31.1%	186	219	23
0.0% 23 53.3%		0.0%	1	6	87.2%	00.078	22.00	13.7%	37	20.5%	270	325	8
-14.3% 15 -16.7%		-14.3%	ł	6	00,4%	80.00	188	16.1%	36	34.1%	224	257	٩
18		75.0%	1	7	80.1%	70 B S B	143	14.4%	24	53.2%	167	189	8
300.0% 16 -20.0%		300.0%	1	4	80.8%	81.4%	103	6.4%	7	12,4%	109	136	8
-66.7% 20 122.2%		-66.7%	ł	1	81,4%	86.5%	3 8	18.6%	18	1.0%	97	120	8
-25.0% 9 -47.1%	-25.0% 9	-25.0%	1	3	88.9%	71.2%	3 2	13.5%		-7.7%	96	118	97
33.3% 17 21.4%		33.3%		4	82.2%	65,6%	7, 8	28 Be/		7.2%	104	117	8
-40.0% 14 -53.3%		-40.0%		3	84,3%	02,076		14 400	14	0.0%	97	118	85
-50.0% 30 -23.1%		-50.0%		51	81.4%	97 50		17.5%	17	-40.1%	97	115	g
39	39			10	81.5%	04.470	199	17.9%	29	-26.7%	162	199	83
Change Dismissed Change	H	Change		Acquitted	Kate	Total Gully	-	15.8%	35		221	271	82
Percent Defendants Percent	_	Percent		Defendants	Conviction	Total Cultur	O III	Guilly	Guilty	Change	Guilty	Terminated	Years
						College and	Who Died	of Total	Found	Percent	Defendants	Defendants	Fiscal
_			_			Guillo a	Defendants	as Percent	Defendants		Total	1000	_
						Who Pled		Found Guilty		•		1	=
			7			Defendants		Defendants .					
											•		•

Caseload date extracted from the United States Attorney® Case Management System.

7 For Prv 1982-2003, this chart summarizate the following categories: Finesams, Volent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Volent Crime. Other Volent crime includes cases with a least dauge of Volent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program category. Also, those day and organized crime cases datasted under the Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in Pr 2004, Violent Crime includes these cases classified under the following program category codes: Firewins (US3); Demailie Volente (Bif); Violent Crime includes Crime includes these cases classified under the following program category codes: Firewins (US3); Bank Robbery (US3); Demailie Volente Crime included Crime includes the category codes: Firewins (US3); Sept 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

Southern District of Texas
Standard Disposition Counts

SXT

j

>	
22-1	
ş	
8	

					2000	<u> </u>				
~	29.7%	43	10.3%	15	8,0%		in sec		86	2
18	16.9%	33	10.3%		200	2	16.6%	24	145	83
1-	STUDOM DO-10	of the second	1000	30	23.1%	45	16.9%	33	195	22
	7 00 11	37.60 Months	25-36 Months	25-36 Months	13-24 Months	13-24 Months	1-12 Months	1-12 Months	10 Frison	100
	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	FRON	Tipeli -	7.01	Š
	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Distribution ID	Di	Sentenced	Fiscal
	Defendants	Defendants	Pinephere	Delegation	- Contraction	2	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Defendants ·	
	Percent of		, cicolit of		Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Guilty	
			Percent of		Percent of		Percent of		Number of	
								Sentencing		
	4	2.00								
	-	90 00	1.7%	143	-2.3%	12	154	182	195	Average
		95.8%	3.9%	161	-22.2%	7	168	781		
		94,5%	-11.9%	155	-10.0%	8	164	100	VEC	S
		94.6%	-31.3%	176	-28.6%	ď	100	178	276	2
		94.8%	19.1%	256	9,976		186	210	223	8
		96.0%	40.5%	210	20,7,00	1	270	325	. 216	02
		97.076	40.50	315	-35.7%	9	224	257	354	2
		04.00	71 AU	153	75.0%	14	167	189	255	8
		D2 74	768 G	101	60.0%	8	109	136	171	8
		04.8%	4.5%	92	-37.5%	5	97	120	161	á
			-2.2%	88	-42.9%	8	96	118	101	*
			7.1%	90	7.7%	14	104	117	103	ä
			-2.3%	84	18.2%	13	97	170	Į.	
		88.7%	40.7%	85	-35,3%	1	/8		122	8
		89.5%	-25.6%	145	-34.6%	-	701			2
	-	88.2%		CRI			183	100	168	8
		TO THOUS	Chambo			36	221	271	236	92
		To Balance	Change	To Prison	Change	To Prison	Guilty	Terminated	Flied	Year
		Santanonal	Percent	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Defendants	in Cases	in Cases	TISCA
		Defendants		Defendants		Defendants	Total	Defendants	Delendants	3
		Gullby		Guilty		Gully				
	=	Leiners of					_	-		=

Sentenced to Life in Prison

Percent of
Defendants
Sentenced to
Death

			_				Cardand		
	81.9%	1.176	1						
		4 70/	141	-23%	12	154	182	GRI	Chalana
	95.8%	3.9%	161	-22.2%	7	168	781		
	94,5%	-11.9%	155	-10,0%				YEC	05
	97.076	0,12,2			0	i	178	276	04
	24.00	31 38	176	-28.6%	10	186	. 219	223	8
	94.8%	19.1%	256	55.6%	ī	270	325	210	3
	96.0%	40.5%	215	-35,7%			325	216	8
	91.070			76.36		224	257	354	9
	21 88	51.5%	153	75.0%	14	167	189	200	1
	82.7%	9,8%	101	60.0%	8	109	136	15.1	3 8
	94.8%	4.5%	92	-37.5%		9,		47,	g
	97.7%	07.7.2	2		,	70	120	161	· 98
			8	-42 9%	On.	96	118	101	8,
	86.5%	7.1%	8	7.7%	1	704		150	
	86.6%	-2.3%	94	10.2%				400	9
	00,770	70.7.70			13	97	118	128	95
		-70 7%	86	-35.3%		97	115	104	as
	89.5%	-25.6%	145	-34.6%	Ī	701	100		2
	88.2%		100			100	100	168	93
			400		26	221	271	236	92
	To Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	To Prison	Guilty	ieminated	Filed	9
	Sentenced	Percent	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Defendants	NI CHEES	11 0000	
	Defendants		Defendants		Delendants	CLA	t cicionis	1	E .
	Gullty		Guilty		Guilly	1	Defendants	Defendants	
	Percent of		Number of		Number of				-
•									=

Standard Sentencing Counts
Violent Crime<sup>2</sup> Southern District of Texas • )

Caselbad data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System, FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

	7,13	0,3%	500		32	108	28.7%	2,132	29.3%	2,007	Average
			263	6.0%	474	1.08	-17.4%	3,459	-10.5%	0,2,2	
1	ا ۽	44.9%	494	53.1%	447	1.04	20.2%	4,168	40 50/	3 274	8
	13	8.9%	341	7.7%	292	1.05	25.9%	3,483	24 40/	4.017	2
_	1.15	6.5%	313	1.1%	271	1.07	-11.6%	2,700	27 84/	3.308	ឧ
	1.10	-31,8%	284	-30.7%	268	1.06	-5.3%	3,120	-12.7%	2.588	22
	1.11	11.9%	431	11.5%	387	1.06	2000	3 438	5 1%	2.964	요
	1.11	56.5%	385	56.3%	347	T	74 50/	3 303	-21.7%	3,123	8
	1.11	-11.8%	246	-12.6%	222	Ī	798.50	4 207	100.8%	3,989	. 88
·	1.10	228.2%	279	243.2%	254	1.00	104 904	2.171	99.3%	1,987	98
	1.15	4.8%	85	5.7%	7.4		74 FE	1.076	37.0%	768	. 97
	1.16	42.1%	81	45.8%	/6	T	104 204	821	96.2%	728	96
L	1.19	-14.9%	57	-14.3%	å	T	75.10	408	76.7%	371	95
L	1.20	-38.0%	67	1	. 8	T	-25 6%	233	-23.1%	210	94
L	123		TUB		3 8	7	5.7%	313	2.2%	273	æ
gran	Matter Pending	Chalifie	- Committee			1.11		296		267	2
. 4	Deservation Per		Panding	Change	Pending	Matter Received	Change	Received	Change	Received	Tear
9	CARGO # OL		Dafandants	Percent	Matters	Defendants Per	Percent	Defendants	Percent	Matters	780
						Average # of					!
							inated	watters & Defendants Received, Pending, & Terminated	dants - Received,	Matters & Defeni	I
						<u></u>		'n	Immigration		
								Case Counts	Standard Matter and Case Counts	Stan	
						_		of Texas	Western District of Texas		
					٠		slics 1	United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics	Attorneys - Crimir	United States /	

									Average # of			_	_	
														_
										Terminated	Filed, Pending, &	Cases & Defendants - Filed, Pending, & Terminated	Case	
1.02	72.8%	835	10.2.01	1										
			76.36	B 17	:3	24.3%	265	20,876					į	
1.07	-73.3%	. 493	-74.7%	461	1.13	0,3%	183		1.06	28.7%	2,132	29.3%	2,007	Average
1.01	19.7%	1,847	20.3%	1,823		200		474 6.0%	1.08	-17.4%	3,459	-18.5%	3,2/4	1
1.02	28.8%	. 1,543	0,0,0			780 77	494	447 53.1%	1.04	20.2%	4,100	-		2
		1 615	28 882	1.515	1.17	8.9%	341	1.170			4 400	24 400	4.017	2
1.02	-25.6%	1,198	-25.0%	1,176	1.15	97.0.0			1,05	25.9%	3,483	27.8%	3,308	٤
7.03	11.076	1,010				6 50		271 1.1%	1.07	-11.078	27.00			
20.1	14 50/	1 640	10.7%	1,587	1.10	-31,8%	284	2007%	1,00	44 69/	2 766	-12.7%	2,588	02
100	-37.7%	1,444	-37.8%	1,415	1	11.9%	104		200	-5.3%	3,128	-5.1%	2,964	9
1.02	191.0%	2,316	191.0%	2,2/8		2000			1.06	-21.5%	3,303	-21.7%	3,123	1
1,02				200	•	56.5%	385	34/ 56,3%	1.00	0,0,0				3
100	688.1%	796	740.8%	782	1.11	-11.8%	246	Ī	100	7aB E6	4.207	100.8%	3,989	88
1.09	-27.9%	101	-25.6%	83		0.7.023			1.09	101.8%	2,171	99.3%	1,987	g
1.12	164.2%	140	97.0.001			70C BCC	279	254 243.2%	1.08	31.1%	1,076	37.078		3
			dEn na/	126	1.15	4.8%	85	5.7%				27 00/	907	97
30.1	55.9%	53	56.3%	50	1.16	42.1%			1	101.2%	821	96.2%	728	98
1.06	-34.6%	34	-28.9%	32				70 45.8%	1.10	75.1%	408	76.7%	371	8
1.16	-13.370	1				14 00	57	48 -14.3%	7.71	%0.C2-	503			
	12.20	53	-15.1%	6	1.20	-38.0%	67	-00,478		35.60/	DEC.	-23.1%	210	94
1.13		60		53	123				1.15	5.7%	313	2.2%	273	g
Manuel Jellingled	Griging	100000					i di	88	-					,

Defandants Filed

Percent Change

Percent Change

Defendants Terminated

Percent Change

Percent Change Average # of Defendants Per

ASG000000159

. }

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management Sydem,
 PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

F	₹	_	7	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		Ĺ						
	Average	8	15	1	3	02	2	a	8	3	98	97	98		95	94	1	3	92	Year2	Š	Flens				
	1.096	2,583	1,430	1,190	1 700	1.511	1,655	1,582	,,688	,300	900	314	585	107	784	182	280		167	Terminated	Shuganan		Total			
.,000	1 055	2,509	1,370	1,/32		1 453	1,574	1,527	1,601	1,262		306	584	26/		176	265	100		Guilly	Defendants	,	Total			
43.1%		83.1%	-20.9%	19.2%	1.170	777	3.1%	4.6%	26.9%	312.4%	10,7 /6	76. 2V	111.2%	51.7%			65.6%		7	Change	Percent					
12		٥	<b>=</b>	23	i i		1	25	18	21				88			7	2	Guilty	2	Found	Detendants	,			100000
1 77	0.4%	2 121	0.8%	1.3%	1.2%	0.8%	2078	4 60/	1.1%	1.7%	2.0%	0.7%		3.0%	1.7%	2.076	2 22	1.3%	Gully	or local	of Tatal	as Percent	Found Guilty		Defendants	,
	2,500	600.1			1,435	1,560					300	560			173	867		_	Guilty	Who Fled		Defendants		-		
	88,6%	88.2%	1	74.2 BB	88,8%	99.1%	98.4%	80.878			98.0%	99.3%	97.0%		98.3%	87.4%	I		Total Guilty	Percent of		Guilly as	Who Pled	Defendants		
	97.1%	95.8%	20,0,0	200	78C 30	95.1%	96.5%	95.9%	80.0%	20,000	97 5%	96.4%	95.0%	201.70	207 702	. 84.6%	%87GA		Rate	Conviction						
	5	4	3		, .	4	2	5	_			0				•	0	noning of	A COUNTY OF THE LOCAL PROPERTY OF THE LOCAL	Defendants						
20.078	25.00	33.3%	0.0%	-25.0%	700.078	100.0%	-60.0%	25.0%	300.0%									change	2	Percent						
		50	53	55	/5		52	.61	37	7			13	5			6	Dismissed	CHIDOLOGIC	Defendants						
20.0%	2.1 /8	£ 7%	-3.6%	-26.7%	44.2%	14.079	-14 Be/	64.9%	428.6%	-66.7%	61.5%	00.0%	160.0%	-66.7%	150.0%			Change	Percent							
0		ا	,	0	2	-		3	3	0	0	-		0	0	-		Defendants	Terminated	Cales	1					
		1				l	1		-	i			I	i		1	I	_	_							

Cases         Cases         Cases (by case)         Defendants         Defen	- 1									
Cases         Cases (proposed)         Cases (proposed)         Cases (proposed)         Cases (proposed)         Cases (proposed)         Cases (proposed)         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Percent         Changes         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         De		1.8%	41.7%	15	1.6%	43.3%	13			
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defend	1.08	0.5%	-6.7%	-	2,0,1	42 244	13	1,096	1,006	verage
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Cases Index         Defendants         Defenda	1.36	1,0%			755 U	18.2%	13	2,583	2,438	1
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Tried as Percent         Defendants         Defendan		100	40.0%	15	0.8%	-47.6%		1,400	3 (2)	3
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         cf by         Percent         un Percent         Uniposed         Tried as Percent           Terminated         Triem         Change         Triminated         Trial         Change         Tried as Percent           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         280.0%         1.2%           247         280         6         200.0%         1.9%         4         -0.0%         2.2%           138         182         3         50.0%         1.9%         4         -0.0%         2.2%           228         281         8         185.7%         3.1%         6         100.0%         2.2%           2271         314         6         20.0%         2.4%         7         -0.0%         2.2%           2271         314         6         20.0%         2.2%         7         -0.0%         2.2%           1,477         1,306         24         300.0%         2.5%         7         40.0%         2.2%           1,484         1,522         21 <t< td=""><td>1 1</td><td>1.4%</td><td>19.0%</td><td>25</td><td>1.3%</td><td>0.078</td><td></td><td></td><td>1314</td><td>2</td></t<>	1 1	1.4%	19.0%	25	1.3%	0.078			1314	2
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         cf by         Percant         up Percant         Up Percant         Tried as Percent         property         Tried as Percent         of Those         Tried as Percent         of Those         Tried as Percent         of Those         of Those         Tried as Percent         of Those         Tried as Percent         of Those         of Those         Tried as Percent         of Those	1.00	1.4%	10.5%	21	1.970	2007	21	. 1,793	1,646	ឧ
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         of thy         Percent         up Percent         Upposed         Tried as Percent           155         Terminated         Tried         Change         Terminated         Triel         Change         Triel           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         250.0%         2.5%           158         187         3         -50.0%         1.9%         7         200.0%         2.5%           1280         281         3         -50.0%         1.9%         4         -42.2%         2.2%           521         385         6         168.7%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.2%           521         314         6         200.0%         1.0%         5         -4.0%         2.9%           1,477         1,502         24         200.0%         2.0%         2.0%         2.71.4%         2.0%           1,484         1,502         21         -16.7%         1.4%         23         -11.5%         2.0%           1,502         1,655	1.12	1.1%	-32.1%	100		22.50	21	1,511	1,402	8
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         cf by         Percent         up Percent         Upgased         Tried as Percent           187         Terminated         Tried         Change         Terminated         Tried         Percent           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         250.0%         1.2%           158         182         3         50.0%         1.9%         4         -0.2%         2.5%           158         182         6         169.7%         3.1%         4         -0.2%         2.5%           281         6         169.7%         3.1%         4         -0.2%         2.2%           521         585         5         37.5%         1.0%         5         3.75%         0.9%           1271         314         6         20.0%         2.2%         7         40.0%         2.2%           1287         3.1%         2.2         7         40.0%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%         2.2%	1.33	1.6%	21.770		4 502	-19.0%	.17	1,655	1,520	5
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         of thy         Percent         us Percent         Deposed         Tried as Percent           165         Terminated         Tital         Change         Terminated         Tital         Change         Terminated           247         280         6         2000%         2.4%         7         2600%         2.2%           158         182         3         -5500%         1.9%         4         -428%         2.2%           259         281         8         168.7%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.2%           5271         314         6         23.5%         1.0%         5         -47.9%         2.2%           5271         314         6         168.7%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.2%           5271         314         6         23.75%         1.0%         5         -47.9%         0.9%           1,207         1,308         24         300.0%         2.2%         3.271.4%         2.2%           1,487         1,689         20			74.70	28	1.4%	5.0%	21	7,562	1,707	
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         cf by         Percent         up Percent         promodule         Tried as Percent           1855         1871         2         Tumbnated         Trial         Change         Trial         Change         Tried as Percent           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         280.0%         1.2%           188         182         3         -50.0%         1.9%         4         -0.2%         2.2%           189         281         8         186.7%         3.1%         4         -0.2%         2.2%           251         585         5         3.182         1.0%         3.1%         6         2.2%         2.2%           251         314         6         2.00%         2.0%         7         4.0.2%         2.2%           1271         314         6         20.0%         2.2%         7         40.0%         2.2%           1477         1.000         -2         3.000%         2.2%         7         40.0%         2.2%           1477	*	1.4%	-11.5%	23	1.4%	-16.7%	20	1,505	1 464	8
Cases         Cases Tried         Cases Tried         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         criby         Percent         parcent         Disposed         Tried as Percent           155         Terminated         Tried         Change         Terminated         Tried         Change         Terminated           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         250.0%         2.5%           158         182         3         -50.0%         1.9%         4         -2.0%         2.2%           251         5         37.5%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.2%           271         1.306         6         20.0%         2.2%         7         40.0%         2.9%           1.207         1.306         -0         -0.0%         2.2%         7         40.0%         2.9%	1.08	2.0%	271.4%	26	2.0%	300.0%	3 2	1 880	1,477	98
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         of thy         Percent         us Percent         Uniposed         Tried as Percent           Terminated         Tried         Change         Trind         Change         Tried         Change         Tried           457         280         6         2000%         24%         2         2000%         25%           487         281         3         -50.0%         1,9%         4         -42.9%         2.2%           280         281         6         168.7%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.2%           521         585         6         27.5%         3.1%         8         100.0%         2.3%           521         585         6         20.0%         1.9%         3         37.5%         0.9%           521         314         6         20.0%         3.1%         3         37.5%         0.9%	1.17	2.2%	40.0%	7	17.72			1 306	1,207	98
Cases   Case	1.00	0.9%	-37.5%	ا	2200	20.0%	6	314	271	97
Cases   Case	1.00		100.076		1 00%	-37.5%	CR	585	521	ā
Cases         Cases Index         Cases Index         Cases Index         Defendants         Defendants           Cases Terminated         Open Carry         Percent         Times         Object         Tried as Fercent           155         Terminated         Triel         Change         Triel         Change         Triel         Change         Triel           247         280         6         200.0%         2.4%         7         250.0%         2.5%           158         782         3         -50.0%         1.9%         4         -42.9%         2.2%           250         781         280         280         280         280         280         228         228			100	-	3.1%	166./%				
Cases   Case	1.33	2.2%	42.9%	4	1.9%	-00.076	,	281	258	95
Cases   Case	1.17	2.5%	250.0%		2.470	-50 ns/	3	182	158	2
Cases Cases Cases Tried Defendants Control Cases Control Cases Tried Control Cases Con	1.00	1.2%		2	1.076	200.0%	9	280	247	83
Cases Cases Tried Defendants Disposed un Percent Disposed Treminated Traminated Trief Change Terminated Trief Change Terminate	Case Tried	erminated	change		4 98		2	167	155	83
Cases Cases Ified Defendants Disposed Services Disposed Cases Tired Disposed Tried as Percent Disposed Tried as Percent of Those of by Percent of Those	Doloi trailla Fe	_	}	ī	Terminated	Change	rial	reminated	Detrimated	100
Cases Cases Tried Defendants Disposed Tried as Percent Disposed Tried as Percent	Defendants Da	_	Percent	of by	of Those	rereant	9		7	۲ ا
Cases Tried Defendants Defendants	Number of	Tried as Percent		Disposed	as Percent	,		Defendante	Cases	Fiscal
	Average	Defendants		Defendants	Cases Ined		Disposed			
							Cases			

Caseload data extracted from the United States Atomeys' Case Management System.

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

	Average	8		2	23	ķ		2	8	8		98	87	8		95	94	8	3	92	Toal	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Fiscal	=	-	=
	910	2,106	1,2,10	1 210	1,516	1,281		1.328	1,338	1,396	1,000	1 089	241	460		235	162	24		142	I O PTISON	1	Sentenced	Cerendants		Guilly
	000	697	327	3	414	370	300	360	377	474	490	Ane	117	254		97	52	98		64	1-12 Months		Prison	Sentenced to	- diolegania	Defendants
0,0,0	33.0%	33.1%	26.4%		27.3%	28.8%	. 67.0.75	77 80/	28.2%	. 34.0%	40.4%	15.00	48.5%	52,9%	41.076	/40 57	32.1%	43.6%		45 1%	1-12 Months		Priegra	Sentenced to	Colcination	- Cardenda
477	33	609	308		416	343	315	101	777	251	193		49	116	æ		70	77	2	23	13-24 Months	710011	P	Sentenced to	Cerendants	
24.7%		78 80	25.3%		77 4%	26.8%	23.7%	17.170	47.70	18.0%	18.1%	20.076	70 F DC	24.2%	40.8%		43.2%	34.2%	43./%	10.00	13-24 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	
90	167	727	147	ş	2	185	135	175		140	62	į	5	25	76		30	. 20	7		25-36 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	
9.9%	11.3%		12.1%	10.2%		12 9%	10.2%	8.6%	10,078	4n nex	6.0%	5.4%	0,2,0	785.3	7.7%	12.370	200	% B 8	4.9%	Still lots of co	25.36 Months	Prison	Control Cod to	Sentenced to	Defendants	
206	432		309	396	200	705	356	405	Rec		1	43	g	2	÷	12		27	7	SUNDOM DO-10	37 co u	Prison	Ot Decitation	Cantananda	Defendants	
22.6%	20.5%	20,470	75 40	26.1%	22.2%	20.07	20 800	30.3%	24.3%	17.078	47 00/	17.8%	13.1%	0.1.6	8 192	7.4%	8.0%	2 207	4 9%	37-60 Months		Prison	Sentenced to		Defendants	
9	131	130		136	118	198		204	192	125		19	22	ļ		œ			,	61+ Months	110011	Prient	. Sentenced to	Deletioning.	Defendant	
988	6,2%	10.7%		9.0%	8.2%	11.5%		15.2%	13.8%	11.7%		7.9%	4.8%	2.1%		4.9%	3.6%	1.476	4 407	61+ Months	rason	D.	Sentenced to	Cotellandina		
,	0	-	,	-	•	٥		0				0	. 0	0		•	0	6		Prison	CI BOILT		Sentenced to	Siveouatan		
	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.00/	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0.2	200	0.0%	0.0%		Prison	Life in		Sentenced to	Detendants		Percent of
	Б	•	0		0	0		,	0	0		١	0			١				Death	Sentenced to	- Contraction	Defendante			
0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0,0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	Dogu.	Death	Sentenced to	Determants		Percent of		

		-						
85,4%	45.0%	919						
	42.00	040	52.3%	145	1,055	1,096	1,250	Average
83 gw	73.2%	2,106	161.7%	403	2,509	2,583	1,007	١
88,8%	-19.8%	1,216	-28.7%	154	1,3/0	1,430	2 100	2
87.5%	18.3%	1,516	25.6%	913		1 430	2 180	2
88.2%	-3.5%	1,201	00.130		1 727	1 793	1.903	ខ
	2 50/	1 784	-30 1%	1772	1,453	1,511	1,526	02
Rd doc	-0.7%	1,328	30.2%	246	1,574	1,655	1,61/	2
87.6%	4.2%	1,338	7.8%	189	1,527	1,582	1,/84	2 2
87.2%	30.6%	1,396	6.2%	205	1,601	1,669	1,740	3 8
84.7%	343.6%	1,069	195.9%	193	1,262	1,306	1,405	3 8
78.8%	-49.8%	241	-22.6%	65	306	314	8//	
B5.1%	104.3%	480	162.5%	2	904	200		3
88.0%	45.1%	200	120,000		504	785	675	86
07.070		300	128 6%	32	267	281	333	95
. 97.00	-28 D%	162	-65.0%	14	176	182	RBI	9
84.9%	58.5%	225	122,2%	ŧ	265	280	. 203	2
88.8%		142		18	160	101	1	3 1
To Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	JO FISON	Julisy			3
Sentenced	Percent	Sentenced	reiceite	1	D E	Terminated	Flied	Year2
e di inni inni		7	Daniel	Not Sentenced	Defendants	in Cases	in Cases	Fiscal
Defendente		Defendants		Defendants	Total	Defendants	Defendants	
Guilly		Gully		Gullly				-
Percent of		Number of		Number of		-		

ASG000000161

			Average	05	04	8	1	3	2	3	99	98	97	æ		8	94	93	92	Year		p L					_	-	_	_
Cau		1,020	1 522	1,860	2,267	2,289	2,265	2,300	1,000	300	1.958	1,791	1,152	840	010		594	605	647	Received	Manuers			Matters & Def						United State
ses & Defendants		10.276			-1.0%	1.1%	4.8%	15.1%					37.1%	37.7%	2.7%			-6.5%	7	Change	Percent	,		endants – Receiv		Sing reactions	No. 00 PE	Standard Matter and Case Counts	Western District of Texas	s Attorneys - Cr
Cases & Defendants - Filed, Pending, & Terminated		2,228			3,180	3,310	3,192	3,288	7,984										1.1	Received	Defendants			Matters & Defendants - Received, Principle & Terminated		r Drugs-	1	nd Case Counts	ct of Texas	United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics
& Terminated		ſ	-13.3%							Ī		1		1,387 42	975 -3	Ī	1	1.134	=		8 Percent	_	on minutes	eminated						tatistics 1
		8.5% 1.46	3% 1.48	T	1	3.7% 1.45	-2.9% 1.41	10.2% 1.38	9.7% . 1.44	11.7% 1.39	1,36	T	1		-3.6% 1.60	1.70	1.07	1	4	_	Defendants Per	Average # of	L	]		L		_		
		L	L	Ī							<u> </u>	ľ	1	1		ľ	ľ	1	2	Deliver.	nts Per	*01								
		322	469	423	6	30.	365	361	. 373	333	330	382	210	216	246	230	788	701	- Girmin	Danding	Matters									
		8.8%	10.9%	7.4%	1,870	700	744	-3.2%	12.0%	0.9%	-13.6%	74.4%	-11.0%	1070	7 na.	22,3%	-2.1%		Change	C stools	Den									
		473	614	554	533	900	100		593	561	491	560	345				305	289	Pending	Delendants										
	1.070			3.8%	4.8%	-1.0%						62.3%	-8.7%	Ī				-	Change	Percent	,									
	70 1.47	T	1	% 1.31	% 1.35	% 1.39	1.42	T	T	1		1,47	1.58	2.7% 1.54	T	T	5.5% 169	1.51	Matter Pending	Defendants Per	Overage # of									
	L	1								1			_			I		_	Jing Terminated	Per Matters	_									
	127	196	1	in a	168	සි	203	93	125	120	1	2	156	92	77	8	:	8	┝		_									
	11.5%	-7.1%	0.0%		3.1%	-19.7%	123.1%	-27.2%	-0.8%	30.0%		A6 20/	69.6%	19.5%	-3.8%	-11.1%			Change	Percent										
	196	207	255	1	31	251	309	156	195	183	871		272	153	139	142	15	157	Terminated	Defendants										
	7.5%	-18.8%	10.4%	-0.0%		-18.8%	98.1%	-20.0%	6.6%	41.9%	44.6%	32.376	, ve t3	10.1%	-2.1%	-9.6%			Change	Percent							ıa -	•		•
	1.54	1.33	1.52	1.38	1.53			1.71	1.56	1.45	1.54	1.49		1	1,81	1.78	1.74	The state of the s	Matter Territorial	Defendants Dor	Average # of									
Ľ	1		_	<del></del>	<u></u>				_	_	<u>.                                    </u>	Ŀ	1	Ł		_	_	1,	7	15	. (	0	0	0	0	0	(	) 1	6	2

		Average	٤	2	2	8	92	9	1	3	98	98	,		8	85	9		8	92		\ \ \	Fiscal	
		1,361	1,625	2,053	2007	170.5	2,066	2,162	1,832	1,00	1 804	1,693	788		807	511	465	100	200	547	, mad		Cases	
		11.4%	-20.8%	-0.8% -0.8%	0.270		4.4%	11.9%	7.3%	5.4%	0 407	88.7%	28.7%	30,4%	3,5	9.7%	-11.2%	-4.0%			Change	!	Percent	
		1.979	2,448	2,870	3,011		2.897	3,002	2,764	2,415		2 276	1,346	1,154		810	785	968		955	Filed	- Contracting	Defendants	
	0.070	262.0	-14.7%	4.7%	3.9%	20,070	78 E	8.6%	14.5%	6.1%	00,178	CD 487	16.6%	42.5%	0.7.70	7.70/	-18.9%	1.4%			Change	Tripolita		
	1.43		1.51	1.40	1.45	ŧ		138	1.43	1.34	1.34		1.50	. 1.66	46.1		1.68	1.84	1./0		Case Filed	Defendants Per	Catalana de	Avance # of
	1,251	1,001	1 851	1,886	1,775	1,681	800,1		1.873	1,550	1,420	-	1 133	675	602		707	480	448	Summer	D	Cases .		
	12.0%		1	6.3%	5.6%	-8.6%	-1.8%	20,070	20 Re/	9.2%	25.3%	07.078	67 09/	12.1%	18.7%	3.0%	521/	7.1%		Change	2	Percent		
	1,804	2,348		2 808	2.474	2,333	2,503	5/11/	2777	7 197	1,854	1,084	1,000	ran t	967	865	900	853	785	Pending		Defendants		
	10.0%	-10.0%	0,470	5.6%	E Dev	-6.8%	-7.8%	27.7%	6,670	B nev	17.4%	58.0%	%8.0		11 8%	0.2%	%8'R			Change .	a colonia			-
	1.44	1.42	150			130	1.36	1.45	1.37	1.00	1	1.47	1.56	1		1.71	1.80	1.10		Case Pending	Determinants Per		Average # of	
1,200	1 770	1.871	1,970	1,852	2,100		2,021	1,571	1,810	1,386		4:6	596	403		427	480	482		Terminated	Cases			
20.7%	1	5.0%	0.8%	-8.4%	0.8%		28.6%	-2.4%	16.2%	233.2%		30 OF	47.9%	-5.6%		-11 De/	-0.4%			Chenna	Percent			
1,783	4,100	2 7ng	2,790	2,814	2,928	7007	2 802	2.115	2,116	1,937	994	2	1,000	690	142	7,5	861	808	Dampinion	Taminata	Defendants			
16.8%	0%R'7-	200	-0.9%	-3.9%	1.2%	30.7%	20 400	20%	9.2%	179.1%	-30.6%		44.9%	-7.0%	-13.6%		6.6%		Change	_	Percent			
1.45	1.45		1 47	1.44	1.36	1.43	1.00		1.31	1.40	1,67		168	1.71	1.74	1.16	1 78	1.68	Case Terminated	Colonidatilis Fel	Defendants De-	Average # of		

22-Nov-05

<sup>1</sup> Cassibad data extracted from the United States Altomays\* Case Management System.
2 For PYs 1892-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Desiling and Drug Possession cases classified specifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Soverment Regulatory/Money Laurdering and Violent Crime program categories. Beginning in PY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laurdering program category.
3 PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
4 PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
5 DUSA/DATA ANULYSIS STAFFICRUMINALIFORM A 22-Nov-OS

Western District of Texas
Standard Disposition Counts

	Cases &	Cases & Defendants Tried							
			Cases		Cases Tried	Defendants		Defendants	
			Disposed		as Percent			Colonidado	Average
Fiscal	Cases	Defendante	•			Dasodein		Tried as Percent	Number of
٧ ا			Year	Percent	of Those	of by	Percent	=	
	Dallettitita	Ierminated	Trial	Change	Terminated	Trial	Channe		Colonidatio Per
2	482	808	53		44 08/	Ŧ	Citatino	eminated	Case Tried
ឌ	480	864			11.0%	9		10,0%	1.53
ا		100	í	41.5%	15.6%	137	60 192	1500	
٩	42/	742	4	41.3%	70 TM	70		10.07	1,63
8	403	690	40	D AR		10	-43.7%	10.5%	1.77
8	596	1 000		0.170	9%R'R	69	-11.5%	10.0%	1.73
97			į	0.0%	6.7%	63	-8.7%	6.3%	6
	1	480	38	-5.0%	9.1%		4 000		133
8	1,386	1,937	88	78.0%		9	1.6%	9.2%	1.68
99	1,610	2116	4		4,870	108	68.8%	5.6%	1.59
8	157			5.9%	4.5%	96	-11.1%	4.5%	
١		1,110	g	-16.7%	3.8%	74	-22 gw.		
ľ	1,70,7	2,892	43	-28.3%	2 187	3		5.0 %	1.23
ន	2,155	2,928	53	20.00	27.1.2	98	-20.3%	2.0%	1.37
ឧ	1 953			23,378	2.5%	81	37.3%	2.8%	ŝ
	1,000	41014	6	-13.2%	2.4%	55	70 to.	2	
1	1,970	2,790	36	-21.7%	i e		06.170	2.0%	1.20
ន	1871	3770		21.1.10	1.6%	47	-14.5%	1.7%	2
Average	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2,700	S	52.8%	2.9%	. 76	61.7%	3 80	
	8021	1,793	52	5.2%	6.3%	7,0		2,0,2	1.38
						60	5./%	6.2%	1.50
	Defendant	Defendants - Cuilly Assured Di							

	afinance		2	Š	5	; ];	8	9	8	8		88	Ţ	3	8	g		2	8	Ī	3	Year	Fiscal	_	_	=
	1,783	2,100	3 700	2,790	2,814	078'7	2020	2,882	2,115	2,116		1.837	694	,,000	1000	690	1	747	861	808		Terminated	Defendants	Total	_	=
	1,686	2,585		2,665	2,701	2,786		2734	2,013	1,996	1,020	1 878	633	000	200	635	ega		779	720	Guity	)	Defendants	Total		_
	17.5%	-3.0%	20.00	%F 1-	-3.1%	1.8%	97.076	/00 JE	7.60	9.2%	100.0%		-26.7%	35.9%		4.9%	-14.2%	0.2.0	79C B		Change	2 9				
	55	66	JE .		5	60	43	8	3	79	200		f.S	51	6	3	69	1		65	Guilly	round	1	Defendants		
	5.8%	2.6%	1.5%		1 0%	2.2%	1.6%	3.0%	1000	40%	4.6%	0.070	0 99/	5.9%	8,8'8		10.3%	15,3%	0.070	90%	Gully	of Total	as rescent		Found Dulle	Defendants
120,1	1834	2.519	2,626	2,00,1	2054	2 726	2,691	1,953	1,8,1		1.744	971		812	572	900	500		950		Guilly	Who Pled	Defendants			
94.2%		07 AU	98.5%	98.1%		07 20/	98.4%	87.0%	96.0%		747.25	80.2%		04 4g	90.1%	9,7.80		84.7%	91.0%	Total Guilly	Total Culliv	Percent of	Guilty as	Who Pled	Coloridatif	Datament
84.1%	95.5%		25 50	98,0%	%7.0a	2,0%	204 FG	95.2%	94.3%	84.476	2	91.2%	00.3%		82.0%	90,0%		90.5%	89.1%	Kate	,	Conviction				
. 14	10	-		6	23	2		14	17	28		2	13				Ī	:	6	Acquitted	Colonialia	Defendant				
103.4%	-9.1%	83.3%		-73.9%	9.5%	50.0%	20.00	176%	41.4%	1350.0%	20,00,00	94.6%	160.0%	44,4%		-50.0%	12.5%			Change	Percent					
98	88	97	ļ,	g	113	127	ė	:	8	79	ij		115	45		2	59		67	Dismissed	Defendants					
11.2%	1.0%	-1.0%	-13.3%		-11.0%	47.7%	-13.1%	20.070	75.00	38.6%	-50.4%	100,00	155 89	-28.7%	0.078	8 Es/	-11.9%		,	Change	Percent			_		
7	15	17	9		,		2		-		2	8	,	5	-		on .	5	Colonidation	Defendanta	Terminated	Other				
77.6%	11 000	88 9%	50.0%	-40,0%		400.0%	-50.0%	300,0%	-50.0%	5000	-77.8%	80.0%		400.0%	-80.0%	0,070	0.0%		change	2	Percent					

22-Nov-05

Caselood data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

For FY, 1982-2003, this chart rummarizes the following categories: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases destified specifically under those criminal program categories. Il does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laurdering and Violent Orine program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laurdering and Violent Orine program category.

FY 2005 numbers are extual data through the end of September 2005.

EOUSADATA ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINAL/FORMA

22-Nov-05

stes Attorneys — Criminal Caseload Statistics <sup>1</sup>
Western District of Texas
Standard Sentencing Counts

94.4%	17.6%	1,588	20,5%	68	1,000	.,		
95.0%	-3.0%	2,407			4 690	1 702	1.979	Verage
90.036		2 457	14.7%	128	2,585	2,708	2,448	S
05 BK	1.5%	2,553	-39.5%	112	2,665	2,790	2,870	1
93.2%	-2.3%	2,516	-11.9%	185	2,701	2,814	3,011	1
92.5%	2.4%	2,576	4.1%	210	2,786	876,7	160'7	3
92.0%	31.3%	2,515	125.8%	218	2,/34	780,7	7.00,5	3
95.2%	1.2%	1,916	4,8,4	١			2 000	2
84,8%	97.7.0	1,000,1		87	2.013	2,115	2,764	8
24.00	797.0	1,894	0.0%	102	1,996	2,116	2,415	٤
84.4%	192.0%	1,726	142.9%	102	1,828	1,937	2,2/6	a
93.4%	-26.9%	591	-23.6%	42	633	694	1,340	8
93.6%	35.1%	808	48.6%	55	003	,000		3
84.2%	-1.4%	Sas	00.4.70			1 000	1 154	8
		500	7aC B9	37	635	690	810	g
96.7%	-13.8%	645	-26.7%	22	668	/42	) do	1
96.1%	10.0%	749	-23.1%	30	7.79	100	1 8	2 2
94.6%		681		39	/20	800		3
To Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	TO FIRSTI		200	07.5	8
Contained			2	To Brian	2	Terminated	Fled	Year
Cardana	Percent	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Defendants	in Cases	in Cases	FISCB!
Defendants		Defendants		Defendants	Total	Defendants	Delendants	!
Guilly		Guilly		Gully				
Percent of		Number of		NUMBer of				

	Average	8	04	ສ	۶	5	2	8	. 99	98	Į,	;	86	æ	di	2	93	92	100	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Fiscal	_	=	<u>.                                    </u>
1,000,1	1 588	2,457	2,553	2,516	2,576	2,515		1.916	1,894	1,726	591		808	598	646		749	681	O PASON	1	Sentenced	Detendants	Guilly	Number of
331		577	639	672	765	808		544	495	333	31		8	35	51		52	49	1-12 Months		Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	
22.7%	20.07	79 F.C.	25.0%	26.7%	29.7%	24.2%	97.47.07	787 85	26.1%	19.3%	5.2%	0,0,0	200	5.9%	7.9%			7.2%	1-12 Months		Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of
394	900	504	560	561	678	748	503		Las.	488	158	ī	400	106	143	1	7	142	13-24 Months	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	
24.8%	20.5%	21,070	34 02	22.3%	26.3%	29.7%	26.3%	30.878	30.06	70.5 80	26.7%	23.0%		17.7%	22.1%	20.076	70.00	20 8%	13-24 Months	, ribell	Dilean	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of
249	339	324		377	404	459	310	314		1	88	141		87	111	126	Ī	ne.	25-36 Months	rnson		Sentenced to	Defendants	
15.7%	13.8%	12.7%	1	15.0%	15.7%	18.3%	16.2%	16.6%	14.1%		16 R%	17.5%	10.2.70	46.79/	17.7%	16.8%	18.176	15.4%	25-36 Months	Prison	Cornellon IV	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of
310	490	508	50	501	27.	383	319	292	338	190	150	222	107	100	iga	212	178		37-60 Months	Prison	On Decimanian		Defendants	
19.5%	19.9%	19.9%	64.87B1	. 14,470		16.35	16.6%	15,4%	19,6%	20.4%	200	27.5%	33.6%	23./70	3	28.3%	26.1%	of the second	37-50 Marsh-	Prison	Sentenced to	Contraction	Defendants	Percent of
281	545	518	402	351	919		uvc	205	313	146		107	156	169	200	202	175	Sultion 410		Prison	Sentenced to	Deletioanus		
17 70%	22.1%	20.3%	16.0%	13.9%	12.5%	12,070	47 69/	10.8%	18.1%	24.7%	20.070	788 5.0	26.1%	26.2%	21.17	37 40	25.7%	ST+ MODULS	1901	Di	Sentenced to	Derendants	or centrol	
	4	3	3	_	63		1	2					မ	6	_		7	Prison		-	Sentenced to	Defendants		
	200	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%		0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%		0.5%	.0.9%	0.3%		1.0%	Prison	5	:	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of	
		9		0		0			0	۰		ĺ	2	0	·		0	Death	Sentenced to		Defendants			
0.0%	0.0%	n nev	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.076	200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	n new	0.0%	0.0%	0.000	0.0%	Death	Sentenced to	-	Defendants	Percent of		

22-Nov-05

ASG000000164

WXT

Cassioal data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System,
For FY 1802-2000, this chart summerizes the following categories: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified appelically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Government RegulatoryMoney Lundering and Violent Other program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government RegulatoryMoney Lundering program category.
FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

		Ī	Average	8	2	8	R	9	s	8	8	97	g		8	94	83	92	Year	Fiscal	_	T	1	Γ		-	=
					-	Ī	T	t	ł	t	+	1	l	T	1	-		L	t	_	-	Matte					SEC
Cases		1	740	778	279	362	281	248	289	269	. 224	139	173	1	3	224	253	278	Received	Matters	_	s & Defend			Stan	_	ed States A
- STUBBURBIED TO		2,3%	2,4%	0.40/	-22.9%	24.4%	17.3%	-14.2%	7.4%	20.1%	61.2%	-19.7%	0.6%	-23.2%		-11 502	-8.0%	:	Change	Percent		ants Received,		Violent Crime <sup>2</sup>	Standard Matter and Case Counts	Western District of Texas	united States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics (
Cases a Delengants - Filed, Pending, & Terminated		304	338	1	337	438	338	289	327	304	281	170	228	211	918	315	308	366	Received	Defendants		Matters & Defendants Received, Pending, & Terminsted		e <sup>2</sup>	Sase Counts	f Texas	ial Caseload Stati
Terminated		2.3%	0.3%	-23.1%	70.40	28.6%	17.0%	-11.6%	7.8%	8.2%	65.3%	-25.4%	8.1%	-33.6%	3.2%	1000	-15 Ro.		Change	Percent		insted					stics
		1.22	1.22	1.21		1 21	1.15	1.17	1.13					1.23	1.42	122		1.32	Matter Received	Defendants Per	Average # of	-		<u> </u>	•	-	
		129	95	111	1/6	18	ŝ	15.0	173	146	193		13	128	129	8		, constant		Matters							
		2.2%	-14.4%	-38.8%	12.8%	2.0%	-11.070			10.7%	1				30.3%	12.5%		Change	9 91	Paraest							
		158	135	137	193	187	175	BBI	180	156	162	147	16		178	118	195	Pending	Delendants								
	0.476	748.2	1 502	29.0%	3.2%	6.9%	-11.6%	10.0%	15.4%	2.6%	3.4%	-13.5%	4.5%	20,078	FO Sec	12.4%		Change	Percent								
	1.23	1.72		1 22	1.10	1.20	7.14	1.14	1.23	1.28	1.28	1.30	1.33	1.38		1 10	1.19	Matter Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of							
	62	8	54	2	87	87	94	66	84	58	34	48	46	41		8	. 57	Terminated	Matters								
	3.5%	-11.1%	-37.9%	0.0%	O Dec	-7.4%	42.4%	-21.4%	44.8%	70.6%	-29.2%	4.3%	12.2%	-37.9%				Change	Percent			,					
	78	54	71	109		103	105	83	100	82	39	79	61	48	78	1.4	7,4	Terminated	Defendants								
	4.6%	-23.9%	-34.9%	5.8%	-1.87%	1000	26 50	-17 0%	22.0%	110.3%	-50.6%	29.5%	27.1%	-39.2%	6.8%		1		Percent								•
	1.25	1.13	1.31	1.25	1.18	-1.12	;	1 26	1 1	141	1 15	1 65	1 32	1 17	1.20	1.30	Total Internation	Matter Terminated	Defendants of	Average # of CA							

		Average	8	Ţ	2	ន	02	9	1	Ţ	99	90	T.	7	8	1.	7		Ţ.,	T.	7	<u> </u>	_	_	=
		ge	ľ	1	-	3	2	[	ľ	1	9	88	1	3	96	ľ	1	94	93	٦	1	Year	2	_	_
	,	179	241	202	100	253	196	168	961	1	121	. 159	4	:	135	122	140	1/0	177	224		Fied.	Cases		
		3.5%	3.8%	-6.3%	20.178	70 18/	16.7%	-14.3%	27.3%	-5.176		69 1%	-30.4%		10 7%	-18.1%	-15.8%		-21.0%		oriunia.	Change	Percent		
		215	279	258	916		245	197	218	172	181	1	117	Ī		153	205		244	308	rijad		Defendants		
	2.7.70		8.1%	-18.8%	47.9%	Ī			26.7%	Ī	T		-28.2%			-25.4%	4.7%	1	1	<u>~</u>	Change	!	Percent		
	1.20	1		1.11	1.26	1.10	Ī		1.1	1.12	1.20	1	1.24	1.21	Ī		1.38	1.21	1	120	Case Filed	D I of the the teach	Defendante De	Average # of	
	156	022	3	202	211	161	Ī		180	14	138	Ī	410	109	1		140	139	133		Pending	-	_		
	4.9%	8.9%		43%	31.1%	-3,6%	-11.6%	T			19.0%	6.4%	1		-18.3%	1		4.5%		1	Channe	Percent	,		
	186	246	017	7.	259	181	. 196	210	100	400	169	148	1		139	Ī		174	171	Stimito .	Daniel	Defendants			
	4.3%	12.8%	-15,8%	14.16	73 to.	-7.7%	-6.7%	27.3%	-2.4%		14.2%	8.0%	-1,4%		-28.0%	10.9%	1.078	4 80/		Change	2	Percent			
	110	1.12	1.08	1.60		3	1.17	1.11	1.15	i	3	1.28	1.26		23	1.38	1.23		1.29	Case Pending	0 ( 60)	Defendante Dar	Average # of		
101	107	230	223	199.	981		187	148	141	.134	95	3.0	130	140		141	183		BCC	Terminated	Cases				
2.4%		3.4%	12.1%	0.5%	8.8%	20,076	22.00	5.0%	5.2%	57,6%	-34.5%		-9.1%	7.4%		-13.5%	-27.9%		ľ	Change	Percent				
203	867	25	260	236	226	203		170	167	166	101		152	201		176	204	323		Terminated	Defendants				
1.3%	-0.4%	10.2.70	10.2%	4.4%	11.3%	19.4%	7.076	4 80/	0.6%	64.4%	-33.6%	27.776	74 PC-	14.2%	10.1 /0	13.7%	-36.8%		Change		Percent				
1.21	1.13			1.19	1.14	1.12	1.15		118	1.24	1.18		117	1.41	1.20	100	125	1.43	Case   eminated		Defendants Per	Average # of			

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorney® Case Management System.

For PYs 1982-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Firesmit Volent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Volent Crime. Other Volent Crime in Indians cases with a lead charge of Volent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program category. After, those drug and organized crime cases classified under the Volent Crime program category and agreement of the Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in PY 2004, Volent Crime includes those cases classified under the following program category codes: Fireams (0S3);

Bank Robbery (0S3); Domestic Volence (081); Volent Crime in Indian Country (092); and All Other Volent Crime (093).

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

CASES OF THE PROGRAM ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALIFORM A 22-Nov-05

WXT

Ç7	
'n	
2	
ъ.	
71	
ð	
Z)	
Z	
2	
٥	
5	
fi	
5	
₹.	
ř	
-	
•	

-50.0%			95.10	164	8.8%	18	1.6%	181	202	1
		88.0%	. 85.6%	218	4.4%	ō	-2.0%	1	3	
	2	90.0%	93.6%	219	6.4%	ū	2000	BCC	259	95
-75.0%	_	91.1%	97.2%	209	2.0.7		8.8%	234	. 260	2
300.0%	4	90.7%	93.7%	781	2 00/0	2	4.9%	215	236	8
Ë		93.1%	86.3%		74E 3	13	8.5%	205	226	8
2	2	87.6%	078.80	3 4	3.7%	7	26.8%	189	203	2
ľ	3	81.0%	80.00	124	10.1%	15	-2.0%	149	170	٤
1		04.00	91.4%	139	8,8%	13	4.1%	152	į	3   8
1		BB OW	87.0%	127	13.0%	19	69.8%	146	g	8   8
1		RE 182	86.0%	74	14.0%	12	-33.8%	86		g
+		85.5%	91.5%	119	8.5%	=	-27.4%	130	101	2
7		89.1%	92.2%	165	7.8%	1	11.2%		150	8
-		91.5%	82.6%	133	17.4%	28	-11.070		201	8
2		88.7%	. 79.6%	144	20.4%	پ	14 000	161	176	94
80		88.2%	84.2%	240	10,076	3	36.5%	181	. 204	జ
Change	Acquitted	Rate	lotal Guilty	Guny	(E on)	- 1		285	323	92
Percent	Defendants	Conviction	Percent of	VVIIO FIEG	. i	Guilly	Change	Guilty	Terminated	Year
_			Guity as	Cololinging	of Total	Found	Percent	Defendants	Defendants	Fiscal
		_	***************************************		as Darrant	Defendants		Total	Total	_
_			Colondania		Found Guilly			_		
					Defendants					
						Acquitted, Dismissed, Other Terminations	d, Dismissed, Ot	Defendents - Guilty, Acquitte	Defendan	
L	1.21	10.4%	1.0%	20	10.00					
Ļ	1.08	4.6%	-23.0%	3 1	10.6%	2.7%	17	203	167	Average
L,	1.07	6.2%	120,078	,	4 89.	-26.7%	=	259	230	8
	1.17	3,0%	170.00	3	6.7%	150.0%	15	280	223	2
<u> </u>	1.07	7.1%	78 38.	7	3.0%	-60.0%	G	236	199	2 5
_	1.00	3.676	100.0%	16	7.6%	87.5%	15	226	198	3 8
<u>_</u>	1.13	70.0%	-52 GW		4.4%		8	203	182	3 2
1	1.00	9,0,6	0.00	17	10.1%		15	170	148	8
L	1. 6	13.3%	-27 Tar.	6	11.3%		16	167	141	9
_	1.23	13.0%	77 Eu	22	14.2%		19	166	134	98
L	1.08	15.00	14 3%	16	15.3%	0.0%	13	101	85	,
		76.0	-26.3%	. 14	10.0%		13	152	130	3   8
	T	0.5%	-38.7%	19	9.8%	-36.4%	14	201	193	3 8
_	1	17.6%	-20.5%	31	15.6%	-26.7%	22	1/6		9 3
Ţ	1	19 1%	-26.4%	38	18.4%		30	204		
	<u>.</u>	16.4%		ខ	17.3%		38	, S		3
_	Case Tried	Terminated	Change	Trial	lerminated	Change	-1	277	Acc.	92
Per	Defendants Per	of Those	Percent	of by	or anose	Charles I	Trial	Terminated	Terminated	Year
-	Number of	Tried as Percent		Dispusad	and the state of	Parcent	ofby	Defendants	Cases	Fiscal
-	_	Detendants		Disnosad	as Percent		Disposed			
		,		Defendants	Cases Tried		Cases			

1 Casebad data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
2 For Fr 1 682-2003, this chart summarizes the following calegories: Firstman, Volent Crime in Indian County, and Other Volent Crime. Other Volent Crime includes cases with a lead charpe of Volent Crime which would otherwise tall into another program category. Also, those drug and organized crime cases destried under the Volent Crime program category and another crime includes. Beginning in FY 2004, Volent Crime includes those cases destried under the following program category codes: Freshmis (053);
Beark Robbery (063); Comestic Volence (061); Volent, Crime in Indian County (063); and All Other Volent Crime (083).
3 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
4 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
5 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
6 COUSADATA ANALYSIS STAFF/CRIMINAL/FORM A 22-Nov-05

Western District of Texas
Standard Disposition Counts Attorneys - Criminel Caseload Statistics 1

Violent Crime<sup>2</sup>

_	
皿	
×	
ñ	
≶	
a	
⋝	
₹	
•	
4	
⋦	
₽.	
≾	
∺	
ŝ	
23	
⋝	
Ī	
₹.	
Ω	
2	
3	
ž	
≥	
ς.	
Τ.	
ç	
5	
-	
-	

=	
÷i	
21	
≈	
Ω	
70	
=	
z	
╗	
Ö	
20	
٠.	

			charge of Vislant	cases with a lead	ent crime includes	Crime, Other Wol	ountry, and Other Violen	zes the following categories: Firearns, Violent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Violent Crime. Other Violent Crime includes cases with a lead charge of Violent Crime.	categories: Firear	zes the following
1	0,870							ent System.	d States Attorneys Case Management System.	a Swies Amorte
1	0.000	•	37.7%	93	24.6%	41	14.8%	12:1:0		d Chatan Att
	1.4%	<u>ن</u>	33.8%	2	4,0.07			12.7%	21	9.2%
1	0.5/6				70.00	P.	34 15.7%	11.6%	25	1.870
	0.5%	_	32,4%	71	32.0%	70	15,176			700
	1.0%	2	34.9%	g/	20.076			13.7%	30	6.4%
					3	5	28 14.6%	18.2%	33	
	11%		34.6%	63	24.7%	ŧ	17.0%			10.4%
	1.8%	u	34.1%	9	07.2.10			8.8%	<del>6</del>	13.7%
Ī				0	31 20	5	15 8.8%	16.5%	20	
	2.3%	ų.	27.1%	35	25.6%	93		10 50	7,6	7.6%
	0.0%	•	4,8.77				36 36 36 AC	8.3%	12	14.0%
Ī			27.00	3	27.08.	35	25 17.9%	10.476		
	0.0%	•	44.6%	58	23.8%	٥	I	ag an	20	12.9%
Ī	0.078	ļ					19 146%	6.2%	8	97.076
1	0.00		44.3%	8	20.3%	16	17.7%			10.00/
	0.8%	_	48,3%	58	23.3%			10.1%		7.6%
Г	0.6%	-	48.2%	9	0,0.1	;		13.3%	16	4.2%
Ī					17 092	30	23 13.7%	11.9%	2	
1	0.7%	-	46.4%	70	25.8%	39	13,8%		3	797.7
	1.8%	3	39.6%	85	23.8%	8		11.3%	77	2.0%
	0.0%	0	38.6%	122	20.1%			14.0%	23	12.8%
Ĺ	110011					3	50 · 18 9%	12.5%	33	0.078

| Please | Sentement | Please | Sentement Defendants Sentenced To Prison Number of Guilty | Defendants | Defendants | Sentenced to Sentenced to Prison | 1-12 Months | 1-12 Mont Defendants Sentenced to Prison Defendants Sentenced to Prison Defendants Sentenced to Prison Percent of
Defendents
Sentenced to
Prison Defendants Defendants
Sentenced to Sentenced to Sentenced to Prison
Prison
37-60 Months 37-60 Months 61+ Months Defendents
Sentenced to S
Prison
61+ Months Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants
Defendants Percent of Defendants Sentenced to Death

ASG000000167

United States Attorneys — Criminal Cassionad Statistics

Western District of Texas

Standard Sentencing Counts

Defendants Gullty Total

Number of Guilty Defendants Not Sentenced

Defendants Sentenced Guilly

Percent of Guilty

Percent Change

Defendants Sentenced To Prison 92.5% 93.5% 93.5% 91.2% 91.2% 91.2% 80.9% 80.9% 80.9% 80.9% 80.9% 80.9% 91.4%

...) .

Caselond data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
 FY 2005 rumbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

₹

	Troisign		2	2	ឩ	ន	01	8	99	98	87	8	8	2	93	92	Year	Fisca			Wyolage	5	2	8	8	9	8	8	88	97	96	95	94	93	92	Year	Fisca	T			-	-	-
	080		1 840	1.501	1,529	1,339	732	929	754	349	246	162	103	55	75	76	Filed	Cases	C <sub>S</sub>		768	1,816	1,591	1,864	1,43	888	98	841	*	303	18	12	6			-	Matters	Matters & Der			s		CHING SHIP
	34.4%	23.2%	-1.0%	-1 Re/		82 9%		23.2%			51.9%		87.3%		-1.3%		Change	Percent	ses & Defendants		32.0%	14.1%			61.1%						50.4%		-19.5%			Change	Percent	endants - Receive		Immigration	Standard Matter and Case Counts	District of New Mexico	Office States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics
	727	1,894	1,554	1,500	1,401	1 401	754	984	783	371	304	196	122	.99	105	92	Filed	Defendants	Cases & Defendants — Fliad, Pending, & Terminated				-			837									97	Received	Defendants	Matters & Defendants - Received, Pending, & Terminated		ion	Case Counts	V Mexico	nnal Caseload Sta
	33.1%	21.9%	-0.8%	11.8%	00,0%	21.070	24 8%	72.40	111 192	22.0%	55.1%	60.7%	84.8%	-37.1%	14.1%		Change	Percent	Terminated			13.0%			59.9%			69.8%		61.9%				14.4%	7	Change	Percent	ninated					usucs .
	1.05	1.02	1.04	1.03	1.05	1.03	1.04	1.04	2 8	3	124	101	1.18	1.20	1.40	1.21	Case Filed	Average # of Defendants Per				1.04			1.05		108	1	T	33	T		1		1 20	Matter Persion	Average # of		ן נו	<u>L</u> _	-		-
	313	908	664	507	553	349	347	296	183	1/0	3 5		8 .	57	60	62	Pandlor	Care			178	335	437	418	317	287	2	1/2		52	32	13			Printer	_	_						
	27.0%	36.7%	31.0%	-8.3%	58.5%	0.6%	17.2%	81.6%	4.1%	10.4%	73,0%	30,1%	50.070	78U ST	Jak P	Change	Character	[			44.5%	22 26.		1		-15.2% an aw			Ī		146.2%	116.7%	-14,3%		Change	Percent	,						
	337	943	714	528	593	368	374	320	178	207	181	98	8	2 /8	6/	Pending.	Defendants			100	101	Chit	424	322	Jue	172	202	192	97	83	80	21	6		Pending	Defendants							
	27.3%	33 46	35.2%	-11.0%	61.1%	-1.6%	16.9%	79.8%	-14.0%	14.4%	82.8%	65,0%	-24.1%	17.9%		Change				50.3%	-20.8%	4.0%	33.2%	4.5%	79.1%	-14.9%	5.8%	96.9%	16.9%	38.3%	185.7%	250.0%	-25.0%		Change	Percent							
	g i		103	1.04	1.07	1,05	1.08	1.08	1.09	1.22	1.18	1.11	1.05	1.32	1.16	Case Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of		1.08	1.05	1.02	1.03	1.02	1.09	1.10	1.10	1.11	1.21	1.60	1.88	1.62	1.00	1.14	Matter Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of						
930	1,00,1	1,001	1000	1.573	1.131	728	871	617	353	229	94	71	57	73	65	Terminated	Cases			51	70	.70	229	57	32	83	78	35	31	8		5	8	2	Terminated	Matters							
34.1%	18.9%	-14.1%	39.1%	30 102	55 4%	-16 A%	41.2%	74.8%	54.1%	143.6%	32.4%	24.6%	-21.9%	12.3%		Change	Percent			77.0%	. 0,0%	-69.4%	301.8%	78.1%	-61.4%	6.4%	122.9%	12.9%	287.5%	0.0%	%0 0%	-37.5%	300.0%	,	Change	Percent			•				
662	1,666	1,376	1,632	1,111	199	700	900	929	307	277	111	83	84	93	83	Terminated	Defendants			66	82	. 90	240	83	49	109	115	62	57	a i	ء ا	2 0	20 1	3	Terminated	Defendants							
31.8%	21.1%	-15.7%	39.4%	55.1%	-16.3%	41.0%	44.27	10.070	42 GE	149.5%	33.7%	-1.2%	-9.7%	12.0%	_	_	Percent						189.2%			-5.2%	ĺ	8.8%		T		100.7%	165 707			Percent							
1.05	1.04	1.02	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.03	1.12	1.2.1	121	118	117	1.47	1.27	1.28	Case Terminated	Defendants Par	Average # of		1.29	1.17	1.29	1.05	1.46	1.53	1.31	1	1.77	1,00	1.63	1.60	1.00	1.50	Date It It is a second	Matter Terminate	Defendant Bor	4	00					

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

×

- 17												
	10.7%	6	50.0%	1	97.4%	. SE'3%	17.5					
	62.5%	28		2	98.2%	98.5%	1,000	0.7%	2	34.3%	644	662
ı	-15.8%	6			00.078	00.00	nea i	0.4%	6	20.7%	1,636	1,666
ı	35.7%	19	97.076		787 BO	%8.66	1,352	0.2%	3	-15.8%	1,355	0/6
1	0.0%	4		ا.	98.7%	99.8%	1,607	0.2%	3	39,4%	1,010	
1	200			2	98.6%	99.8%	1,153	0.2%		00.0	1810	1639
- 1	-17.6%	14		0	98.1%	99.5%	/3/	0.576		765 55	1.155	1,171
- 1	6.3%	17		3	87.5%	89.7%	707	0.5%	4	-15.7%	741	755
	-5.9%	16		6	07.070	20, 70/	876	0.3%	3	41.8%	879	902
1	-22.7%				07.50	%8.66 %8.66	619	0.2%	=	64.0%	620	636
1	175.0%	22		,	95.2%	98.2%	375	0.8%	3	48.2%	3/8	
1	0.0%			5	92.1%	100.0%	255	0.0%	ŀ	147.0%		107
-11	0.0%			0	92,8%	99.0%	102	1.0%	-	147 69/	255	23
П	-27.3%	8		0	90.4%	100.0%	75	0.0%	-	37.3%	103	111
-7	-21.4%	=		o	86.9%	%n.on!	1 2	000	2	2.7%	75	83
	-30.0%	14		0	84.9%	100.00	73	0.0%	0	-7.6%	73	2
_		20		-	14,170	07 50	7	2.5%	2	27.4%	79	8
1_	Change	Dismissed	Change	Acquited	7, 7,,	96.8%	- 1	3.2%	2		62	8
_	Percent	Deterioants	i alcelli		Rati	Total Gullly	Guilty	Gullty	Gullty	Change	Guilly	
	,			Defendants	Conviction	Percent of	Who Pled	of Total	Found	reicent	Continue	
_						Guilty as	Defendants	as Percent	Sturous	<u>'</u>	Defendant	ndants
_						Who Plad		ound Guny			Total	olar
_						Defendants		Found Guilly				
								Defendante				_
									er Terminations	d, Dismissed, Oth	Defendants - Guilty, Acquitted, Dismissed, Other Terminations	Defendant
			_	1.37	0.7%	00,176						
				1.60	Ī	65 70/	3	0.7%	4.2%	2	662	630
				.00	1	186 7%	8	0.3%	66.7%	5	1,666	1,00/
				1 200		-50.0%	3	0.2%	0.0%	3	1,376	1,00,1
			-	700		50.0%	6	0.2%	-25.0%	3	200,1	100
				1.00		0.0%	4	0.4%	0.0%		1 693	1 573
				1.00		-33.3%	-	0.5%	0.0%		1 174	
				1.50	0.7%	500.0%	6	0.5%			755	728
						-66.7%		0.0%		4	902	871
			••	1.50	0.8%			0.076		0	636	617
					0.0%			0.078		2	397	353
			_	1.00	0.8%		, .	760.0		6	277	229
					0.0%		_	1.1%			=	28
			-		One		0	0.0%		0	83	
				1			0	0.0%		0	84	į Į
				3 8		-33.3%	2	1.4%	-56.7%	1	83	1 2
			-4.	3	3.6%		3	4.6%		3	92	1 8
				Casa Tried	Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Change	Trial	reminated	illinated.
			<del></del>	Defendants Per	of Those	Percent	of by	of Those	Percent	of by	Derendants	Casos
			w	Number of	Tried as Percent		Disposed	as Percent		Disposed		
			<b>_</b>	Average	Defendants		Defendants	Cases Tried		Cases		
										,		

ASG000000170

District of New Mexico Standard Disposition Counts Immigration

)

Casebad data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
 PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

Average	8	3 3	2	2	02	.01	8	g	3 8	9	\$ .	9 8	8	2	83	92	Year²	Fiscal	_			T		egalava	8	g	8	2	3 5	2 2	3 8	g	9	3 8	3 8	3	2 3	2	92	Year <sup>2</sup>	Fiscal	-
591	1,593	1,331	1,000	1 533	1,073	684	802	454	31/	2.70	78 8	5 6	6	3	64	53	To Prison	Sentenced	Defendants :	Guilty	Number of			727	Ī	1,554	1,568	1,401	754	964	783	371	304	196		9	8 6	102		Filed	in Cases	Defendants
383	935	833	1,0,1	1 070	723	442	552	262	176	122	100	4	: 3	5 8	43	44	1-12 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants		Sentencing		662	1,666	1,376		1,171							1					Terminated	in Cases	Defendants
64.7%	58.7%	62.6%	/0.4%	70.48	67.4%	64.8%	68.8%	57.7%	55.5%	68.3%	12.5%	70.7%	307./%	27.70	27 79/	83.0%	1-12 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of	1		644	1,636	1,355		1,155	12.	879	Ī	378	255				(8)			GLINY	Defendants	Total
89	305	220	212		141	45	102	94	59	23		12		ī		٥	13-24 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants				53	43	24	77	.82	57	Ī	Ī	100		23			Ī	Ī		To Prison	Not Sentenced	Defendants
15.0%	19.1%	16.5%	13.8%	47.7.2	42.0%	e es	12.7%	20.7%	18.6%	13.1%	10,0%	20.7%	13.3%	21.9%	77.076	17 00/	13-24 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of	Ī		37.0%	79.2%	-68.8%	-6.1%	43.9%			172.1%	-22.8%	243.5%	35.3%	30.8%	-13.3%	66.7%	Ī	0	Change	Decreati	
	100	75	68	100	à	,	64	35	35	15	8	2	2	2	Ī	Stilliow op-07	25-36 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants								1,073			454			80		60	64		io i liadi	To Prison	0	Dafandonte
700	6.3%	5.6%	4.4%	8.3%	11.1%		8.0%	7.7%	11.0%	8.5%	10.0%	3.4%	3.3%	3.1%	0.0%	SUITOW GC-C7	25 25 25 25	Diton	Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of						·										20.8%		Calarige	Charle	Ì	
	207	167	150	102	102		71	51	40	16	5	3		_		37-60 Months	PISON		Sentenced to	Defendants																	81.0%	85.5%	10 Prison	Sentenced	Delenidalita	
	13.0%	12.5%	9.8%	9.5%	14.9%	0.5%	760.8	11 7%	12,6%	9.1%	6.3%	5.2%	1.7%	6.3%	0.0%	37-60 Months	Prison	Oct manage to	Cartanana	Defendants	Percent of		Į.		<del>-</del> !				<u> </u>				<u>- 1</u>						<u>.                                    </u>			-
	â	36	24	17	19	12		13	7	0	1	0	0	-		. 61+ Months	Prison	OBJURNOU IO	Carlandania	Defendante																						
T	1					T	Ī	T								61+		Seniu	2 0	7 3																						

Defendants

Sentenced to .

Life in

Prison

Percent of
Defendants
Sentenced to
Death

Number of Guilty

ASG000000171

tales Attorneys — Criminal Caseload Statistics i District of New Medico Standard Sentencing Counts Immigration

	1	AV S	1	:   8	92	9	8	8	2		8	3	95	2	8		92	Year	- 6	7				Γ	_	_	
	123		538	470	552	4	_		3	3	2							Received	e iameia	_		Matters & De	!		_		United Stat
		1					473		369	339 2		Ī	355	477 2	387	Ī	329	Change	recent		i cocayad, Failuilig, a Terminated	fendante - De	-	Non-OCE	Standard Matter and Case Counts	District of	United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics
	4.1%	15.4%	14.5%	14.9%	11.3%	4.9%	10.8%	15.7%	8.8%	27.9%	-25.4%	47.0.07	ng R	25.2%	15.8%	1	+	_	_		otyou, Fo			Non-OCDETF Drugs2	rand Case	District of New Mexico	Criminal C
	689	688	861	694	810	816	771	795	622	551	453	8/4	1	749	629	997		Received	Defendants		ming, or retinal			92	Counts	8	aseload Statist
ĺ	3.7%	-20,1%	24.1%	-14.3%	-0.7%	5.8%	-3.0%	27.8%	12.9%	21.6%	-32.8%	-10.0%		19 1%	17.1%			Change	Percent		19180						lcs 1
	1.63	1.51	1.60	1.48	1.47	1.65	1.63	1.86	1.69	1.63	1.71	1.90	1.07		1.65	1.63	Total Control	Matter Bacakan	Defendants Per	Average # of			_				
	128	82	191	153	120	168	118	150	172	131	121	124	1		7	55	Gunda	_	Matters								
			1	1								4.2%	87.6%		20 100	a	Change	2	Parcent								
						286						238	176			9	Pending	Colonida	Defendant								
		-47.5%			31.8%								49.2%	4/.5%			Change	Percent			-						
1,04	T	1 2	T	T	T	Τ	T	Ţ	T	T	T	1	1.48	1.66			Matter Pending	Detendents Per		Average # of							
23		58	40	9	57	90	55	. 54	56	9	52		30	21	-		Terminated	Matters									
13.3%	T		-19.7%	Ī	Ī.	T	1.9%	-3.6%	5.7%	1.9%		T		-12.5%		1	Change	Percent									
117	127	122	143	156	129	217	167	94	121	106	107	9	23	43	42	- House	Terminated	Defendants									•
14 0%	4.1%	-14.7%	-8.3%	20.9%	-40.6%	29.9%	77.7%	-22,3%	14.2%	-0.9%	87.7%	32.6%		2.4%		Shipping	C	Percent	_								
3 40	1.69	1.79	2.92	2.56	2.26	2.41	3.04	1.74	2.16	2.00	2.06	1.90		50.5	1.75	matter i erminated		Defendants Per	Average # of								
=	<u>L</u>	<u>ب</u>		_	Ш	Ш	Ш			_	_	L	1	_	_	Įä	+	H	3	4 (	00	0	0	0	0 -	1 7	2

		Average	8	2	8	5	3	9	8	1		8	87	g		95	94	83	F	93	Year	T SC		
•		358	470	428	385	537		374	410	381		250	275	208	404	204	392	340	2/0		Filed	Cases	,	
		7.50	9.6%	11.4%	-28.3%	43.6%	. 6.0.6	76B B	7.6%	52.4%	-0.176	0.487	32.2%	-29.3%	-25,0%	25.00	15.3%	25.9%			Change	Percent		
	300	6	676	. 673	530	708	gec		600	500	40/		424	364	496	1	NC3	541	458		Filed	Defendants		
	5.1%		0.4%	27.0%	-25.1%	18.8%	-0.7%		0.0%	47.4%	4.0%		16.5%	-26.6%	-20.5%	4,0,01	46 20/	18.1%		Girano	Change	Percent		
	1.53	1.44	1	1.57	1.38	1.32	1.59	1,40	146	1.57	1.63		12	1.75	1.69	1.59		1.59	1.70	Case Filed		Defendants Per	Average # of	
	475	600		562	478	602	523	323	7.75	490	404	ŧ		405	419	469		45	314	Pending		Cases		
	6.0%	6.8%	1.00	17 305	-20 A%	15.1%	0.0%	6.7%		21.3%	-10.8%	11.8%	0,0,0	70. 5	-10.7%	17.0%	27,770	77 70		Change		Darran		
	687	826	210	240	3 3	768	725	737	140	740	505	662	Res		684	707	900	4/0	) 	Pending	Cototioning			
5.2.70	700.3	1.7%	30.5%	-18.0%	5.8%	F 20/	i nec	-1.2%	23.3%	70.076	0.69/	2.0%	-5.1%	-0.3%		17.8%	25.5%			Change	Percent			
1.40		1,38	1.44	1,30	1,28	BC.1			1.52	1.50		1.46	1.60	7.63		151	1,50	1.52	America cons	Case Pending	Defendants Per	TO # 90 PAY		
320		420	340	501	445	355		360	277	286		221	187	340	500	306	242	194	Continued	Tambata	.Cases	-		
9.2%		23.5%	-32.1%	12.6%	25.4%.	-1.4%	00.078	780 UE	-3.1%	29.4%	10.2.0	18 39/	45.0%	11.5%	20.0%	200	24.7%		change	2	Percent			
483	5	21	479	668	642	565	900		418	430	36/		309	512	461	102	400	288	Terminated		Defendants			
8.9%	31.1%		-28.3%	4.0%	13.6%	-0.2%	35.4%		-2.8%	17.2%	18.8%		-39.6%	6,4%	19.7%	39.076	30.60/	,	Change		Dement			
1.51	1.50		1 41	1.33	1.44	1.59	1.57	1.61	151	1.50	1.66		1 85	1.51	1.58	1.66		1.48	Case Terminated	Deletinents Let	Defendant P	Average # of		

22-Nov-05

<sup>1</sup> Cassical data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Managament System.
2 For Fife 1992-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Only Mon-ODDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified specifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Crime program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.
3 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
4 ONLY OF THE PROGRAM OF THE PROGRAM

District of New Mexico
Standard Disposition Counts
Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup>

1 2	4.3%	10.2%	18	3.8%	6.1%				
1.75	2.2%	27.3%	14	1.9%	4.3%	:	483	320	Average
1.57	2.3%	-26.7%	1	2.1%			631	420	8
1.36	2.2%	15.4%	Į,	2.2.2	36 497	4	479	340	2
1.44	2.0%	116.7%	1 5	2,7,2	22:2%	=	668	501	ឧ
1.50	1.1%	-00./%		20%	125.0%	9	642	445	8
2.23	0.4.74	20.70		1.1%	-50.0%	4	565	300	١
:	300	80.0%	18	2.2%	60,0%	٥	000		3
2.00	2.4%	-50.0%	10	1.8%	-66.7%			360	8
1.33	4.7%	41.2%	20	5.2%	0.07%		418	277	99
2.27	9.3%	88.9%	34	0.0%		â	430	286	98
1.80	5.8%	-5.3%	ē	200	50 Dec	15	367	221	97
211	3.7%	-34,5%	1	r sec	11 1%	10	309	187	8
2.07	6.0%	01.3%	5 8	2 8%	-35.7%	8	512	340	85
1.60	4.0%	0.070	2	4 55	40.0%	14	481	305	2
		20 CS	10	4.1%	-54.5%	10	402	242	
1	11.8%		2	11.3%			, is		3
Case Tried	Terminated	Change	Trial	Deletiment	Change	ı	פמכ	194	23
Defendants Per	of Those	reicen	. 5			Trial	Terminated	Terminated	Year3
100000		Danie	of by	of Those	Percent	of by	Defendants	Cases	9
	Tried as Percent		Disposed	as Percent		Ciaposou		2	
Average	Defendants		Defendants	Coada I IIau		Disposal			

	-1	Þ	T	T	T			T	T	7	7	=	_	7	7	7	=	r	_	_	_		_
		Average	5	1		8	2	3	1	3   8		99	97	8		۶ ا	94	8	92	Years	Fiscal		
		483	631	8/4		200	642	565	566	418	+30	1	367	309	212		494	402	288	Terminated	Defendants	Total	
		418	573	443	915		587	514	509	362	371		318	248	430	3/1		282	234	Guilty	Defendants	Total	
		10.1%	29,3%	-28.2%	5.1%	14.2%	790.71	1.0%	40.6%	-2.4%	16.7%	20,0,0	797.00	-42.8%	15.9%	31.6%		20.5%		Change	Percent		
		\$	9	8	13	i			15	8	ā	2	,	13	10	18	-	3	30	Gully	Found	Defendants	
	4.1%		1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	1.7%	0.0%	200	2.9%	2.2%	5.1%	9.1%	0.010	785.5	2.3%	4.9%	4.3%	10,070	An Ch	or local	as recent	Found Gully	Colonidatio
	404	505	6	435	604	577	510	1	404	354		289					270		Sump	Who Pled	Defendants		
	95.9%	88.4%		98.2%	97.9%	98.3%	99.2%	07.170	07.00	07 av	740 PG	80.9%	84.7%	01.170	67.70		95.7%	87.2%	Total	Percent of	Guilty as .	Who Pled	Defendents
	86.7%	90.8%	97.5.26	02.50	767 400	91.4%	91.0%	88.8%	00.6%	00.3%		BA 88	79.6%	84.0%			70.1%	81.3%	Rate	Conviction			
	^	cn.	3				2	4	2	-		-	5	. 9				4	Acquitted	Defendants			
27.0%	77.00	68.7%	0.0%	-25.0%	700.0%	100.00	-50.0%	100,0%	100.0%	-85.7%	40.0%		44.4%	-18.2%	175.0%	0.0%			Сћалде .	Percent		-	
57		39	27	đ	46		1	. 49	52	54	4-1		7	72	98	16		50	Dismissed	Defendants	,		
4 5%	17.77	44 4%	-37.2%	-10.4%	2.1%	4.1%		-5 Ro.	-3.7%	31.7%	-26.8%	07.772	790 00	-26.5%	-15.5%	132.0%			Change	Percent			
																			Defendar	ou at	2		

Percent Change

Cassload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

For Pry, 1992/2000, this chart summartzes the following calegories. Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified specifically under those ordinal program categories, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Covernment Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Other program categories. Beginning in PY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Other program category.

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

22-Yor-US

22-Nov-05

N

Standard Sentencing Counts
Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup> Defendants in Cases Terminated District of New Mexico Defendants Guilty Number of
Guilly
Defendants
Not Senlenced
To Prison 25.0% 50.0% 50.0% -49.3% -5.7% -7.7% 16.7% 57.7% -7.5.0%

Sentenced To Prison Guilty

Percent Change

98 211 84 97 285 64 98 334 49 98 334 19 00 485 118 01 485 198 02 589 176 03 589 176 04 427 148 04 427 148 04 427 148	285 285 347 334 485 488 488 558 558 548	285 215 347 347 348 485 486 588 1 588 1	285 285 347 334 485 488 488 559	285 211 347 347 485 486	285 247 347 334 486 486	285 285 285 347 344 485	201 211 285 347 334 485	211 285 347 334 485	211 285 347 334	211 285 287 347	211	211	211	361			94 325 164	258. 92		92 202 57	Tear To Prison 1-12 Months		- Constitution	_	. Guilty Defendants	Number of	Sentencing	
		38 7%	34.7%	21,078	34 06	41.0%	40.2%		25.4%	14.7%	15.9%	1000	22 50	39.8%	56.2%		50.5%	35.7%	10.2.70	7ac 8c	1-12 Months 13-24	Prison	8	_		Percent of	9	
		80 14.8%	59 13.8%	99 17.4%		92 16.5%	88 18.0%	25.4%		91 27.2%	84 24.2%	21.1%		30 14.2%	68 18.8%	10.770		78 30.6%	30.2%		₹ 	Prison Prison	Sentenced to Sentenced to	Colonianis	Defendants Defendants	Percent of		
77	/1 13.1%	1		65 11,4%	17 13.8%		79 16.2%	93 20.0%	Ī			49 17.2%	15.6%		27 7.5%	28 8.6%			27 13.4%	TO SO MODILIS CO-SO MIGHINS	<u> </u>	-	Sentenced to Sentenced to	Defendants Defendants	_	Darrania		
	100	Ī		% 131	84			86	76	Ì		59	33	1		40	34		26	37-60 Months	TOWN THOM	_	-	Defendants				
	18,5%	23.0%		23.1%	16.8%	16,0%	15.00	18.5%	22,8%	21.8%	10.77	20 7ez	15.6%	9.4%		12.3%	13.2%	6,0.21	10 00/	37-60 Months	Prison	oi nemice	Santanoni	Defendants	Percent of			
	8	74	88		67	48		5	47	53	98	,	<u>ع</u>	29	ļ	29	22	٩	١	61+ Months	Prison	Sentenced to		Datendante				
	14.8%	17.4%	%8.Br		12.0%	9.4%	0.078	10 88	14.1%	15.3%	18.6%		14.7%	8.0%	0.078	B 0%	8.5%	15.3%		61+ Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Calibration	Defendants	Percent of			
-	,	0				_	-		0	0				0	-		_	a		Prison	Life in	Sentenced to	Stuepharen					
0,770	70.5 0	0.0%	0.2%	0.078	200	. 0.2%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0,078	0.0%	0,0%	0.0%		0.4%	0.0%		Die	Life in	Sentenced to	Defendants		Percent of			
-		0	0			0	0		3	0	0			0	0		-	_	Ceam	,	Sentenced to	Defendants						
0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	200	70 U	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Death		Sentenced to	Defendants	Percent of					

35.1% 21.8% -3.7% 39.2% 4.9% 14.5% 1.5% -25.0% 27.2% 10.7%

Percent of Guilly Defendants Sentenced To Prison 86.3% 91.5% 91.5% 92.5% 92.5% 92.5% 92.5% 92.5% 92.5% 93.5%

1 Casebad data extracted from the United States Attorney's Case Management System.
2 For PYs 1892-2003, this chart quamentase the following categories. Only flor-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified specifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Covernment Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Crime program categories. Beginning in PY 2004, it does not include those drug cases desalfed under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.
3 PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
22-Nov-05

22-Nov-05

ASG000000174

¥

		Average	١	20	ş	ឧ	8	2	8	8	,,	87	g		95	94	83	92	Year	FISCAL	1	T	ſ			_
Case		291	9/3	372	374	419	378	329	283	218	232	248	347		306	212	219	241	Received	Matters	:	Matters & Defen		Star		United States
s & Defendants -		5.6%	-0,3%	2	-10.7%	10.8%	14.9%	16.3%	29.8%	-6,0%	-6.5%	-28.5%	68.4%	2.0.2	700%	-3.2%	-9.1%		Change	Percent	1	dants Received	Violent Crime <sup>2</sup>	Standard Matter and Case Counts	District of New Mexico	Attorneys - Crimir
Cases & Defendants - Filed, Pending, & Terminated		341	413		417	481	459	386	336	256	259	290	402	253		250	268	321	Received	Defendants		Matters & Defendants Received, Pending, & Terminated	62	Case Counts	Aexico .	United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics
Terminated		4.0%	-1.0%	0,0,0	-9.5 e-	0.4%	18.9%	14.9%	31.3%	-1.2%	-10.7%	-27.9%	58.9%	1.2%			-16.5%		Change	Percent		nated				ilos 1
		1.17	1.11		:	1.10	1.21	1.17	1.19	1.17	1.12	1.17	1.16	1.23	1.10		1.22	1.33	Matter Received	Defendants Per	Average # of		:			
_		201	258	2/6	330	DOE	221	180	178	148	198	239	246	157	191		130	1	Pending	Matters						
	27.70	8.4%	-6,5%	-10.7%	20,070	20 000	705.05	6.7%	20.3%	-25.3%	-17.2%	-2.8%	56.7%	4.0%	18.2%	11.170	4140	Officer 10	Chaprie	Percent						
	2.2	7,45	297	316	Jag	212	277	385	240	176	220	280	702	207	187	100	101	Ginnia	Dending	Defendants				•		
	7.1%	7 407	-S 0%	-14.1%	35.3%	15.7%	11.870	44 000	10 70	23.182	30.00	4 400	14 50	10.7%	20,6%	2.6%		Change		Parrent						
	1.20			<del>.</del>	1:18	1.23	1.24		1.0	1				1 30	1,24	1.16	128	Matter Pending	Coloniania rei	Dafrada de Bar	Average # of					
	108		1	104	112	138	120	í		921	145	101	ا	77	. 97	105	82	Terminated	SIGNEM							
	5.8%	5.0%		-7.1%	-18.8%	15.0%	57.9%	-35.0%	-8'3%	-11.0%	43.6%	38.4%	24.1.70	2	-7.6%	28.0%		Change	Percent							
	133	129	Ī	122	133	179	145	99	141	157	170	141	٩		115	145	102	Terminated	Defendants							
	5.7%	5.7%	-0.3%	9 28/	-25.7%	23.4%	46.5%	-29.8%	-10.2%	-7.6%	20.6%	62.1%	-24.3%	20,1,00	764 UC	42.2%		Change	Percent	_						
	1.24	1.17	1.1/		1.19	1.30	1.21	1.30	1.21	1.22	1.17	1.40	1.19	1.10		1.38	1.24	Matter Terminated	Defendants Per	Average # of	w			0		

	Average	8	2	8	ş		9	8	8		98	97		86	95	2	8	3	92	Year		Figure	
	167	280	266	209	208		195	170	143		137	110		156	127	91	101		148	Filed	Casoe	?	
	7.2%	5.3%	27.3%	0.5%	6.7%	14,7,70	14.7%	18.8%	4.4%	20.00	76 X P.C	-29.5%	24.0.72	798 CC	39.6%	-9.9%	-31.8%			Change	rejoent		
	187	301	295	221	241	210	3	192	155	g	È	126	1/3		138	97	121	100	- 1	Filed	Delendants		
	6.1%	2.0%	33.5%	-8.3%	14.8%	8.4%		23.9%	0.0%	23.0%		-27.2%	25.4%		42 34.	-19.8%	-39.2%			Change	Percent		
	1 13	1.08	1.11	1.06	1.16	1.08		111	1.08	1.13		1.15	1.11	1.00	100	1.07	1,20	1.34		Case Filed	Defendants Per	Otorago at of	Average # od
171	1 2	PEE	299	223	217	201		3 1	137	143	1	177	162	118		g	88	94		Danding	Cases		
12.0%	10.4.70	44.40	74 PE	2.8%	8.0%	16,9%	25.5%	25.50	4 20.	16.3%	-24.170	3	36.1%	48.6%		-10 1%	53%		Criange	}	Percent		
191	303	320		27.5	251	220	192	jag		158	134		179	130	٩	87	100	131	renging		Defendants		
10.5%	10.7%	32.8%	-1,0%	4 60/	14 1%	44.00	28.0%	-0.1%		17.9%	-25.1%	01.11.70	27 74	49,4%	-20.2%	-10.079	1000		Change	_	Percent		
1.12	1.09	1.10			ĥ	â	1.12	1.08		1	1.08			1.09	1.09	122		1.39	Case Pending	Colorination Lat	Datandards Bar	Average # of	
148	249	162	188	. 801	100	À	127	143	110		150	21.5		88	97	112	1	12L	Terminated	Cases	}		
6.2%	53.7%	-17.3%	3.7%	-%U.ar	20.376		-11.2%	24.3%	-23,3%		33.9%	25.8%		-8.2%	-13.4%	-31.7%			Change	Percent .			
165	269	175	216	206	8/1		142	155	129		173	121		- 36	111	153	188		Terminated	Defendants			
5.8%	53.7%	-19.0%	4.9%	15.1%	26.1%		-8.4%	20.2%	-25.4%	10.070	43.0%	26.0%	1000	13.5%	-27.5%	-18.6%		1	Change	Percent			
1.12	1.08	1.08	1.10	1.09	1.10	1,16	1 1 1	1.08	1.12		1 15	1.08	1.00	100	1.14	1.37	1.15	Cano Leithing	Case Tembertar	Defendants Per	Average # of		

<sup>1</sup> Caseload data extracted from the United Sales Attorney's Case Management System.
2 For FVs 1982-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Finauma, Volent Crime in Indian County, and Other Volent Crime, Other Volent Crime in Indianes cases with a lead charge of Volent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program category. Also, those drug and organized crime cases classified under the Volent Crime in Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in FY 2004, Volent Crime includes those cases classified under the following program category codes: Firsams (053);
Bank Robbery (083); Connestic Volent Crime in Indian County (092); and All Other Volent Crime (093).

PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

EOUSADATA ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALIFORM A 22-Nov-05

	88.8%	97.5%						PA	YOUNG
	1		27.2	2.5%					
	91 4%	85.0%	707	0.078		49.4%	239	269	95
	86.6%	90,076	101	5 Ost.		-14.4%	160	175	2
	85.4%	90.0%	191	3.2%	٥	6.3%	187	216	8
	88.9%	80.0%	100	3.4%	8	9.3%	176	206	8
	80.1%	83.0%	450	1 2%	2	25.8%	161	179	2
	%R.78	02 04	1	7.0%	9	-11.1%	128	142	8
	03.3%	20.0%	135	6.3%	8	30.9%	144	155	8
	25.70	7a0 UB	99	. 10.0%	11	-28.1%	110	129	8
	88 Ao.	88.2%	135	11.6%	18	43.0%	153	1/3	1
	88.4%	91.6%	88	8.4%	9	27.4%	10/		1
	87,5%	85.2%	80	4.8%	4	-18.4%	94	22 8	#
	92.8%	84.5%	87	15.5%	16	-18.5%	2 6	8	8
	B3.7%	85.2%	109	14.8%	1	10.00	13		9
	75.5%	88.7%	126	11,3%	à	780 0	128	153	93
Acquitted	Rate	Total Guilty	Guiny	Sumy	- 1			188	82
Defendants	Conviction	Percent of	Who Pled	0 102	Guilly	Change	Guilly	Terminated	Year
		Guilty as	Defendants	as recent	Found	Percent	Defendants	Defendants	Fiscal
		Who Pled		Found Guilty	Defendant		Total	Total	
		Defendants		Defendants					
					er Terminations	Defendents - Gullty, Acquitted, Dismissed, Other Terminations	s - Guilty, Acquitte	Defendan	
1.12	8.5%	11.0%	13	4,7.0	10,0,0				
1.07	5.9%	60.0%	16	0.076	750 21	12	165	148	Average
1:3	5.7%	68.7%	100	0.0%	64 7w.	15	269	249	95
1.20	. 2.8%	40.0%		5 Cay	80.0%	8	175	162	2
1.11	4.9%	66.7%	ē	2 60.7	-44.4%	5	216	196	8
1.20	3,4%	-40.0%		4 8%	80.0%	9	206	189	8
Ξ	7.0%	11.1%	, 3	3 192	-44.4%	5	179	163	9
1.00	5.8%	-00.0%	3 0	7.1%	0.0%	9	142	127	8
i	17.078			8.3%	47.1%	8	155	143	8
1.2	12.77	-18 78/	15	14.8%	-15.0%	17	.129	115	98
1.00	10.1.00	20.00	23	13.3%	66.7%	20	173	150	۶
Ţ	10.7%	116.7%	·	10.7%	100.0%	12	121	112	8
3 8	W. B	-62.5%	6	6.7%	50.0%	6	96	89	8
1 10	1	-20.0%	16	12.4%	-36.8%	12	111	97	3 3
2		-16.7%	20	17.0%	0.0%	19	153	172	
	12.8%		24	11.6%		6	1881	19	3 8
Case Tried	Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Change	178	- Commission		3
Defendants Per	of Those	Percent	of by	of Those	Percent	1 9	Terminated	Terminated	ď
Number of	Tried as Percent		Disposed	as Percent		of hu	Defendants	Cases	Fiscal
Average	Defendants		Defendants	Cases Tried		Cases			-

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys Case Management System.

For PP, 1982-2003, this chart runnantizat the following categories: Firesems, Volent Orime-in Indian County; and Other Volent Crime, Other Volent crime includes cases with a lead charge of Volent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program rategory. Also, those day and organized crime cases destricted under the Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in PY 2004, Violent Crime includes to as cases classified under the following program category codes: Firestims (053);
Bink Robberty (Volenta Crime) (1631); Volent Crime includes Cases (1631); Volent Crime includes Crime includes Cases (1631); Volent Crime includes Crime includes Cases (1631); Volenta Crime (1632); and All Other Volent Crime (1632).

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

United States Attorneys — Criminal Caseload Statistics
District of New Maxico
Standard Disposition Counts
Violent Crims<sup>2</sup>

ш
2
6
➣
9
۶.
7
•
Ž
≥
*
õ
co.
23
≥.
Į,
ਨੰ
¥
₽
æ
\$
t
I.
¥
ŝ
>
-

7	
~	
9	
e	
>	
Ε-	
≒	
$\simeq$	

_	22-Nov-05	>	RIMINAL/FORM /	EOUSA/DATA ANALYSIS STAFF/CRIMINAL/FORM A	EOUSA/DATA AN		)							.)	
rms (053);	nto another Jory codes: Firea	uld otherwise fall ir ving program categ	Crime which wou d under the follow	d charge of Violent xee cases classifier	s cases with a lead Crime includes tha	olent crime include 1 FY 2004, Violent I	tt Crime. Other vi Ided. Beginning i	y, and Other Violen s category are inclusioning (083).	e in Indian Country ant Crime program 4 All Other Violent	To read the second of the contract numbers are actual data through the editoring categories. Fleating, Violent Clime in Indian Country, and Other Violent Clime. Other violent Clime includes cases with a lead charge of Violent Clime which would otherwise fall into another program category. Also, those doing and organized crime cases classified under the Violent Clime program category are included. Beginning in FY 2004, Violent Clime includes flore cases classified under the following program category codes: Freating (053);  8 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,	g categories: Fire crime cases class ent Crime in India: eptember 2005,	larizes the followin Tug and organized Tolence (081); Violence	o, mis chart sumn bry. Also, those d (063); Domestic V	In the Carbon, this could runniarize the following categories: Fig. program calegory. Also, those duty and organized orline cases de Bank Robbery (043). Domestic Violence (081); Violent Crime in Additional Carbon of Carbon in Additional Carbon of	u
0.	0	0.8%		32.1%	36					ement System.	eys' Case Manage	nited States Attorn	racted from the U	Case Vad data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.	N
0	0	0.0%				30.50	25	13.6%	16	17.7%	21	15,4%	10	120	
.0.				39.5%	81	19.0%	39	18.1%	33	16.1%	90	2000	:	120	Average
	,	0.7%	-	31.0%	45	23.4%	34	10.276			3	9.3%	5	205	8
0	0	0.0%		36.5%	58	10,8%	30		3	17.2%	. 25	12.4%	18	145	2
0.	0	0.0%	0	33.1%	å	22.076			i i	22,0%	35	12.6%	20	159	٤
0		0,8%		26.2%	32	23,076	43 6		19	22.1%	32	8.0%	13	145	ş
0.		1.0%	-	33.3%	٩	22.0%	28		14	20.5%	25	18.0%	22	Į.	3   5
0.	0	1.8%				20 Av.	21	13.7%	14	7.8%		23.5%	1		2
0	١		,	39.6%	45	10.4%	=	10.4%	=	16,0%		20 500	2	102	8
	2	11%	-	38:3%	36	22,3%	21	13.8%	Ī	10.070	17	21.7%	23	106	88
	0	1.7%	2	38:5%	45	23.9%	28	T	Ī	13.8%	13	10.6%	10	28	8
	0	3,4%	<b>&amp;</b> 3.	28!5%	26	T					14	11.1%	13	117	87
۰	0	0.0%	0	26.1%	18				\$ 0		15	12.5%	=	88	8
	0	0.0%	0	28.3%	26	18.5%	17	12.0%			10	21.7%	15	. 69	85
	e l	0.9%		24.8%	27	27.5%	38	T			17	22.8%	21	92	92
	0	0.8%		15.7%	1	Ī	Ī				22	15.6%	17	109	83
Death	Death	Prison	Prison	61+ Months	61+ Months	3/-60 M	Similar op-10			25.6%	31	25.6%	31	121	92
Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Life in	Life in	Prison	Prison		37 50 House	25	25-36 Months	13-24 Months	13-24 Months	1-12 Months	1-12 Months	o Prison	Year
Defendants	Defendants	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	centenced to	Distribution to	Driven	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Sentenced	7.80
Percent of		Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Stubboundary	Controlled	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Senienced to	Sentenced to	Defendants	
		Percent of		Percent of		Percent of	Patrodont	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Guilty	_
								Danmant of		Percent of		Percent of		Number of	-

Defendants in Cases Terminated Defendants Not Sentenced
To Prison Number of Guilty Guilly Percent of Gally Devorant of Gally Devorant and To Prison To 91.00 (1.00 to 1.00 to 1.

ASG000000177

United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics Standard Sentencing Counts

District of New Mexico

롨

ASG000000178

)

Caseload dais extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

2 PY 2005 rumbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

⋩

~

		F	<u> </u>	_	T	_	_	7	_	т-	7	7	_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_
		- George		5	2	٤		3	2	8	8	1	98	97	ğ	ŀ	2	2	٤		8	Year	i i i
		1,181		1.898	2,383	2,252	1,9/5	1,000	1 867	1,691	1,617	1, 108		608	443	189		187	145	78	- 1	Filed	Cases
		31.6%	0.4.02	70 Ag/	5.8%	14.0%	6.0%	10.2%		4.6%	36.0%	95.6%		37 2%	134.4%	1.1%	20,076	70.00	57.6%		- Burney	Change	Percent
		1,282	2,112	01012	7,670	2.383	2,121	1,978	080,1	1 800	1.735	1,349	950		466	238	198		174	114	rijed		Defendante
		29.3%	-21.2%	12.4%	14.470	70 CF	7.2%	4.7%	8.9%	20.076	28 60/	105.0%	41.2%	00.070	OE 80	20.2%	15.8%	50.0%			Change	reitent	•
		9	1.11	1.12	1.06	1.01	1	1.06	1.12	1.07		1	1.08	1.05	1.00	1 25	1.06	1.18	127	76.1	Case Filed	Defendants Per	
	807	1	2447	1,717	1,196	1,358	000	3	664	554	433		2779	215	136			106	9		Panding	Cases	
	30.1%	23.3%		43 Rw.	-11.9%	41.5%	44.6%	10.076	10 00/	27.8%	58.6%	27.0%		58.1%	25.9%	1.8%		70 OK		change	}	Percent	
	836	2,485	1,881		1.361	1,523	1,094	844		697	561	327		230	189	132	198		tos.	Pending	Citioning	Defendant	
	29.2%	25.3%	46.3%	-10.6%		38.2%	29.6%	21.1%	0,77.67	2	71.6%	36,8%	20.0%		43.2%	-5,0%	31.1%			Change	Percent	,	
	1.18	1.18	1.16	1.12	-	3	1	1.27	1.26			1.20	1,11	1.38		1.22	1.31	1,31		Case Pending	Defendants Per	Average # of	
.,020	1000	1,77	1.830	2,359	1,551	1,000	1,100	1 588	1,487	1,027	200	693	364	160			118	87	. commission	Terminated	Cases		
31.0%	-18.5%	100	32 48/	52.1%	-0.4%	-1.8%	0,770	2	44.8%	82.7%	54.4%		127.5%	-13.5%	56.8%	30.0%	35 55		Change		Parrant		
1.113	1,609	2,025		2 487	1,650	1,715	1,778	1,000	1 500	1.110	580	40		180	205	134	201	103	Terminated	Delendants			
30.2%	-20.5%	-18.6%	50.7%		-3.8%	-3.5%	11.8%	43.2%	200	91.4%	39.4%	131.1%		-12 2%	53.0%	31.4%		1	Change	Percent			
	1.09	Ξ	1.05	1.00	100	1.10	1.12	1.07	1.00	î ne	1.03	1.14	1.10		1.11	1.14	1.17	Dane Learning Contract	Case Territoria	Defendents Per	Average # of		
					_			_	_	_		_			-		_	-	_	_	-	4	

272 373 285 654 1,392 1,894 1,895 1,895 1,895 1,895 1,895 4,375 1,894 Defendants Received Percent Change Pending 80 61 Percent Change Average # of Signature | 1.23 | 1.14 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23

District of Arizona
Standard Matter and Case Counts

Maters & Defendants – Received, Pending, & Terminaled

United States Attorneys -- Criminal Caseload Statistics 1

Cassload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

2 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

1,113

⋧

i				-	W.5%	97.0.78							
	21,1%	8		.	07.5%	%0.6B	1.553	1.0%	16	-19.5%	1,569	1,609	g
	2.7%	38		-	96.4%	98 98	1.927	1.1%	21	-20.4%	1,948	2,025	2
	-43.8%	37			97.7%	90.078	2.439	0.4%	9	51,8%	2,448	2,487	8
	106.3%	66		0	86.2%	80.276	1 805	0.4%	7	-2.2%	1,612	1,650	23
	-11.1%	32	-75.0%		98.1%	070.00	1840	1.8%	30	-5,4%	1,648	1,715	2
	300.0%	36	100,0%		07,475	00 807	1741	0.2%	3	12.7%	1,744	1,778	8
	-25.0%	. 9	100.0%	2	27.00	90.4%			9	40.8%	1,548	1,580	98
	8.1%	12			07.07	00 ear		0.5%	5	93.8%	1,099	1,110	88
	-26.7%	1		١	07.00	%E 48		0.7%	4	40.3%	567	580	97
	-37.5%	15	0.0%	· -	07 49	%E 65		0.7%	3	147.9%	404	456	8
	100.0%	24	0.0%	.]-	90.65	87.5%		2.5%	4	-8.9%	163	180	85
	33,3%	12	-50.0%		87.3w	97.8%		2.2%	4	47.9%	179	205	2
		9			27.00	96 792		3.3%	4	33.0%	121	134	83
Defenda	Change	Classifia and	Official			%E CB		7.7%	. 7		91	102	2
Termina	Percent	Displants	Change	Acquitted	Rate	Total Gullty	Guilty	Gully	Gully	Change	Guilly	erminated	1 10
Omer		,		Defendante	Conviction	Percent of	Who Pied	of Total	Found	Percent	Suepheren	Constitution	
2						Guilty as	Defendants	as Percent	Defendants		i otali		<u> </u>
						Who Pled		Found Guilly				1	
						Defendants		_					
									her Terminations	tted, Dismissed, Of	Defendants - Guilty, Acquitted, Dismissed, Other Terminations	Defenda	T
				1			10	1.8%	68.0%	9	1,113	1,025	Official
				1 13	1.1%				-28.6%	15		Ť	95
				1.25					162.5%	21	2,025	1,830	2
				1.17		1	8		33.3%		2,487	2,358	8
		•	<b>- 1</b> ,5-	1.20					-75.0%	6	1,650	1,551	2
				1 3			30			24	1,715	1,558	9
		٠.		1.08	7.078 2.070	1					6 1,778	1,586	8
			_	1.17	1	A5 70	13			12	7 1,580	1,487	8
	٠			1.25		1				. 6	7 1,110	1,027	8
			<u></u>	T		1	,	% 0.7%	100.0%	4		562	87
				1		40.0%	<u>.</u>		-60.0%	2	416	1	Ī

United States Attorneys — Criminal Caseload Statistics 1
District of Atzona
Standard Expedition Counts
Immirration

Disposed of by Trial

Cases Tried
as Percent
of Those
Terminated

Defendants
Disposed
of by
Trial

Average
Number of
Defendants Per
Case Tried
1.29

ķ

G
S
co
-
71
-79
0
27

	Average	95	ļ	D.	03	92	ļ	3	8	99	8	9,		86	95	9		93	52	i	Y Y	Fiscal	_		
	923	1,388	270.1	1677	2,035	1,418	074.1	1	1,497	1,296	905	533		326	126	193			68	· IO PRISON	1	Sentenced	Defendants	Gully	-
270	245	321	422	,,,,	443	279	344		410	401	306	158		117	61	88	48		37	1-12 Months		Prison	Sentenced to	Defendants	
4,0.07	76.2 95	23.1%	25.2%		21.8%	19.7%	24.1%		27.4%	30.9%	33.8%	29.6%	478.00	35.00	48.4%	56.2%	60.5%		54.4%	1-12 Months	110011	District	Sentenced to	Defendants	
220	200	386	414	910	540	402	296	20,	787	233	188	167	8		12	42	24		25	13-24 Months	Frison		Sentenced to	Defendants	
23.8%	20,476	de An	24.8%	25.1%		28.3%	20.7%	04.77.R.I	10.078	18.0%	20 Av.	31.3%	27.0%	67.7.07	AL DL	27.5%	29.6%	0,0,0	798.05	13-24 Months	Prison		Santanond	Defendants	, citotil ol
193	333		384	540		313	305	300	1	100	ice	76	38	1		20	2		7	25-36 Months	Prison	Contento		Defendants	
20.9%	24.0%		23.0%	26.5%	26, 170	22.40	21.4%	20.0%	17.1%		1	745.71	11.7%	11.1%			2.5%	7.4%		25-36 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Coleman	Defendants	Percent of
234	313	300	200	482	3//		414	463	397	227	10		7	12	1/2		9	_	and the state of	37-60 Months	Prison	Sentenced to	Shuebtalan		
26 /42	22.6%	23.2%		23.7%	28,6%	28.0%	700	30.9%	30.6%	25.1%	21.8%	22.1%	104 00	9.5%	7.8%		707.7	1.5%	SUMIOM OD-12	37 00 11-11	Prixon	Sentenced to	Defendants		Percent of
:	S	2		60	47	66		37	43	16	16				5		, ,	_	61+ Months		0	Sentenced to	Defendants		
222	4.0%	3.8%	2.070	2 0%	3.3%	4.6%	27.078	3 50	3.3%	2.1%	3.0%	2.8%		4.8%	3.3%	. 0.0%	0.0.0	200	61+ Months	rneon	2	Sentenced to	Defendants	rement of	
,		0				3	,		0	0	·	0	ļ		•				Prison	5		Santanced to	Defendants		
0.078	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.2%	0.0%		0.0%	0,0%	0.0%	0.0%	9,0,0	000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		Prison	Life in	Compilente	Santanani t	Defendants	Percent of	
		0	0			0	0	ļ	0	0	0	0			0		0		Death	Sentenced to	Stylebylara				
0.0%	9.5	700.0	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.074		200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.070		0.0%	0.0%	0000	Death	Sentenced to	Defendants		Daylord		N. C.

83.1%	34.2%	923	50.5%	158	700,1			
88.5%	-17.0%	1,300	24.4.60		1000	1 113	1.292	Average
05.078	11.070		3	181	1.569	1,609	2,112	S
788 38	-17 Ro.	1.672	-33.2%	276	1,948	220,2	2,070	I
63,1%	43.5%	2,035	112.9%	413	2,448	2,70	2 670	2
88.0%	-0.7%	1,418	-12.2%	101		3 /67	2 383	8
86.6%	4.0%	1,420		101	1615	1.650	2,121	. 02
00.070	100	1 478	-10.5%	221	1,649	1,715	1,978	9
R5 R%	15.5%	1,497	-2.0%	247	1,744	1,778	0891	8
83.7%	43.2%	1,296	29.9%	252	1,548	Joe.	1,100	3
82.3%	69.8%	805	470.6%	194	ARO'I	1,110	1 736	8
94.0%	03.5%	990			1 200	1 110	1.349	98
00.77		£23	-56.4%	34	567	580	658	,
RO 7%	158.7%	326	110.8%	78	404	416	400	
77.3%	-17.6%	126	42.3%	37	103		100	8
85.5%	88.9%	193	20.078			180	238	95
97.8.00	10.170		2500	3,6	179	205	198	94
2	10.40	21	73.9%	45	121	134	12	83
74.7%		68		23	92	102	-	
To Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	10 Prison	Guity			3
Sentenced	Percent	Sentenced	reicent	Tot Committee		Terminated	n n	Year <sup>2</sup>
Colambia				Not Sentenced	Defendants	in Cases	in Cases	Fiscal
nata de la contraction de la c		Defendants		Defendants	Total	Defendants	Detendants	_
Gullly		Guilly		Gully				
Percent of		Number of		Number of				
							_	_

ASG000000181

, Series	1	8	\$	ä	8	١		8	99	8	97	8	95	2	18	i k	3	Fiscal				Average	05	04	03	92	믜	8	8 8	8	3 8	85	94	8	92	Year	Fiscal						
220	570	785	780	671	665	500	2 2	703	607	576	459	. 398	348	256	260	Sec	rilea	Cases		Cas		807	1,108	1,190	1,198	980	825	879	910	/63	656	569	416	100	591	Received	Matters	Matters & Defer	. 1		Sta		
0.0%		-0.6%	17.7%	0.9%	9,9%	1.9%	.2.1.70	2 40	5.4%	25.5%	15.3%	14.4%	35.9%	-1.5%	-34.2%		Change	Percent		Cases & Defendants -		6.4%	-6.8%	-0.7%	22.2%	18.8%			13.0%	Ī		36.8%	Γ			Change	Percent	ndants Receive		Non-OCDETF Drugs <sup>2</sup>	Standard Matter and Case Counts	District of Arizona	
883	1,200	1 230	1,157	986	1,126	1,012	918	4001	1 000	986	807	679	612	517	534	787	Filed	Defendants		- Filed, Pending, & Terminated							I		1,246						1,044	Received	Defendants	ndants Received, Pending, & Terminated		Drugs <sup>2</sup>	Case Counts	Zone	
4.8%	7.1.70	740	17.3%	-12.4%	11.3%	10.5%	-8.2%	2.3%	200	22.2%	18.9%	10.9%	18.4%	-3.2%	-32.1%		Change	Percent		Terminated				70£ 3-					10.4%					-28.3%		Change	Percent	inated					
1.67	1.58		1.46	1.47	1.69	1.67	1.54	1.66	1	171	1.76	1.71	1.76	2.02	2.05	1.99	Case Filed	Defendants Per	Average # of		1011	1504	T	i i	T	1.57	1	T	1,55		Γ			1.87	1.77	Matter Received	Defendents Per		9. 6	_	-	-	
548	1,240	.20,1	1 023	8.45	806	708	656	808	818	250	303	444	391	358	378	471	Pending	Cases		1	818	546	467	421	349	388	387	353	325	355	187	151	156	195	219	Pending	Matters						
8.4%	21.2%	21.1%	24 407	A Roy	13.8%	7.9%	7.9%	-1.8%	17.2%	97.8.01	10.0%	13.6%	9.2%	-5.3%	-18.7%		Change	Percent			9.8%	Ī	9.5%			ľ	l	Ī	-8.5%			-3.2%			٦	Change	Parcent						
1 018	1,866	1,466	1,101		1,200	1.082	969	983	965	/88	100	725	600	e e	716	882	Pending	Defendants			446	742	611	629	483	533	517	452	427	481	244	208	AVC.	333	BEE	Panding	Datanta						
C 70/	27.3%	23.5%	-1.2%		11.10	11 80	-1.5%	2.4%	8.2%	21.0%	8.7%	2.0%	-9.2.%	0.20	-18 RW			Percent			9.5%	21.4%	-2.9%	30.2%	-9.4%	3.1%	14.4%	5.9%	-11:2%	97.1%	17.3%	-14.8%	26.27	1 50	Cimingo	Change	Î						
	1.50	1.43	1.40	1.3	1.00	100	1.48	1.62	1.55	1.68	1,65	1.77	1.82			187	Case Pending	Average # of Defendants Per			1.40	1.37	1.33	1.49	1.38	1.37	1.34	1.36	1.31		1.30	T		1.54	Matter Pencing	Desendand Per	Average #of						
	551	579	615	535	53/		540	596	450	353	338	311	259	344	240	- Constitution	Terminated	2			244	233	360	441	337	214	219	272	222	170	771	797	198	197	reminated	Matters							
	A Re	-5.9%	15.0%	-0.4%	-0.6%	-0.4/0	- AV	32 4%	27.5%	4.4%	8.7%	20.1%	-24.7%	%B.86		Citalign	C Telogia						-18.4%						30.6%	T	T		1.3%		Change	Percent							
900	900	807	980	910	860	0/0		828	787	638	.606	587	555	634	462	nemmated	Defendants				342	412	639	738	420	255	252	321	262	207	329	259	210	215	Terminated	Defendents							
d. 1%	2	-17.7%	7.7%	5.8%	-1.7%	-5.7%	19.2%	10.70	23.4%	5.3%	3.2%	5.8%	-12.5%	37.2%		Ł	Percent				9.7%	-35.5%	-13.4%	75.7%	64.7%.	1.2%	-21.5%	22.5%	26.0%	-18,5%	27.0%	23.3%	-2.3%		Change	Percent							
1.46		1 39	1.59	1,70	1.60	1.62	1.5/	1,10	1 75	1.81	1.79	1.89	2.14	1.84	1.88	Case Terminaled	Defendants Per	Average # of			1.40	177	1.78	1.67			1 15	1.18	1.22	1.21	1,48	1.35	1.32	1.37	Matter Terminated	Defendants Per	Average # of C	00	nr	ın	n ·		,

{

23-Nov-05

<sup>1</sup> Caseload data extracted from the United States Altorneys' Case Managament System.
2 For FY's 1982-2003, this chart summarizes the following dialogoties: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Possession cases classified epublically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Crime program categories. Seginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.
2 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
2 PO 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
2 PO 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

District of Arizona
Standard Disposition Counts
Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup>

Cases									_	
Classes         Classes Tried         Classes Tried         Classes Tried         Defendants         Defendants           Classes         Defendants         Of by Trial         Percent Trial         Of Those Tried         Of Those Trial         Defendants Tried         Defendants Tried         Percent Tried         Of Those Tried         Of Those Tried         Of Those Tried         Of Those Tried         Percent Tried         Of Those Tried         Of Those Tr						er Terminations	d, Dismissed, Ott	ts - Guilty, Acquitte	Defendan	
Cases         Change         Change         Change         Change         Change         Defondants         Defondants           Loninosied         Teminaled         Teminaled         Teminaled         Tital         Percent         Of Those           248         462         23         Teminaled         Tital         Change         Teminaled           344         654         36         56.5%         10.5%         43         Change         Teminaled           341         564         36         56.5%         10.5%         43         Change         Teminaled           341         565         15         58.5%         10.5%         37         76.7%         12.9%           341         565         16         6.7%         5.1%         36         52.7%         9										
Cases         Change         Cases         Cases         Change         Change         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         of by         Percant         of lbysed         Fried at errowst           246         Terminated         Trial         Change         Terminated         Trial         Change         Terminated           344         634         36         68.5%         10.5%         76         76.7%         9.3%           344         634         36         68.5%         10.5%         76         76.7%         9.3%           341         555         16         6.7%         5.1%         35         2.20.7%         4.4%           338         606         12         25.0%         3.6%         35         22         -5.3%         6.7%         3.6%         3.6%         6.7%         4.4%           333         639         22         83.3%         6.2%         31         4.03%         4.4%           540         878         4         2.2%         3.5%         3.5%         4.2%         3.6%         4.4%           540         878         5         8.00%         3.5%         4.2%         4.4%	2	3.9%	11.8%	25	4.1%	31.5%	10	, 70		
Cases         Columnation         Cases         Cases         Cases (Indicated)         Defendants         Defendants         Columnation         Defendants         Columnation         Defendants         Tried as evenual as Parcent         Columnation         Tried as evenual as Parcent         Of Thoses         Of by         Percent         Tried as evenual as Parcent         Of Thoses         To Many	1.06	2.4%	-13.6%	19	3.3%	70.076		746	447	Average
Cases         Cases Tried         Cases Tried Defendants         Cases Tried Defendants         Defendants         Percential Tried at Paramata         Cases Tried Defendants         Changes         Percential Tried at Paramata         Tried at Paramata         Defendants         Tried at Paramata         Tried at Paramata         Percential Tried at Paramata	1.16	2.7%	214.3%	-	0.078	E 20/	1	806	551	05
Cases         Change         Cases         Cases (Ind.)         Changes (Ind.)         Changes (Ind.)         Defendants         Percential         Changes (Ind.)         Changes (In	1.75	0.7%	10.7%		3 30	375.0%	19	807	579	2
Cases   Case	1.50	0.7%	20.078	,	0.7%	0.0%	4	980	615	8
Cases         Cases         Cases (Ind.)         Cases (Ind.)         Chiendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Changes         Total as Parcant         Defendants         Total as Parcant         Parcant         Ind for Those as Parcant			700 US		0.7%	-71.4%	4	910	535	۶
Cases         Column (Cases)         Cases (Tind)         Columnation (Cases)         Cases (Tind)         Columnation (Cases)         Columnation (Cases) </td <td>3</td> <td>17%</td> <td>66.7%</td> <td>햐</td> <td>2.6%</td> <td>75.0%</td> <td>Ē</td> <td>860</td> <td>997</td> <td>ا</td>	3	17%	66.7%	햐	2.6%	75.0%	Ē	860	997	ا
Cases         Classes Tind         Classes Tind         Contendants         Defendants         Defendants           Cases         Defendants         of by         Porcant         of Those         Tondendants         Tride of Porcant         of Those           Imministed         Trial         Change         Toministed         Trial         Change         Toministed           344         634         36         56.5%         10.5%         43         Toministed           259         555         15         58.3%         5.8%         37         51.3%         6.7%           331         635         16         6.7%         5.1%         35         6.7%         4.4%           338         636         12         25.5%         3.6%         37         51.5%         3.6%           333         638         22         83.3%         6.2%         3.6         4.4%         3.6%           450         787         19         -13.5%         2.2         3.6         4.2%         3.6         4.2%         3.6         4.2%         3.6         4.2%         3.6         4.2%         3.6         4.2%         3.5         4.3%         4.3%         4.3%         4.3%	1.13	1.0%	-25.0%	9	1.5%	60.0%		350	527	2
Cases         Change         Cases         Cases (Ind.)         Changes (Ind.)         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Defendants         Changes         Tridal of Those         Trida	2.40	1.3%	-57.1%	12	0.8%	-13.1%		875	540	8
Cases   Case	1.47	3.6%	-8.7%	à	4,270	70.70	,	938	596	99
Cases   Case	1.4.	4.8%	40.876		APP.	-13 A%	19	787	450	98
Cases   Case	1.00	0,078	1000	2	6.2%	83.3%	22	638	353	,
Cases   Case		3.60/	-15.4%	13	3.6%	-25.0%	12	606	330	1
Cases   Case	1.63	4.4%	-28.7%	26	5.1%	5.7%			300	8
Cases   Case	2.47	6.7%	-61.3%	١	0,0,0		6	587	311	8
Cases   Case	2.11	12.0%	10.170		E 90/	-5R 3%	15	555	259	2
Cases Defendants Of by Percant of Those Office Offi		2,000	705.30	76	10.5%	56.5%	36	634	344	8
Cases Control Defendants Defendants  Cases Defendants of by Parcent of These of by Parcent of Those Terminated Trial Change Terminated Trial Change Terminated Trial Change Terminated Trial Change Terminated	1,27	9.3%		43	9.3%		23	462	240	, [
Cases Tried Defendants  Defendants  Defendants  Defendants  Defendants  Of Those  Terminated  Terminat	Case Tried	Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Change	1		3	3
Cases Tried Defendants Defendants  Disposed se Percent Disposed Tried as Percent Disposed Tried Disposed Trie	Defendants Per	of Those	Percent	orby			1	Terminated	Terminated	Yegv
Cases Tried Defendants Defendants as Percent Disposed Tried as Demont	Multiper of .	illone as a sicolit				Percent	of by	Defendants	Cases	Fiscal
Cases Tried Defendants Defendants		Triad or Damant		Disposed	as Percent		Disposed			
	Average	Defendants		Defendants	Cases Tried		Cases			

23-Nov-05

<sup>1</sup> Cessioned data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
2 For PP. 1992-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories. Only Non-OCDETF Drug Dealing and Drug Passession cases dessified epolically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases dessified under the Community and Volent Care program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases dessified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.
3 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
4 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.
5 COUSNDATA ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALFORM A
5 SHOPLES.
5 COUSNDATA ANALYSI

Standard Sentencing Counts Non-OCDETF Drugs<sup>2</sup> District of Arizona

Ī		Summer						
				Number of		Number of		Parrent of
								o alcolt o
	Defendants			-		Guilly		Guilly
!	Determents	Stuedonala	Total	Defendants:		Defendants		Defendants
- SC	in Cases	in Cases	Defendants	Not Sentenced	Percent	Sentenced		
Year	F   00.	Terminated	9	•			aiceit	Seumnoso
3		- Commission	Gully	10 Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	To Prison
ļ	101	462	420	. 97		323		7:
93	534	634	554	į				76.9%
2				Ş	7.2%	450	39.3%	81.2%
,	110	555	496	87	-16.3%	409	D de	
85	612	587	465	RS	/44.3			07,078
8	679	ene			20,778	383	-6.4%	82.4%
		ovo	518	85	15.9%	424	10,7%	81.7%
	807	638	581	97	2.1%	484	14.7%	3
8	986	787	738	141	AE AU			00.078
88	1.009	arp			40.470	/40	23.3%	80.9%
3	2	1 20	033	147	4.3%	708	18.6%	82,8%
5	818	875	809	134	-8.8%	675	-4.7%	Wy LB
9	1,012	. 860	817	14	7.5%	6773	0.00	001
ສ	1,126	. 910	858	07.1	350		20.076	02.4%
ឧ	986	8		040	3.5%	708	5.3%	82.6%
	500	non	841	138	-7.4%	803	13.3%	85 3%
١	1,15/	807	765	123	-10.9%	cva	700 OF	
8	1,238	808	763		0.070	970	-20.0%	83.9%
Average	REG	4 65	í	108	-12.2%	655	2.0%	85.8%
	200	/46	684	118	1.9%	567	6.6%	82.5%

ĺ	Ave	_	T.	T	T	J	_	Ī.	Γ	Т	T	_	_	ī	Т	Т	_	-	T	_	7	_	_	_
	egar	8	2	٤	1 6	1	2	8	8	l <sup>®</sup>	19	07	86	8	1	2   2	2	8	1	_	Fiscal	_	_	_
	567	655	642	803	80/		673	675	708	597	404		424	383	409	450		323	10 Prison		Sentenced	Defendants	Guilly	2
	200	155	164	232	306		296	310	319	272	205		128	121	113	801		75	1-12 Months		Prison	Sentenced to	Detendants	
20.076	35.3%	23.7%	25.5%	28.9%	43,2%	44.076	AAON	45.9%	45.1%	45.6%	42.4%	20,210	%C UE	31.6%	27.6%	24.0%	70.2.7	780 20	1-12 Months	71001	Di	Sentenced to	Defendants	
133	193	125	130	130	144	122		964	174	150	126	١	430	148	1.16	153	8	,	13-24 Months	PINSON	:	Sentenced to	Defendants	
23.5%	10,176	10.48	20.2%	16.2%	20,3%	18.1%	10,076	40.00	743 VC	25.1%	26.0%	30.7%		%9 BE	28.4%	34.0%	27.2%		13-24 Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	t arcell of
65	85		103	129	π	67	]	2			<del>8</del>	46			46	40	5	÷	25-36 Months	Prison	001100100	Cantana	Defendants	
11.4%	14.5%	10.078	18.0%	18.1%	10.9%	10.0%	10.5%	1.2%	7.870	700/	% P C	10.8%	10.2%		11 2%	8.9%	15.8%	SHILLING DO OF	75 26 Handle	Prison	Contrattored (C		Defendants	Percent of
107	204	Ī	111	,	131	124	103	90	ä	2		8	÷	8			57	SURGOW DO-JE		Prison	Sentenced to	Celebration	Defendant	
18.8%	31.1%	27.7%	27.0%	13.170	45.297	18 492	16.0%	12.7%	12.7%	13.2%		14.9%	10.4%	20.8%	17.070	17 30	17.6%	37-60 Months		Prince	Sentenced to	Catabuana	,	Percent of
3	76	67	95	1	1 8	3	82	74	52	43	5	53	35	8	è	, ,	2	61+ Months	rison	Diana	Sentenced to	Defendants		
40.00	11.6%	10.4%	11.8%	70.0%	9,4%		8.6%	10.5%	8.7%	8.9%	13,470	42 40/	8.1%	12.0%	75.6%	10.0%	15.00	61+ Months	Prison		Sentenced to	Defendants	Leicent Ot	Para de la
ا.	0	•	0		Ŀ		0	0	0	0	٥		0	0	_	]		Prison	- Cig 25		Sentenced to	Defendants		
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.078	n ne	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0,0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Prison	: Life in	Contraction to	Cardannad to	Defendants	Percent of	
		9	0	0	0	[		o	0			ļ	,	0	·	0	Coan		Sentenced to	Shebuaran				The same of the sa
0.0%	0.0%	n nev	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0,078	2000	200	0,0%	0.0%	0.0%	200	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Deam	,	Sentenced to	Defendants		Percent of		The second secon

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorney® Case Management System.

For Pric 1982-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Only Non-OCDETF Drug Desiring and Drug Passession cases classified epacifically under those criminal program categories. It does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering and Violent Crime program categories. Beginning in FY 2004, it does not include those drug cases classified under the Government Regulatory/Money Laundering program category.

FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

EQUISADATA ANALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALFORM A
23-Nov-05 23-Nov-05

United States Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Statistics 1 District of Arizona Standard Matter and Case Counts Violent Crime<sup>2</sup>

00000185

ants - Received, Pending, & Terminate

											erminated	Flied, Pending, & T	Cases & Defendants - Fled, Pending, & Terminated	Case	
1.19	17.7%	236	23.8%	1981	1.24	7.0/0									
1.15	251.2%	101				4 0 04	423	5,0%	340	1.21	0,1%	1070			
		46,	336 7w	31	1.33	64.2%	481	. 04,178				532	9.8%	514	Average
1.43	-86.3%	43	-88.2%	30	1.33	-0.7.8th	2002	24.40	361	1.23	130.8%	847	148,7%	689	8
1.23	-13.3%	314	-22.7%	255	- 10	20.7%	707	-55.0%	220	1.32	-56.8%	367	-50.3%	211	
1.10	24.0%	202	00.10			C /0/	583	7.7%	489	1.22	10.1%	040			2
1.10		300	33.1%	330	121	-8.4%	548	-8.4%	ţ			RAG	13.3%	698	03
	. 21.7%	292	28.5%	248	1.18	26.7%	ogo			1 17	-5.8%	719	-6.2%	616	92
1.24	20.0%	240	13.5%	193	1.2	12,076	100	28 1%	501	1.16	20.0%	763	23.0%	65/	T
1.18	-28.6%	200	-26.7%	Ī		47.00	477	18.5%	391	1.19	-5.2%	636	0.2%	507	•
1.2.1	9,0,8				1 27	22.9%	419	22.2%	330	02.1	1			423	8
	o av	280	8,8,8	232	1.26	-12.6%	341	Ī	200		17 6%	671	4.8%	533	99
1 18	37.1%	255	40.9%	217	1.27	40.0%			270	1.17	-1.8%	596	-3.8%	508	å
1.21	-25.6%	ige	20.7.0		1	12 000	307	42.6%	308	1.15	24,6%	700			
117			70. 20.	17.	125	-6.6%	269	-9.5%	100	Ī		2		. 528	97
114	-13.2%	250	1.4%	218	1.21	-16.3%	200		1	121	12.5%	487	9.2%	403	8
1,33	26,3%	288	11.3%	216	T	-23,4%	3		239	1.17	0.7%	433	3.1%	369	8
1.18	7.5%	228	0.4%	192	T	2	77.5	-18.8%	287	1.20	-29.0%	430	94.7.17-		3
1.10					1 29	5.3%	461	4.4%	357	1.23	-10.170		277	STE	Z
	-	212		184	1.28		438			1	-15.10	808	-8.4%	492	93
Matter Termitiater	Change	Terminated	Change	Terminated	Building A letter	Citaliga	, which is	1	, L	1.33		714		537	200
Defendants Per	Percent	Defendants	Percent	o lotte		7	Panding	Change	Pending	Matter Received	Change	Received	change	TO STORY OF	3
Average # of S					Defendants Per	Percent	Defendants	Percent	Matters	Defendants Per	Percent	Deletidants	o sicolii.	Darrakond .	<b>Y</b>
					Average # of					TO # Offerioa	,		Derrent	Matters	Fiscal
0										A					_

1.24	-1.9%	294	-0.8%	238	1.21	8.3%	800								
1.12	4.6%	160	0.7%	1			200	10.8%	321	1.22	8.3%	34/	19.1%		
1 4	20.170		6.7%	143	1.27	112.9%	711	115.3%	562	1	120.078	317	10 fec	285	Average
	F0 487	153	-56.2%	. 2	1.28	44.7%	334	10.076			170 69	519	144.0%	427	95
1.27	25.9%	374	18.1%	306	1:17	22.0%	904	AD GOV	264	1.29	-53.5%	226	-56.5%	175	ş
1.15	-2.9%	297	-1.5%	259	1	20.176		21 0%	518	1.21	24.3%	486	23.7%	402	:   8
1.16	3.0%	306	9.1%	203		70.40	405	15.2%	425	1.20	18.9%	391	. 12.178		3
1.23	-3.3%	10,	,	769	1.12	4.0%	412	6.6%	369	1.12	0.076		17 48/	325	2
	700	707	-8.4%	241	1.14	-3.6%	396	5,6%	240		7 av	326	2.5%	290	9
1 17	0.3%	307	-1.9%	263	1.26	24,5%	1		246	1.20	-12.2%	339	-6.0%	283	g
1.14	9.3%	306	19.1%	268		0,770		14.3%	327	1.28	9.3%	386	-1.3%	307	
1.24	8.8%	200	27,070			40.20	330	14.4%	286	1.16	21.178	500			8
	90, 175.1	300	29.5	225	1.14	8.8%	284	12.1%	250			357	16.8%	305	98
1	14 7%		18.3%	220	1.17	12.0%			250	111	1.0%	290	6.5%	261	9,
1,20	-32.9%	224	-32.4%	lob	T			11.5%	223	1.17	20.1%	287			
1.21	-50,0%					6.8%	233	10.5%	200	1.1/	0.078		,	.245	98
	700	Ter.	-9.5%	275	1.20	-32.1%	218.	92.7.00			788.0	239	1.0%	204	95
1.57	37.1%	477	25.1%	304	Τ	T	32	70 OC	181	1.19	-32,5%	241	-29.1%	202	4
1.43		348		243	1.00				281	1.25	-15.8%	357	-2.1%	285	1
Case Terminated	Change	diminated	Citalige	1000			436		279	1.46		424			9
Deteriorist Per	- Cicelli			Terminated	Case Pending	Change	Pending	Change	Pending	Coop 7 Hou				291	92
Date of	Percent	Defendants	Percent	Cases	Defendants Per	rercent	Colonidation			Care Fled	Change	Filed.	Change	Flied	Tear
Average # of					Average # of	,	Dafarologic	Percent .	Cases	Defendants Per	Percent	Defendants	Percent	Cases	Tib Call
										Average # of				,	-
															=

<sup>1</sup> Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
2 For Fr 1982-2003, this chart summatizes the following categories: Firearms, Violent Chine in Indian Country, and Other Violent Chine. Other Violent crime includes cases with a lead charge of Violent Chine which would ofherwise fall into arrother program category. Also, break drug and organized crime cases desailed under the Violent Chine program category are habited. Beginning in FY 2004, Violent Crime includes these cases desailed under the following program category codes: Filterins (ISS):
Birk Robbery (IOS): Commetic Violent Crime in following cases (IOS): AVAIDATA ANALYSIS STAFFCRIMINALFORM.A 23-Nov-05

1									
	8.6%	-13.9%	26	9,U%	0,0				
ı	1.9%	-/5,0%			-13 nez	21	294	238	verage
1	6,0.7	20.076	.	2 100	-75.0%	u	160	143	g,
ı	7.0.7	780 UC	13	9.0%	-20.0%	12	103	104	1
- 1	4.0%	-11.8%	15	4.8%	25.0%	-			2
	5.7%	30.8%	17	4.6%	0,7.7-		774	306	ឩ
ı	4.2%	8.3%	13	4.8%	7707	13	297	259	22
1	4.0%	-36.8%	21	1.17	7au OE	13	306	263	01
1	6.2%	-13.6%	i	4.4%	-28.6%	10	297	241	8
1	7.2%	-30.3%		5 300	-36.4%	. 14	307	263	88
1	12.1%	17.2%	3 4	8.2%	-21,4%	22	306	268	88
-	11.3%	-14.7%	2 6	12.4%	0.0%	28	280	225	97
7	10,276	14.70	3	12.7%	3.4%	28	257	220	a
т	4E 30	-10.5%	36	15.6%	-12,1%	29	224	186	8
т	11 Au.	-40.6%	88	· 12.0%	-25.0%	33	334	617	1
	13.4%	20.8%	\$	14.5%	18.9%	\$	111	377	2
_	15.2%		83	15.2%			477	304	83
т-	reminated	Creange	1100			Į	348	243	92
7			į	Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Terminated	Year
╤	of Those	Percent	of by	of Those	Percent	of by	Delendants	Casos	
	Tried as Percent		Disposed	as Percent		Uisposed		?	E S
-7	Detendants		Defendants	Cases Tried		Cases			
							Constitution in a	00000	
					4		Defendants Trial	Case &	
						2	Violent Crime <sup>2</sup>		Γ
				_		n Counts	additional Disposition Counts		

Average
Number of
Defendants Por
Case Tried
1,43
1,45
1,15
1,17
1,04
1,21
1,00
1,36
1,20
1,00

47.4%	ω.	1.8%	37	4.0%	4	03.176	2,0,0							
200.0%	6	14.3%	16			25.076	202 10	228	8.7%	22	-1.4%	250	294	Average
	2	-65.0%	14	-00.0%	-		78 ZB	135	2.2%	3	1.5%	138	160	5
	o	-2.4%	å	50.0%		1	91.9%	125	8.1%	=	-59.0%	136	153	2 5
100.0%	2	4.1%	-		١,	88.8%	96.1%	319	3,8%	13	32.3%	332	410	:
73.0%					ω.	84.5%	94.4%	237	5.5%	-	1		77.6	3
77 007		13.2%	43			85.6%	85.0%		0.078	:	70.5	251	297	02
0.0%	4	-2.6%	38	-66.7%		85.5%	80.176		E 08/	12	3.1%	262	306	2
300.0%	4	-18.8%	39	0.0%	l <sub>u</sub>		05.70		4.3%	=	-2.7%	254	297	E
-50.0%	_	100.0%	à	%.0.002			83.9%		6.1%	16	2.8%	261	307	
-71.4%	2	27.4%	1	200.00			92.5%	235	7.5%	19	0.4%	467	200	8
100.070			2	-80.0%	_	80.4%	87.0%	220	13.0%	1		200	305	98
137 20.	. 7	45.8%	35	-37.5%	5	81.7%	00,170		12 201	77	20.5%	253	280	87
40.0%	u	-60.7%	24	14.3%			88 48/		11.8%	25	11.1%	210	257	ä
25.0%	5	17.3%	61	8,0.00			78C 38		13:8%	26	-27.6%	189	15	8
4,0.0				30.00	7	78.1%	88.1%	230	11.8%	٥			2	R
		%2.81	52	42.8%	10	86.2%	87.1%		12.078		767 UE-	261	. 334	94
	_		4		Ī	I			12 08/	53	40.3%	411	477	g
Change	Defendants	change	Cientiesed				84 3%	247	15.7%	46		200		
, cicoli				Channa	Acquitted	Rate	Total Guilty	GUIRY	Guilly				348	92
Derran	Terminated	Percent	Defendants	Percent	Detendants	COUNTRION	o or control		2	2	Change	Guilty	Terminated	Year
	Other				,	Calling	Parcent of	Who Plad	of Total	Found	Percent	Stuephane	Cotolinging	
							Gulfty as	Defendants	as Percent	Detendants			To the state of	
							Who Pled		Found Guilty			1	Total	_
							Defendants		Derendants	-				
														_

Ā

Caseaded data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.

For Fry 1902-2000, this chart summarizes the following categories: Fleeuma, Volent Crime in Indian County, and Other Volent Crime. Other Volent crime includes cases with a lead charge of Volent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program category. Atter, those drug and organized crime cases desaited under the Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in PY 2004, Volent Crime includes those cases desaited under the following program category codes: Freams (053); PY 2005 numbers (1603); Ordered (167); Volent Crime included Crim

79.3%	-1.8%	198	4.4%	52	250	294	34/	Actaga
79.7%	-7.6%	110	84.7%	28	138	ē		
87.5%	-56.3%	118	-/1./%	ا	100		540	3
0.000					120	153	226	2
81 00%	39.5%	272	7.1%	60	332	374	486	٤
77.7%	-3.0%	. 185	-8.2%	56	251	297	1 ac	1
76.7%	-2.0%	201	24.5%	61	262	. 308	326	3   5
80.7%	-2,8%	205	-2.0%	49	254	767	ecc.	2   2
80.8%	12.8%	211	-25.4%	50	261	307	Jab	3  8
73.6%	3.9%	187	-8.2%	67	254	306	300	8 8
71.1%	3.4%	180	102,8%	73	253	280	252	
82.9%	16.8%	174	-10.0%	36	210	167	207	1
78.8%	-27.7%	149	-27.3%	į	108		787	8
10.8%	201.070				180	224	239	95
70 00/	37.0%	. 206	-34.5%	55	261	334	241	94
79.6%	39.1%	327	44.8%	84	411	477	357	2
80.2%		235		58	293	348	424	2
To Prison	Change	To Prison	Change	To Prison	Guilty	Determinal	Palit	3
Sentenced	Percent	Sentenced	Percent	Not Sentenced	Colonidants	III Cases	1 0000	
Defendants		Defendants	-	Detendants	FILE	Describants	b Carac	
Guilty		Gullly		Gully				
Percent of		Number of		IN JOURNAL OF				

0.0%		0.0.0								ment System.	eys' Case Manage	nited States Attorn	Cassicad data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.	Cassicad data ex	
0,0,0		200	,	34 1%	67	22.3%	4	12.5%	25	16.6%	33	13.0%	12		
0.0%	0	0.0%		19.1%	21	16.4%	ā	14,0%		00,070		43.85	77	198	Average
0.0%	0	0.0%	0	27.7%	33	21.0%	62	17.07.0		70 OF .	32	19.1%	21	110	8
0.0%	0	0.4%	-	34.0%	at a	20.170		ne ye	17	16.0%	19	21.0%	25	119	2
0.0%		1.0%	Ţ,			781.90	71	11.4%	31	13.2%	. 36	14.3%	39	272	5
0.078	,		,	32 3%	63	24.1%	47	13.8%	27	16.4%	32	12.3%	2	100	Ī
2000		1,5%	3	30,3%	61	20.9%	42	15.4%	31	0,48.71	8		2	ig.	8
0.0%	a	1.0%	2	39.5%	81	16,6%	34	10.270	Ī	17.00	36	13 ov.	28	201	2
0.0%	0	0.0%		35.5%	Ġ	20,8%				10 5%	40	13.2%	27	205	8
0.0%	0	U.5%	-	0,0,0		70.00		13.7%	29	15.2%	32	14.7%	31	211	g
0.0%				789 CE	2	16.6%	31	10.2%	18	23.0%	43	17.1%	32	Į,	1
	إ	170		39.4%	71	26.1%	47	8.3%	15	12.8%	3				:
0.0%	<u>.</u>	0.6%		35.6%	2	21,8%	8	10,070			22	11.7%	23	180	87
0.0%		0.0%		00,070				10 0%	19	17.8%	2	13.2%	23	174	æ
0.0.8				765 35	2	26.2%	39	14.1%	21	14.8%	22	8.4%	]		
7a0 0	0	0,0%		32,5%	67	28.2%	58	10,0%	8			0.4%	14	149	9
. 0.0%	0	0.6%	2	35.5%	116	21.1%	9	10.00		44.2%	23	12.1%	25	206	2
0.0%	0	2.6%	6	36.6%		23,078		11 00/		16.8%	55	14.1%	46	327	83
Death	DBBILL	11001				/40 EC		11.9%	28	14.5%	34	11.5%	2	200	ļ
	2	_	Prison	61+ Months	61+ Months	37-60 Months	37-60 Months	Z5-36 Months	SUMOM OF-C7	SINITOM 42-6	TO THE PERSON OF			356	3
Sentanced to	Sentenced to	Life in	Life in	Prison	Prison	Prison	FIRM			19.34	13-24 Months	1-12 Months	1-12 Months	To Prison	Years
Defendants	Defendants	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Selliennen 10	7	,	Diagram	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Prison	Sentenced	Š
reitent of				Out of the	Sentenned to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentencedito	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	Sentenced to	On Decimania		1
		Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Defendants	Detendants	Ceremonus	Colonidation		2	Defendants	_
		Percent of		Percent of		Percent of		o control	,		- September 1	Defendants	Defendants	Gully	_
The state of the s								Darrant of		Percent of		Percent of		Number of	

<sup>2</sup> For FYs 1892-2003, this chart summarizes the following categories: Finantima, Violent Crime in Indian Country, and Other Violent Crime, Other Violent Crime includes cases with a lead charge of Violent Crime which would otherwise fall into another program category. Also, those drug and organized crime cases classified under the Volent Crime program category are included. Beginning in FY 2004, Violent Crime includes these cases classified under the following program category codes: Finantims (053); Bank Robbery (083); Connected Violence (091); Violent Crime in Indian Country (092); and All Other Violent Orine (093).

PY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

COUSADATA AVALYSIS STAFFICRIMINALIFORM A 23-Nov-05

EOUSA/DATA ANALYSIS STAFF/CRIMINAL/FORM A

ASG000000187

ķ

23-Nov-05

ASG000000188

Caselond data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System. FY 2005 (Apphers are actual data through the end of September 2005,

CAS

300 62.6% 2578 310 62.6% 2577 272 -17.6% 200 272 -17.6% 200 273 -17.6% 200 274 -17.6% 200 275 -1	Percent Defendar Change Filed
278 357 280 884 1,425 1,949 2,089 1,778 2,223 1,988 2,059 2,559 2,559 2,559 1,573	Defendants Filed
	1
	Percent Change
28.4% -18.8% 204.8% 61.2% 38.8% 7.4% -15.1% 25.0% -10.8% 24.2% 24.2% 23.7%	
1.37 1.08 1.07 1.04 1.04 1.05 1.09 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.04 1.07 1.04 1.07 1.04	Average # of Defendants Per Case Filed
202 217 137 148 158 227 227 227 248 448 601 448 601 488 601 488 601 488 601 488 601 488 601 488 601 488 601 488 604 645 645 645	Cases
7.4% -38.9% 13.1% 48.5% 48.5% 48.5% 34.2% -6.5% 34.2% -17.5% 27.75% 19.9% 19.9% -19.9%	Percent Change
278 278 284 191 221 300 382 566 566 560 710 580 781 818 918	Defendants Pending
Change 1.89 -3.279 16.779 15.779 35.776 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396 17.396	Percent
Case Pending 1.39 1.31 1.39 1.39 1.43 1.43 1.32 1.36 1.36 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.21	Average # of Defendants Per
Terminated 308 308 345 428 1,281 1,819 1,887 1,985 1,1687 1,982 2,266 1,288 2,266 1,628	Cases
Change 73.0% 12.0% 140.3% 55.7% 40.9% 40.9% 40.9% 16.2% 18.2% 23.4% 32.4% 55.1%	Percent
Terminated 249 249 376 850 1,341 1,892 1,811 1,837 2,070 2,112 1,877 2,487 2,588 1,732 1,541	Defendants
Change 38.5% 10.5% 10.5% 128.1% 57.8% 41.1% 41.1% 41.1% 21.1% 33.0% 33.0% 33.0% 33.0% 33.0% 33.0%	Percent
Case Terminated 1.40 1.10 1.10 1.09 1.03 1.04 1.04 1.07 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05	Average # of Defendants Per

Percent Defendants Received Percent Change Percent Change Defendants
Pending
140 Percent Change Average # of Defendants Per Matter Pending 1.19 Percent Change Percent Change 

Southern District of California Standard Matter and Case Counts Attorneys - Criminal Caseload Stallstics !

Mattens & Defendants - Received, Pending, & Terminated

)

Caseload data extracted from the United States Attorneys' Case Management System.
 FY 2005 numbers are actual data through the end of September 2005.

1,541

170	100.076				1 405	5.5%	8	1000			
	150 082	Cr.	93.0%	88,5%	800'7		8	-35.5%	1,551	1,732	ş
i	100,0%	2	9% 8.CA	00.070		i Kol	37	0.5%	2,406	2,500	
	-50.0%	-	25.7%	98 84	2,367	1.2%	28	36.2%	2,385	2 500	2
	0.0%	2	20,070	9R 160	1,725	1.8%	34	-11.0%	RC/*I	3 407	ន
	-60.0%		200.00	97.7%	1,932	2.3%	45	1.8%	178,1	1,877	8
	150.0%		93.8%	99.0%	1,823	1.0%	18	11.8%	1,952	2.112	2
	-33.3%	2	. 04 F.00	97.2%	1,688	2.8%	49	-0.2%	1,/3/	2 070	8
	200.0%		00.40	97.7%	1,701	2.3%	40	-6.0%	1,/41	1 837	8
		1	07.00	98.8%	1,845	0.4%	7	40.5%	1,052	1811	8
			7at. 80	99.1%	1,306	0.9%	12	56.7%	1,318	1 807	8
			00.00	8B.2%	834	0.8%	7	135.6%	841	146	88
			90 00	98.0%	350	2.0%	7	70.2%		840	8
			702.30	98,1%	318	1,9%			43E	376	2
Continuo de			87.6%	95.0%	207	5.0%		48.6%	324	340	8
Dismissed	Change	Acquitted	Rate	Total Guilty	Gully	Guilly	1		. 218	249	92
Defenda	Percent	Defendants	Conviction	Percent of	Who Pled	of Total		Change	Guilty	Terminated	Yeara
				Guilty as	Defendants	as Percent	Controller	Percent	Defendants	Defendants	Fiscal
				Who Pled		Found Guilty			Total	Total	==
				Defendants		Defendants					-
							ther Terminations	mod, Lismissed, C	City, Comment, Fishissed, Other Terminations		
		1.00							ante Cultur A	Defend	7
		100	2.1%	46.6%	30	2.1%					
		1.02	5.3%	116.7%	93	1				1,457	Average
		1.00	1.6%	40.0%	12					1,626	8
		1.03	1.2%	-14.3%	36				2,588	2,506	2
		1.06	1.8%		35				9 2,497	2,359	8
		1.12	2.2%	Ī	Ī		1		2 1,877	1,782	8
			1.0%	-63.0%						2,006	2
				28.6%	54	T	7 -67 3%			1,961	8
	_	1.08	2,370					52	1,03/	1	Ī

1.00						Defendante - Criffic Accrise - 1 21	D. Maria	Defendant	
丁	2 482	46.6%	30	2.1%	55.6%	28	1,531		
一	5.3%	116.7%	91	5.5%	111.9%	3 8	1541	1,457	Average
=	1.6%	40.0%	42	1.7%	14.078		1.732	1,626	æ
_	1.2%	-14.3%	30	1.2%	44 802	42	2,588	2,506	2
-	1.9%	-25.5%	35	1.8%	70.107	29	2,497	2,359	8
<del>-</del>	2.2%	135.0%	47	2.170	-21.4%	33	1,877	1,782	8
<del>-</del>	1.0%	-63.0%	20	7.4%	147.1%	42	2,112	2,006	9
†	2.9%	28.6%	2	0.0%	-67.3%	17	2,070	1,961	8
ᆕ	2.3%	366.7%		746.2	33.3%	52	1,837	1,687	8
÷	0.5%	-30.8%		70E C	457.1%	39	1,811	1,695	ä
÷	1.0%	85.7%	13	20.00	46.2%	7	1,892	1,819	97
-	0.8%	0.0%		1 00/	85.7%	13	1,341	1,291	8
÷	1.9%	16,7%		O age	0.0%	7	850	829	85
_	1.8%	-50.0%	, ,	2.0%	40.0%	7	376	345	F
+-	4.8%		, 2	1 50%	-50.0%	5	340	308	83
+	Terminated	Criange	ľ	n ne		7	249	178	ž
Defendants Per	of Those	Percent	1 5	Terminated	Change	Trial	Terminated	Terminated	Year
_=	I ned as Percent		of he	of Those	Percent	of by	Defendants	Cases	, naca
_	Defendants		Disposed	as Percent	٠	Disposed			1
1			- Mandania	Cases Tried		Cases			-

Southern District of California Standard Disposition Counts